NOTICE!

ALL DRAWINGS
ARE LOCATED
AT THE END OF
THE DOCUMENT

#000068064

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE DDT

RFCA

Source/Driver: (Name & Number from

ISP, IAG milestone, Mgmt. Action, Corres.

Control, etc.)

Steve Singer

Originator Name

Closure #: (Outgoing Correspondence

Control #, if applicable)

LGN V NUA □ G. D. DiGregorio

QA Approval

Due Date

✓

N.P.Cypher J.E.Law

Contractor Manager(s)

George H. Setlock

Kaiser-Hill Program Manager(s)

Occupent Andrea Ortice

J. A. Hill

Kaiser-Hill Director

Document Subject:

KH00003NS1A

Transmittal of RFCA Annual Report for Groundwater - SHS-004-97

November 25, 1997

Discussion and/or Comments:

Enclosed please find eight copies of the 1996 RFCA Annual Report for Groundwater. Please send two copies to DOE, two copies to CDPHE, and two copies to EPA.

This report satisfies the annual reporting requirements of Attachment 5, Action Level Framework of the Rocky Flats Clean-Up Agreement for groundwater.

Please call Steve Singer at extension 3387 or Norm Cypher at extension 5782 with any questions.

SHS:slm

cc:

N. P. Cypher w/o Encl.

J. E. Law w/o Encl.

S. H. Singer w/o Encl.

RMRS Records w/o Encl.

Date

Mr. Steven W. Slaten
Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Field Office
P.O. Box 928
Golden, CO 80402-0928

SUBMITTAL OF RFCA GROUNDWATER MONITORING ANNUAL REPORT - XXX-XXX-97

Dear Mr. Slaten:

Enclosed please find six copies of the 1996 RFCA Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for Rocky Flats Technology Site. Please forward two copies to Steve Tartton at Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) and two copies to Tim Rehder at United States Environmental Protection Agency. This report satisfies the annual reporting requirements of Attachment 5, Action Level Framework of the Rocky Flats Clean-Up Agreement for groundwater.

Please contact me at extension 9877 with any questions.

David C. Shelton Title

DCS:xxx

Enclosures: As Stated

cc:

N. Castaneda

P. Halder

N. P. Cypher (RMRS, Bldg. T893A)

S. H. Singer (RMRS, Bldg. T893B)

Date

Mr. Steve Tarlton Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South Denver, CO 80222-1530

SUBMITTAL OF RFCA GROUNDWATER MONITORING ANNUAL REPORT - XXX-XXX-97

Dear Mr. Tarlton:

Enclosed please find two copies of the 1996 RFCA Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for Rocky Flats Technology Site. This report satisfies annual reporting requirements of Attachment 5, Action Level Framework of the Rocky Flats Clean-Up Agreement for groundwater.

Please contact me at extension XXXX with any questions.

Steven W. Slaten RFCA Project Coordinator

SWS:xxx

Enclosures: As Stated Date

Mr. Tim Rehder, Manager Rocky Flats Project United States Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII 999 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

SUBMITTAL OF RFCA GROUNDWATER MONITORING ANNUAL REPORT - XXX-XXX-97

Dear Mr. Rehder:

Enclosed please find two copies of the 1996 RFCA Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report for Rocky Flats Technology Site. This report satisfies annual reporting requirements of Attachment 5, Action Level Framework of the Rocky Flats Clean-Up Agreement for groundwater.

Please contact me at extension XXXX with any questions.

Steven W. Slaten RFCA Project Coordinator

SWS:xxx

Enclosures: As Stated

FINAL

1996 ANNUAL ROCKY FLATS CLEANUP AGREEMENT (RFCA)

GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

for

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site

November 18, 1997

VOLUME I OF II

This Binder includes Volume I and Volume II.

Volume II is presented in electronic format at the end of this Binder.

Prepared by:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vi
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION	1-1
1.2 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY	1-4
1.2.1 Introduction	1-4
1.2.2 Stratigraphy	1-4
1.2.2.1 Pediment-Covering Alluviums	1-4
1.2.2.2 Other Surficial Deposits	1-6
1.2.2.3 Arapahoe Formation	1-6
1.2.2.4 Laramie and Fox Hills Sandstone Formations	1-6
1.2.2.5 Pierre Formation	1-7
1.2.3 Geologic Structure	1-7
1.2.4 Hydrogeology	1-9
1.2.4.1 Definition of the Uppermost Aquifer for the Site	1-9
1.2.4.2 Groundwater Occurrence and Distribution	1-10
1.2.4.3 Groundwater Flow	
1.2.4.4 Hydraulic Conductivity	1-11
1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL HISTORY	1-13
1.3.1 Groundwater Contaminant Plumes	1-13
1.4 REGULATORY CHANGES AFFECTING THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM	1-14
1.4.1 Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement	1-14
1.4.2 Integrated Monitoring Plan for Groundwater	1-15
1.4.3 Changes to the Groundwater Monitoring Program	
2.0 DATA ANALYSIS	2-1
2.1 METHODS	2-1
2.2 GROUNDWATER DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT	2-1
2.2.1 METALS	2-5
2.2.1.1 Precision	2-5
2.2.1.2 Accuracy	2-6
2.2.1.3 Representativeness	2-6

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

	2.2.2 RADIONUCLIDES	2-6
	2.2.2.1 Precision	2-6
	2.2.2.2 Accuracy	2-7
	2.2.2.3 Representativeness	2-7
	2.2.3 VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS	2-7
	2.2.3.1 Precision	2-7
	2.2.3.2 Accuracy	2-7
	2.2.3.3 Representativeness	2-8
	2.2.4 WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS	2-8
	2.2.4.1 Precision	
	2.2.4.2 Accuracy	2-8
	2.2.4.3 Representativeness	
	2.3 DATA SUMMARY FOR RFCA DESIGNATED WELLS SAMPLED IN 1996	
	2.3.1 TIER I EXCEEDANCES	2-9
	2.3.2 TIER II EXCEEDANCES	
	2.4 DATA SUMMARY FOR NON-RFCA DESIGNATED WELLS SAMPLED IN 1996	2-22
	2.5 DISCUSSION OF GROUNDWATER PLUMES	2-30
	2.5.1 Volatile Organic Chemical (VOC) Plume	2-30
	2.5.2 Nitrate Plume	
3.0	GROUNDWATER FLOW CONDITIONS DURING 1996	3-1
	3.1 POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAPS	3-1
	3.2 AVERAGE LINEAR FLOW VELOCITIES	3-2
	3.3 WELL HYDROGRAPHS AND WATER LEVEL CHANGE MAPS	3-6
4.0	GROUNDWATER EVALUATIONS	4-1
	4.1 EAST TRENCHES PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELL 23296 AND 06091	
	4.2 MOUND PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELL 3586	
	4.3 SOLAR PONDS PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELLS 1786, P219489 AND P208389	4-4
	4.4 PU&D YARD PLUME	4-4
	4.5 INDUSTRIAL AREA PLUME	4-5
	4.5.1 Exceedance in Well P416889	4-5
	4.5.2 Exceedance in Wells P219189 and 22796	4-6
	4.5.3 Exceedance in Well 22896	4-7
	4.6 OTHER GROUNDWATER EXCEEDANCES	
	4.6.1 Manganese Exceedances in Wells 22596, 1986, P114389 and 7086	4-8
	4.6.2 Nickel in Well P313589:	
	4.6.3 Sulfate Exceedances in Wells 2987 and 10294:	4-8

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN

FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

5.0 OTHER PROJECTS INVOLVING GROUNDWATER	5-1
5.1 ASAP GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELING	5-1
5.1.1 Purpose Of ASAP Modeling Project	5-1
5.1.2 Precursor Site-Studies	5-1
5.1.3 Status of ASAP groundwater model	5-2
5.2 ACTINIDE MIGRATION PROJECT	5-3
5.2.1 Woman Creek Drainage	5-3
5.2.2 Walnut Creek Drainage	5-4
5.3 INDUSTRIAL AREA IM/IRA ACTIVITIES IN CY96	5-4
5.3.1 Building D&D Activities for FY97	5-5
5.4 FY96 WELL ABANDONMENT AND REPLACEMENT PROGRAM ACTIVITIES	5-5
5.4.1 Well Abandonments	5-7
5.4.2 Well Installations	
5.5 VERTICAL GROUNDWATER MIGRATION	5-13
6.0 REFERENCES	6-1
LIST OF TABLES	
TABLE 1-1. Monitoring Wells	1-16
TABLE 2-1. Summary of Sampling for RFCA Groundwater Locations in 1996 (by Quarter)	2-2
TABLE 2-2. 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells)	2-10
TABLE 2-3. RFCA-Designated Wells with No Analytes Above Tier II Action Levels	2-15
TABLE 2-4. Performance Monitoring Well Summary of Organics Reported Above the RFCA Act Levels and Inorganics Above the RFCA Action Level and the Background M2SD.	
TABLE 2-5 Plume Definition Well Summary of Organics Reported Above the RFCA Action Level and Inorganics Above the RFCA Action Level and the Background M2SD	
TABLE 2-6. Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells	2-23
TABLE 2-7. Uranium Isotope Atom Ratios for Filtered Groundwater Locations Above Background	d2-33
TABLE 2-8. Uranium Isotope Activity Ratios for Filtered Groundwater Locations Above Background	2-34
TABLE 3-1. Average Linear Flow Velocities for the Industrial Area and Surrounding Areas	3-3
TABLE 5-1. Phase I Wells, IHSS 110, Trench T-3	5-9
TABLE 5-2. Tier II Well Locations And Rationale	
TABLE 3-2. TIEF II WEII LOCATIONS AND RATIONALE	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	1. General Location Map	.1-2
FIGURE 1-2	2. RFETS Location Map	.1-3
FIGURE 1-3	3. Generalized Stratigraphic Column for the Rocky Flats Area	.1-5
FIGURE 1-4	4. Generalized Geological Cross Section of the Front Range and the Rocky Flats Areas	.1-8
FIGURES 2	-1 through 96. Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells - Groundwater 19962	:-36
FIGURE 4-1	1. SW 59 Plume Investigation	.4-3
FIGURE 5-1	1. IA IM/IRA Well Installation Program	.5-6
FIGURE 5.2	2. IHSS 110, Trench T-3 Well Abandonment Program	.5-8
FIGURE 5-3	3. IHSS 113, Mound Site Well Abandonment Program5	-10
FIGURE 5-4	4. Tier II Monitoring Well Installation Program	-12
•	LIST OF PLATES	
PLATE 1.	RFETS Groundwater Well Location Map	
PLATE 2.	Potentiometric Surface of Unconsolidated Surficial Deposits - Second Quarter, 1996	
PLATE 3.	Potentiometric Surface of Unconsolidated Surficial Deposits - Fourth Quarter, 1996	
PLATE 4.	Radionuclides in Groundwater, RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 5.	Dissolved Metals in Groundwater, RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 6.	VOCs in Groundwater, RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 7.	Water Quality Parameters in Groundwater, RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 8.	Radionuclides in Groundwater, non-RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 9.	Dissolved Metals in Groundwater, non-RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 10.	VOCs in Groundwater, non-RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 11.	Water Quality Parameters in Groundwater, non-RFCA Wells, 1996	
PLATE 12.	VOCs in Groundwater	
PLATE 13.	Nitrate Concentrations in Groundwater, 1991-1995 (Avg)	
PLATE 14.	Nitrate Concentrations in Groundwater, 1996	
PLATE 15.	Tritium Concentrations in Groundwater, 1991-1995 (Avg)	
PLATE 16.	Tritium Concentrations in Groundwater, 1996	
PLATE 17.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater, 1991-1995 (U-233/34)	
PLATE 18.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentrations in Groundwater, 1996 (U-233/34)	
PLATE 19.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentration in Groundwater, 1991-1995 (U-235)	
PLATE 20.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentration in Groundwater, 1996 (U-235)	
PLATE 21.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentration in Groundwater, 1991-1995 (U-238)	
PLATE 22.	Filtered Radionuclide Concentration in Groundwater, 1996 (U-238)	

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

LIST OF APPENDICES

(Located In Volume II of II)*

APPENDIX A.	Data Quality Assessment Tables	A-
APPENDIX B-1.	1996 Groundwater Analytical Data - RFCA Wells	B.1-1
APPENDIX B-2.	1996 Groundwater Analytical Data - Non-RFCA Wells	B.2-1
APPENDIX C.	1991-1995 MEANS Data	
APPENDIX D.	Water Level Data	D~
APPENDIX E.	Hydrographs	E-1

^{*} This Binder includes Volume I and Volume II. Volume II is presented in electronic format at the end of this Binder.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Report, which is required annually according to the Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA, 1996. Section 5 Attachment), summarizes the groundwater monitoring activities and results at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS) for calendar year (CY) 1996.

Section 1 will serve as a brief introduction to the report, and will summarize the Site environmental history and the hydrogeologic setting. Section 2 will discuss the groundwater quality data collected in CY96 and will contain updated plume maps for radionuclides and nitrate. Section 3 will present some baseline hydrogeologic data for the recently defined RFCA groundwater monitoring network. Section 4 will discuss the evaluation activities that are in process for exceedances reported in this report. Section 5 gives a brief summary of other activities at RFETS in CY96 that involved groundwater.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report summarizes the groundwater monitoring activities and results at RFETS for CY96, as required in the Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement (RFCA, 1996), and outlined in the Integrated Monitoring Plan (IMP) (K-H, 1997). Section 1 will serve as a brief introduction to the report. Section 2 discusses the groundwater quality data collected in CY96 and contains updated plume maps for radionuclides. Section 3 presents some baseline hydrogeologic data for the new recently defined RFCA groundwater monitoring network. Section 4 discusses the evaluation activities that are in process for exceedances reported in this document. Section 5 gives a brief summary of other activities at RFETS in CY96 that involve groundwater.


1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site is located 16 miles northwest of Denver in Jefferson County, Colorado, and is situated within a 50-mile radius of 2.1 million people. The Site encompasses approximately 6,550 acres of federally-owned land (Figure 1-1). Ownership, however, does not include surface and subsurface minerals or water rights. The Site is a U.S. government-owned and contractor-operated facility. Site construction was initiated in 1951 and operations were begun in 1952.

RFETS was part of the nationwide nuclear weapons research, development, and production complex governed by its original mission. The plant produced metal components for nuclear weapons from plutonium, uranium, beryllium, and stainless steel. Other production activities included chemical recovery and purification of recyclable transuranic radionuclides, metal fabrication and assembly, and related quality control functions. The plant conducted research and development programs in metallurgy, machining, nondestructive testing, coatings, remote engineering, chemistry, and physics. Parts manufactured at the Site were shipped offsite for final assembly.

Major plant structures, including all production buildings, are located within a 400-acre Industrial Area (IA) of the Site (Figure 1-2), with a 6,150-acre Buffer Zone that surrounds the Industrial Area. Industrial activity immediately adjoining the Site includes present and/or prior coal and clay mining, petroleum recovery, natural classified-aggregate quarrying, and fabricated-aggregate mining. Other activities include cattle ranching and wind energy research. Several irrigation ditches intersect the Site, transmitting water for downstream agricultural, industrial, and municipal purposes. Three ephemeral streams drain the Site and flow eastward (see Figure 1-2).

FIGURE 1-1. General Location Map.



1.2 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

1.2.1 Introduction

The Site is situated approximately two miles east of the Front Range of Colorado (Figure 1-1), on the western margin of the Colorado Piedmont section of the Great Plains Physiographic Province (Spencer, 1961). The geologic history of the Rocky Mountain region which includes the Site area of Colorado has been summarized by Haun and Kent (1965). The elevation at the Site is approximately 6,000 feet above mean sea level (MSL). The Industrial Area of the Site is located on alluvial-covered pediment. The upper surface of the alluvium slopes easterly 1 to 2 degrees. Most of the surrounding area in the Buffer Zone is more prominently dissected with intermittent streams. These small, eastward flowing streams include Rock Creek, Walnut Creek, Woman Creek, and several surface water diversion ditches.

1.2.2 Stratigraphy


The stratigraphic sequence that underlies the Site extends from the crystalline Precambrian gneiss, schist, and granitoids at 3,000 feet below MSL to the unconsolidated Quaternary deposits at surface approximately 6,000 feet above MSL. Based upon aerial photographic interpretation, field geologic mapping, coal and aggregate mine development, petroleum exploration in the vicinity, and numerous borehole investigations, a substantial amount of lithologic information has been gained about the Site. The generalized lithologic section in the Rocky Flats area is shown in Figure 1-3.

Bedrock formations from the uppermost Cretaceous Pierre, Fox Hills, Laramie, and Arapahoe Formations are present and exposed at the surface and beneath the Site. The Quaternary Rocky Flats Alluvium, and to a limited extent Verdos Alluvium, unconformably overlie the Cretaceous Arapahoe and Laramie Formations in the central portion of the Site. The unconsolidated surficial deposits, combined with the weathered portion of subcropping bedrock formations, form the sequence of rocks which have the greatest importance regarding groundwater flow and contaminant transport at the Site.

1.2.2.1 Pediment-Covering Alluviums

Several Quaternary alluvial formation pediment covers have been identified in the vicinity of the Site by Scott (1975). The Rocky Flats Alluvium is an unconsolidated deposit derived from quartzites and granites of the Coal Creek Canyon provenance west of the Site. The deposit diminishes from west to east with thicknesses ranging from approximately 100 feet to less than one foot. In the central portion of the Site, the deposit is approximately 15 to 25 feet thick. The Rocky Flats Alluvium is a heterogeneous deposit dominantly composed of angular to subrounded, poorly-sorted, coarse, bouldery-gravel with a clay and sand matrix. Clay, silt, and sand lenses as well as varying amounts of caliche are also present.

FIGURE 1-3. Generalized Stratigraphic Column for the Rocky Flats Area



Exposures of Rocky Flats Alluvium in the aggregate quarries north and west of the Site exhibit some large scale cross-stratification. Depositional processes include fluvial and debris-flow transport (Shroba and Carrara, 1994) infilling paleotopographic lows but leaving a widespread surface of erosion with extremely low relief.

1.2.2.2 Other Surficial Deposits

In addition to the pediment-forming alluvial deposits, younger Quaternary units consisting of colluvium, landslide alluvium, and valley fill alluvium mantle the hillslopes and valley bottoms below the pediment surface. Colluvial deposits are derived from Arapahoe and Laramie Formations and older alluvial deposits. This unit consists of sheetwash, soil creep, and landslide materials in a total thickness of 3 to 16 feet (Shroba and Carrara, 1994). These deposits locally flank the Rocky Flats Alluvium and generally extend to lower parts of the slopes along the principal drainages.

Landslide deposits more commonly flank the Rocky Flats Alluvium. They are often bounded by headwall scarps and lobate toes at the downslope margins. Seeps issuing from the base of the Rocky Flats Alluvium contribute to landslide colluvium generation. The landslide units include earth flows, slumps, and debris flows in a thickness estimated between 10 to 33 feet (Shroba and Carrara, 1994).

Valley-fill alluvial deposits, present in the bottoms of modern stream channels, flood plains, and terraces, are composed of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. They are commonly less than 10 feet thick but can be tens of feet thick. Usually these deposits contain more sand than the Rocky Flats Alluvium and are better sorted.

1.2.2.3 Arapahoe Formation

The Arapahoe Formation is composed of claystones and silty claystones with lenticular sandstones in the basal portion of the Formation. The Arapahoe Formation is generally less than 25 feet thick in the Site area, occurring as erosional remnants of fine grained sandstone above the Laramie Formation at various locations on Site (EG&G, 1995b). This basal Arapahoe Formation sandstone, which is currently defined as the No. 1 Sandstone on Site, is of concern as a potential contamination pathway, especially where it subcrops beneath the alluvial/bedrock unconformity.

1.2.2.4 Laramie and Fox Hills Sandstone Formations

The Laramie Formation is approximately 600 to 800 feet thick and is composed of a lower sandstone/claystone/coal interval and an upper, thick claystone interval. Within the upper claystone interval, thin, lenticular sandstone lenses (i.e., Sandstones 2 through 5 in the 1991 Geologic Characterization Report (EG&G, 1991a)) occur. The discontinuous nature of these sandstone lenses

coupled with the large claystone layer that encloses them, mitigates their potential for transmitting groundwater contamination in both a horizontal and vertical direction.

The Fox Hills sandstone is primarily a fine-grained sandstone with an approximate thickness of between 75 to 125 feet with thin siltstone and claystone interbeds. The Fox Hills sandstone outcrops and subcrops along a narrow, north-south trending pattern in the extreme western part of the Site upgradient from known sources of contamination.

The permeable lower sandstones and coals of the Laramie, combined with the permeable sandstones of the Fox Hills, constitute a regional aquifer system known as the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer. This aquifer system is an important water source in the South Platte River Basin (Pearl, 1980), and is the sole water supply for some residents in the Rocky Flats area. This aquifer lies approximately 500 to 600 feet below the Industrial Area and is protected from possible contamination by the intervening Laramie Formation claystones.


1.2.2.5 Pierre Formation

The Pierre Formation is a 7,500 foot thick, dark gray, silty bentonitic shale that acts as a lower confining layer for the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer in the Denver Basin. This thick marine shale unit subcrops only in the extreme western part of the Site.

1.2.3 Geologic Structure

The Site is located along the western margin of the Denver Basin, an asymmetric basin with a steeply east-dipping western flank and a gentle eastern flank. The interpretation of the subsurface structure is generalized in the east-west geological cross section of the Site area presented in Figure 1-4. A monoclinal fold limb exposed west of the Site is the most significant surficial structural feature in the Site area. Along the west limb of the fold, an angular unconformity exists between the Upper Cretaceous bedrock and the base of the Quaternary Rocky Flats Alluvium.

No active faults have been identified at the Site. Several high angle bedrock faults have been inferred to exist in the industrial area of the Site based on various stratigraphic and borehole correlation criteria. These faults appear to have only a limited hydrologic significance with regard to vertical groundwater movement and contaminant transport (RMRS, 1996c).



FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

1.2.4 Hydrogeology

This section presents the basic concepts about the hydrogeologic conditions at the Site that affect groundwater monitoring and protection. Characterization of the hydrogeologic setting is based on the currently accepted conceptual geologic and hydrogeologic models described in the Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study (EG&G, 1995a, 1995b, 1995d). These conceptual geologic and hydrogeologic models are used to predict the direction and rate of groundwater flow, identify potential pathways for contaminant migration, and determine the extent of contaminant plumes given varying physical, chemical, and biological factors.

1.2.4.1 Definition of the Uppermost Aquifer for the Site

The term aquifer as defined by 40 CFR Section 260.10 is a "geologic formation, group of formations, or a part of a formation that is capable of yielding a significant amount of water to a well or spring." An uppermost aquifer is also defined as "the geologic formation nearest the natural ground surface that is an aquifer, as well as lower aquifers that are hydraulically interconnected with this aquifer within the facility's boundary. Geologic materials with similar hydrologic properties comprise a hydrostratigraphic unit (HSU) (Fetter, 1988). For purposes of this report, the uppermost aquifer or upper hydrostratigraphic unit (UHSU) consists of the unconfined saturated zone, in which unconsolidated and consolidated groundwater-bearing strata are in hydraulic communication. The UHSU consists of the following geologic units: Rocky Flats Alluvium, valley-fill alluvium, colluvium, landslide deposits, weathered Arapahoe and Laramie Formation bedrock, and all sandstones within the Arapahoe and upper Laramie Formations in hydraulic communication with the overlying unconsolidated surficial deposits. The UHSU is considered to be equivalent to the uppermost aquifer at the Site.

Beneath the surficial materials and the consolidated sandstones of the UHSU are the geologic units of the lower hydrostratigraphic unit (LHSU). The LHSU consists of the consolidated, unweathered bedrock zone of the Arapahoe and upper Laramie Formations not in hydraulic communication with the overlying UHSU. The Arapahoe and upper Laramie Formations comprising the geologic units of the LHSU consist of lesser amounts of sandstone and greater amounts of adjacent claystones. Because of the low permeability of the claystones, they behave as aquitards restricting hydraulic communication with the UHSU. The lower Laramie and Fox Hills Sandstone Formations comprise a stratigraphically lower and third hydrostratigraphic unit beneath the Site. Groundwaters of the three hydrostratigraphic units are hydraulically separated beneath the IA of the Site. They do converge, however, and are in mutual contact immediately upgradient near the western margin of the Site due to monoclinal folding and erosional proximity. Initially, background geochemical characterization of the UHSU and LHSU revealed that

these units have statistically different groundwater chemistry concluding with the delineation of separate hydrostratigraphic units (EG&G, 1993b). In addition, possible communication of the hydrostratigraphic units along other geologic structures is currently being assessed. More detailed differentiation of the LHSU will be achieved as new hydrogeologic and geochemical data are generated from Site investigations currently proposed or in progress.

1.2.4.2 Groundwater Occurrence and Distribution

The Site is located in a regional groundwater recharge area (EG&G, 1991a). Groundwater recharge occurs from the infiltration of incident precipitation and as base flow near the upgradient area of the Site drainage basin which extends west to Coal Creek. Groundwater recharge occurs from the infiltration of precipitation and from stream, ditch, and pond seepage. Much of the groundwater which discharges from the UHSU to streams and seeps evaporates as it is being discharged. Limited investigation of the former OU2 area during the period of July through October 1993 indicated that the precipitation component of recharge was lost to evapotranspiration demands (EG&G, 1993d).

In the western part of the Site, where the thickness of the Rocky Flats Alluvium reaches 100 feet, the depth to the water table is 50 to 70 feet below the surface. The depth to water generally becomes shallower from west to east as the alluvial material thins and the confining claystones approach the ground surface. At the head of stream drainages and valley sides, seeps are common at the base of the Rocky Flats Alluvium where it is in contact with claystones of the Arapahoe/Laramie Formations, and where the Arapahoe Formation sandstone crops out. In general, the unconsolidated surficial materials are thicker in the western, higher elevations at the Site. Accordingly, the saturated thickness of these materials also thins eastward. The potentiometric surface of groundwater in unconsolidated surficial deposits has been mapped and is shown on Plates 2 and 3. The periods illustrated represent the times of year when static water levels are highest. Extensive areas of unsaturated and seasonally unsaturated alluvium and colluvium are indicated east and northeast of the IA.

Groundwater in the Arapahoe Formation sandstone units, which subcrop beneath the alluvial material, is not confined when in contact with the surficial materials. In this setting, a hydraulic connection exists between the bedrock sandstone and the alluvial material allowing the bedrock groundwater to exist under unconfined conditions as part of the UHSU. The subcropping Arapahoe Formation No. 1 Sandstone located in the eastern portion of the IA and in the area between South Walnut Creek and Woman Creek is part of the UHSU (EG&G, 1991a). The upper discontinuous sandstones of the Laramie Formation also subcrop beneath alluvium and colluvium, but in limited areas in the valleys and along valley slopes.

Groundwater in the lenticular sandstone units of the Laramie Formation occurs under confined conditions over scattered areas of the Site.

Groundwater levels in UHSU wells fluctuate in response to seasonal recharge events. Approximately 15 percent of the groundwater monitoring wells are commonly dry during at least one of the quarterly sampling events. Of the remaining wells, approximately half cannot yield sufficient water volume (4.5 gallons) specified for laboratory samples. Sampling crews must return later after wells have recovered and obtain additional sample volumes.

1.2.4.3 Groundwater Flow

The shallow groundwater flow regime at the Site is illustrated by the configuration of potentiometric contours in Plates 2 and 3. These maps indicate that groundwater flow is largely controlled by the topography of the bedrock surface. Groundwater in the ridge tops generally flows toward the east-northeast. In areas where the ridge tops are dissected by east-northeast trending stream drainages, groundwater flows to the north or south toward the bottom of the valleys. In the valley bottoms, groundwater flows to the east, generally following the course of the stream. Shallow groundwater flow is primarily lateral due to the low permeability of the underlying claystone bedrock.

A potential for vertical groundwater flow, although limited by the low permeability of bedrock claystones, is indicated by the presence of strong downward vertical hydraulic gradients between the UHSU and underlying bedrock units. This situation implies a condition of poor hydraulic communication. For example, vertical gradients on the order of 0.79 to 1.05 ft/ft have been calculated between colluvial and bedrock sandstones. The vertical groundwater flux through claystones is assumed to be small, on the order of 10⁻¹⁰ to 10⁻⁷ cm/sec, based on calculations provided in RMRS (1996c). Fracturing, where evident, is most abundant in the weathered bedrock zone, but is observed to decrease with depth in unweathered bedrock. Preferential vertical groundwater flow and contaminant transport along fractures or fault zones do not appear to represent a viable pathway for contaminant migration based on an assessment of available data (RMRS, 1996c).

1.2.4.4 Hydraulic Conductivity

The UHSU at the Site has a relatively low to moderate hydraulic conductivity that typically yields small amounts of water to groundwater monitoring wells. The UHSU exhibits a wide-range of hydraulic conductivities because of the diverse nature of the individual geologic units that comprise this unit. Summary statistics for UHSU hydraulic conductivities (EG&G, 1995c, Table G-2) indicate a range of 5.0 x 10⁻² cm/sec (3.0 x 104 feet per year [ft/yr]) to 3 x 10⁻⁸ cm/sec (9.3 x 10-1 ft/yr). Listed in order of

decreasing geometric mean hydraulic conductivity, the relative ranking of individual units of the UHSU is presented as follows: valley-fill alluvium (2.5 x 10^{-3} cm/sec); Arapahoe No. 1 sandstone (7.9 x 10^{-4} cm/sec); Rocky Flats Alluvium (2.1 x 10^{-4} cm/sec); colluvium (9.3 x 10^{-5} cm/sec); weathered Laramie Formation sandstones (3.9 x 10^{-5} cm/sec); and weathered Laramie Formation claystones (8.8 x 10^{-7} cm/sec).

Hydraulic conductivities for LHSU materials are generally the lowest measured at the Site with geometric mean values for individual lithologic groups ranging from 1.6 x 10⁻⁷ to 5.8 x 10⁻⁷ cm/sec (EG&G, 1995c, Table G-2). The low permeability and 600+ foot thickness of the upper Laramie Formation claystones act as an effective aquitard that restricts downward vertical groundwater flow and contaminant transport to the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer (RMRS, 1996c).

In summary, the following major geologic and hydrologic parameters influence groundwater flow at the Site (EG&G, 1995a; 1995c):

- (1) Topography controls the surface waters of the upslope drainage basin which in part recharges groundwater and the three principal streams draining the Site. The majority of shallow groundwater is intercepted by these drainages.
- (2) The lithology and permeability of the unconsolidated surficial deposits permit meteoric waters to recharge the water table. The water table is contained in alluvium and weathered bedrock.
- (3) Paleotopography of the bedrock pediment, which is less permeable than the overlying unconsolidated surficial deposits, serves to focus groundwater movement along bedrock "lows."
- (4) Paleoweathering of shallow bedrock materials has enhanced the permeability of the upper 10 to 60 feet relative to unweathered bedrock.
- (5) The permeability of bedrock units, composed primarily of claystone with lesser amounts of siltstone and sandstone, is generally several orders of magnitude less than for unconsolidated surficial deposits. The 600+ feet of unweathered bedrock between the shallow groundwater flow system and deep regional Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer provides an effective barrier to vertical groundwater and contaminant movement.

1.3 ENVIRONMENTAL HISTORY

Processing and fabrication of weapons-related components began at the Site in 1952 and continued through 1981. During operation, environmental protection measures were established that seemed consistent with prudent environmental management. However, some activities resulted in the environmental contamination of portions of the Site. Efforts to document the extent of Site contamination are in progress, in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), and the RFCA, a cooperative agreement between U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). In addition, a historical release report (HRR) (DOE, 1992a) has been developed that documents knowledge gained to date about contamination arising from past practices. The HRR is updated annually to document any changes in status for known spills and contaminant sources.

Documented areas of soil contamination have been designated as Individual Hazardous Substance Sites (IHSSs). Many of these IHSSs have been characterized as part of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) process which was conducted under the Interagency Agreement (IAG, 1991) between DOE, CDPHE and EPA. Some of these IHSSs are currently scheduled for excavation and treatment as Accelerated Actions conducted by the Environmental Restoration Department.

1.3.1 Groundwater Contaminant Plumes

Groundwater investigations at the Site have determined that some IHSSs have contaminated groundwater. The most widespread contamination is that of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Plate 12 shows the distribution of VOC contamination in the UHSU. Plume definition is inexact however, because of limitations in well coverage, variability of hydrostratigraphic conditions, and local variations in groundwater transport velocity. Published plume maps for individual constituents can be found in the 1993 Well Evaluation Report (EG&G, 1994b), the annual RCRA Groundwater reports (EG&G, 1992, 1993a, 1994a, 1995c; RMRS/KH, 1996) and in individual OU RI/RFI reports.

The VOC contaminant plumes in groundwater at RFETS have the most potential to impact surface water. These plumes have been defined on the basis of exceedances above the RFCA Tier II Action Level for individual constituents. To delineate areas of highly contaminated groundwater, the Tier I groundwater action levels of 100 x Tier II Action Level were compared against all groundwater data for the most common VOCs in groundwater. The exceedances were plotted and are shown on Plate 12. The most probable sources were identified using the results of recent field sampling programs and correlating this

with our knowledge of Site processes (see RMRS, 1996b). A flow diagram (RMRS, 1996b) illustrates the method used to locate the contaminant plumes and corresponding sources, and to determine which areas should be evaluated for potential remedial action. Other contaminants will also be addressed where there is a potential impact to surface water exceeding action levels.

Six VOC groundwater contaminant plumes have been identified where contaminant concentrations exceed Tier I Action Levels (see Plate 12). These groundwater contaminant plumes include: (1) IHSS 119.1 Plume, (2) Mound Plume, (3) 903 Pad and Ryan's Pit Plume, (4) Carbon Tetrachloride Plume, (5) East Trenches Area Plume, and (6) Industrial Area Plume. In addition, there are two plumes with contaminant concentrations exceed Tier II Action Levels and have the potential to impact surface water. These plumes are the Present Landfill and the Property Utilization and Disposal (PU&D) Yard (RMRS, 1996b).

In addition to the VOC plumes, there are other constituents that exceed action levels in groundwater. This report will present updated plume maps for radionuclides (uranium and tritium) and nitrate. Evaluation of metals anomalies has been curtailed pending re-evaluation of background thresholds which will be done in FY98.

1.4 REGULATORY CHANGES AFFECTING THE GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

1.4.1 Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement

The RFCA was officially adopted on July 19, 1996. The RFCA replaces the IAG as the environmental cleanup agreement for RFETS. The RFCA outlines the goals, objectives, and strategies that will lead to the RFETS cleanup and closure mission objectives. The Action Level Framework (ALF) attachment to the RFCA contains specific requirements for environmental monitoring and reporting, and it sets Action Levels for contaminant concentrations in groundwater and in other media. The IMP is required under RFCA to further define the monitoring programs for the Site.

To align the groundwater monitoring program with the new RFETS mission and RFCA requirements, the monitoring network was evaluated in 1996. A data quality objective (DQO) process was used to determine the decisions that were necessary for groundwater and the function of each well in the network in supporting those decisions. DOE, CDPHE and EPA stakeholders were directly involved in decisions involving the monitoring network. Results of this evaluation are presented in the IMP discussed below.

1.4.2 Integrated Monitoring Plan for Groundwater

The IMP outlines the goals for groundwater monitoring (and other environmental media), and describes the various components of the groundwater monitoring program. To evaluate groundwater monitoring needs, one must know the RFCA ALF for groundwater, the Site history and areas of contamination, the physical and hydrogeologic setting of the Site; the effect of contaminated areas on groundwater, and the nature of the groundwater contaminant plumes. This information is presented in Appendices A, B, C, and D of the groundwater section of the IMP, respectively. Appendix E of the groundwater section lists the wells that will be monitored for water quality or for groundwater flow.

In the past, two plans have been required at RFETS to comply with DOE Order 5400.1 (DOE, 1988, Page III-2), a Groundwater Protection & Management Program Plan and a Groundwater Monitoring Plan. These two plans have historically been combined into one document, the Groundwater Protection and Monitoring Program Plan (GPMPP) (EG&G, 1993c), which defines and describes the groundwater protection and monitoring programs at the Site. In addition, an assessment groundwater monitoring plan was required under the RCRA for the interim status units on Site. This Plan is called the Groundwater Assessment Plan (GWAP) (DOE, 1993b). Other monitoring plans have been developed to address groundwater monitoring requirements as outgrowths of various CERCLA Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action (IM/IRA) decision documents. The IMP will serve as the Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Site, and it will replace the requirements found in the group of plans named above. It will also revise the requirements of the routine groundwater monitoring portion of the IA IM/IRA decision document (DOE, 1994) and the French Drain IM/IRA Plan (DOE, 1992b).

The IMP will be finalized for public review in late 1997. Draft portions of the IMP have been reviewed by DOE, CDPHE and EPA.

1.4.3 Changes to the Groundwater Monitoring Program

With the implementation of the IMP for groundwater monitoring, a number of changes have been made to the program. In the beginning of CY96 the monitoring program consisted of a network of 150 wells. Half were monitored semiannually and half were monitored quarterly. Subsequent re-evaluation of the monitoring network using DQO decisions developed as part of IMP reduced the monitoring network to 89 wells sampled semiannually.

The groundwater monitoring network, as defined in the draft IMP (K-H, 1997), has seven categories of monitoring wells. Table 1-1 lists the wells in the current monitoring program. The decision rule

TABLE 1-1. Monitoring Wells

METT NO	FREQUENCY	IMP Well Class	PLUMEVAREA	DRIVERS	FORMATION	DECISION/PURPOSE
6486	Semiannual	D	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Drainage well monitoring the Woman Cr. drainage downgradient of the 881 Hillside Plume
5587	Semiannual	D	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL.	Drainage well monitoring the Woman Cr. drainage south of the 881 Hillside Plume
5387	Semiannuai	PĒ	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent south of the 881Hillside Plume
4887	Semiannuai	PE	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent south of the 881 Hillside Plume
4787	Semiannual	PE	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent south of the 881 Hillside Plume
38591	Semiannual	D	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Drainage well in Woman Cr. Drainage below 881 Hillside Plume
35691	Semiannuai	PM	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Performance Monitoring for 881 Footing Drain Sump
11092	Semiannual	PM	881 Hillside	RFCA, IM/IRA-FD	AL AL	Performance Monitoring for the French Drain
10992	Semiannual Semiannual	PM PM	881 Hillside 881 Hillside	RFCA, IM/RA-FD RFCA, IM/RA-FD	AL.	Performance Monitoring for the French Drain Performance Monitoring for the French Drain
10/92	Semiannual	PM	881 Hiliside	RFCA IMIRA-FD	~	Performance Monitoring for the French Drain
10592	Semiannuai	PM	881 Hillside	RECA IMIRA-ED	AL	Performance Monitoring for the French Drain
0487	Semiannual	PO	881 Hillside	RFCA	AL	Plume Definition well for the 881 Hillside Plume
6586	Semiannual	D	903 Ped	RFCA	AL	Drainage well monitoring the No. side Woman Cr. below 903Pad/Ryans Pit Plume
6386	Semiannuai	PD	903 Ped	, RPCA	AL	Plume Definition well monitoring pathway to Woman Cr. in the 903 Pad/Ryans Pit Plume
6286	Semiannual	PO	903 Pad	RFCA	BO/USHU	Plume Definition well monitoring pathway to Woman Cr. in the 903 Pad/Ryans Pit. Plume
3087	Semiannual	PO	903 Pad	RFCA	80	Plume Definition well monitoring pathway to Woman Cr. in the 903 Pad/Ryana Pit Plume
2987	Semiannual	PO	903 Ped	RFCA	AL	Piume Definition well monitoring pathway to Woman Cr. in the 903 Pad/Ryans Pit. Plume Plume Extent well monitoring the southward migration of the Ryans Pit/903
23196	Semiannual	PE	903 Pad	RFCA	AL .	Plume Edent well monitoring the southern migration of the Ryans-OU2
23096	Semiannual	PE	903 Pad	RFCA	AL	VOA Plume
22996	Semiennual	D O	Bidg 886	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL	Building D&D well monitoring potential rad contamination near 886 lab
41691	Semiannuai	8	Boundary	RFCA, AIP	AL	Boundary Well - in the Walnut Cr. Drainage at the Indiana Street Boundary
41591	Semiannual	8	Boundary	RFCA, AIP	AL.	Boundary Well - in small drainage near east access gate
10394	Semiannusi	8	Boundary	RFCA, AIP	AL	Boundary Well - In the Woman Cr. Drainage at the Indiana Street Boundary
10294	Semiannual Semiannual	8	Boundary	RECA, AIP	AL. BOJUHSU	Boundary Well - in drainage below Pond D-2 in the southeast comer of the Site Boundary Well - in small drainage east of the Site at Indiana St.
0386	Semiannuai	B	Boundary	RFCA AIP	BOUHSU	Boundary Well - in small drainage north of the east access gate
P219189	Semiannual	PD	Carbon Tet	RFCA, RCRA	AL.	Plume Definition well for VOC contamination comming from Carbon Tet
P209389	Semiannuai	PO	Carbon Tet	RFCA RCRA	80	Plume Definition well in the Carbon Tet Plume
P209289	Semiannual	PD	Carbon Tet	RFCA, RCRA	AL.	Plume Definition well in the Carbon Tet. Plume
23298	Semiannusi	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the East Trenches Area Plume
10194	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the southern migration of the East Trenches Plume
08091	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL/BO	Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast migration of the East Trenches Plume
05091	Semiannual	PΕ	East Trenches	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the eastward migration of the East Trenches Plume
04991	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL .	Plume Extent well monitoring the eastward migration of the East Trenches Plume
04591	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL .	Plume Extent well monitoring the southward migration of the East Trenches Plume Plume Extent well monitoring the northward migration of the East Trenches
04091	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL.	Plume
03991	Semiannual	PE	East Trenches	RFCA	AL	Plume Definition well monitoring the East Trenches Plume
10994	Semiannual	P€	IAVOId Landfill	RECA	AL	Plume Extent IA VOA Plume/Old Landfill Plume neer Woman Cr.
7086	Semiennual	PE	iA\Old Landfill	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring IA Plume and Old Landfill Plume pathway in Wortan Cr.
P416889	Semiannual	PO	Ind, Area	RFCA, IMVIRA for IA	AL.	Plume Definition of IA Plume south of Bldg, 684 along pathway to Woman Cr.
P416789	Semiannual	PD	Ind. Area	RIFCA, IMVIRA for IA	AL	Plume Definition of IA Plume south of 400 area along pathway to Woman Cr.
P416689	Semiannual	PE	ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL .	Plume Extent to monitor southern migration of IA Plume south of Bidg. 440
P314289	Semiannual	PE	Ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL .	Plume Extent to monitor the southern migration of IA Plume near Bidg. 850 Plume Extent to monitor the eastward migration of IA Plume near Bidg.
P313589	Semiannual	PE	Ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL	Plume Extent well to monitor extent of PU&D yard plume pathway to
P114389 6186	Semiannual Semiannual	PE PE	Ind. Area	RFCA RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL.	Walnut Cr. Plume Extent well monitoring essward migration of IA Plume
43392	Semiannuai	PE	Ind. Area	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring southward migration of IA Plume
22898	Semiannual	PE	ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL	Plume Extent: well monitoring the northward migration of IA VOA Plume
22796	Semiennusi	PE.	Ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL.	Plume Extent well monitoring the northward migration of Carbon Tet Plume
22896	Semiannual	PE.	Ind. Area	RFCA, IM/IRA for IA	AL.	Plume Extent well monitoring the westward migration of the Carbon Tet Plume

TABLE 1-1. Monitoring Wells (cont'd)

2094 Semiannual PC Landte RFCA RCRA AL RCRA/Plume Edant was monitoring downgration of Landte Plume Scales Semiannual RCRA Landte RFCA RCRA AL RCRA/Plume Edant was monitoring downgration of Landte Plume Scales Semiannual RCRA Landte RFCA RCRA AL RCRA/Plume Edant was monitoring downgration of Landte Plume Addrover Semiannual PC Mound RFCA ACRA AL RCRA/Plume Edant was monitoring downgration of Landte Plume Addrover Semiannual PE Mound RFCA AL Drainage Wide - Nation Port B4 in South Water Creek Drainage and Plume Semiannual PE Mound RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring So. Water Cr. Drainage below Mound I Plume Semiannual PE Mound RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring So. Water Cr. Drainage below Mound I Plume Semiannual PE OL Landte Plume A Plume Edant was monitoring the southern migration of Mound and E Tranches Plumes Plumes Remarkable PRE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume New West Semiannual PE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume New West Semiannual PE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume Plume PRE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume New West Semiannual PE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume New West Semiannual PE PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the PUBD Yard Plume New West Semiannual PC RFCA PLUD RFCA AL Plume Edant was monitoring the southern migration of the Solar P Plume Plume New West Semiannual RFC RFC RFCA AL Plume Definition was monitoring the southern migration of the PUBD Yard Plume Plume New West Semiannual RFC RFCA PLUD RFCA RFCA AL PLUD RFCA RFCA AL PLUME Plume Plume New West Semiannual RFCA PLUD RFCA RFCA AL PRESEDENT New Plume Plumb	WELL NO	FREQUENCY	IMP Well Class	PLUMEVAREA	DRIVERS	FORMATION	DECISIONAPURPOSE
Seminannual PE. Ind. Ana. RPCA CA.	22596	Semiannual	₽€	Ind. Area	RFCA, IMIRA for IA	ΑŁ	Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the IA Plume
ECOSED Semiannual RCPA Landle RFCA RCPA EQUASU RCPAParum Extent was monotoring dougnations of Landle Plane Plane Semiannual RCPA Landle RFCA RCPA A. Plane Plantloon was monotoring the sealured rigination of the PLUD Plane RCPAParum RCPA RCPA RCPAParum RCPA RCPAParum RCPA RCPAParum RCPA RCPAParum RCPAPA RCPAPARUM RCPAPA	2186	Semiannual	PE	Ind. Area	RFCA	8D/UHSU	Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the 'IA Plume
Pro	1986	Semiannual	PE.	Ind. Area	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the IA PLume
2094 Semannual RCRA Landfill RFCA RCRA A. RCRAPhame Estert wall minostoring dosungrasiset of Landfill Plume 1995 Semannual RCRA Landfill RFCA RCRA A. RCRAPhame Estert was minostoring dosungrasiset of Landfill Plume 1996 Semannual RCRA Landfill RFCA RCRA A. RCRAPhame Estert was minostoring dosungrasiset of Landfill Plume 1996 Semannual D. Mound RFCA A. RCRAPhame Estert was minostoring dosungrasiset of Landfill Plume 1998 Semannual D. Mound RFCA A. Drainage Well – before Principle For each Plume 1998 Semannual PE Mound RFCA A. Drainage Well – before Principle For each Plume 1998 Semannual PE Mound RFCA A. Plume Setert was minostoring to southern migration of Mound and El Transfill Plume 1998 Semannual PE Mound RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of Mound and El Transfill Plume 1998 Semannual PE PLAD RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of Mound and El Transfill Plume 1998 Semannual PE PLAD RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of Mound and El Transfill Plume 1998 Semannual PE PLAD RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of Mound and El Transfill Plume 1998 Semannual PE PLAD RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of the South Plume 1998 Semannual PE PLAD RFCA A. Plume Estert was minostoring to southern migration of the South Plume 1998 Semannual PD Seler Ponds RFCA A. Plume Destriction was minostoring the southern migration of the South Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA PLAD RFCA RCRA BOLKES Vigil Plume 1998 Semannual RCRA RCRA BOLKES Vig	B206989	Semiannual	RCRA	Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	BOWHSU	RCRA/Plume Extent well monitoring downgradient of Landfill Plume
Seminantial PCRA Landfill PFCA RCPA A. RCRA/Pulme Boster value monitoring desurgement of Landfill Pulms 1,000	77392	Semiannual	PD	Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	AL	Plume Definition well monitoring the eastward migration of the PU&D Ya
AND Servisorous RCRA Landfill RFCA RCRA AL RCRA/Hume Editor twell monitoring dosurgrades of Landfill Plums 77962 Servisorous D Mound RFCA AL Drahage Wes - below Pord Bis In Sean White Lock Change 77962 Servisorous PR Mound RFCA AL Plums Editor twell monitoring So. Wainut Cric Drahage below Mound 1 RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring to exafter migration of Mound and El Plums Research 1 RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the southern migration of Mound and El Transcher Migration of Mound and El Transcher Migration Plums 1 RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the could end be a transchired to the RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the PLIAD Year Plums New Well Semiannusi PE RLID RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the PLIAD Year Plums New Well Semiannusi PD Soler Ponds RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the PLIAD Year Plums New Well Semiannusi RCRA PLIAD RFCA AL Plums Editor well monitoring the PLIAD Year Plums Plums New Well Semiannusi RCRA PLIAD RFCA RCRA BOLH-BU RFCA RCRA PLIAD RFCA	52994	Semiannual	RCRA	Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	AL.	RCRA/Plume Extent well monitoring downgradient of Landfill Pitme
3766 Servisennusis D Mound RFCA AL Drainage Wide - basin Profil &4 is South Within Creek Drainage	52894	Semiannual	RCRA	Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	AL	RCRA/Plume Extent well monitoring downgradient of Landfill Plume
75962 Semiannual PE Mount RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring 56. Wahrus Cr. Drainage below Mound in RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the coultern migration of Mound and Ed Transcher Plumes New Well Semiannual PE OKL Landste RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the coultern migration of Mound and Ed Transcher Plumes New Well Semiannual PE RLIDD RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the VILID Yard Plume New Well Semiannual PD Soler Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the PURD Yard Plume New Well Semiannual PD Soler Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the PURD Yard Plume New Well Semiannual PD Soler Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the PURD Yard Plume T0493 Semiannual RCRA PURD RFCA RCRA BDLHSU RFCA LA Plume Edent well monitoring the soletien migration of the Soler Ponds Semiannual RCRA PURD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA LA PURD RFCA RCRA AL PURD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA LA PURD RFCA RCRA AL PURD RFCA AL PURD RFCA RCRA AL PURD RFCA RCRA AL PURD RFCA RCRA AL PURD RFCA AL PURD RFCA RCRA RCRA RCRA RCRA RCRA RCRA RCRA	4087	Semiannual	RCRA	Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	AL	RCRA/Plume Extent well monitoring downgradient of Landfill Plume
Seminantual PE MoundE Trench RPCA AL Plume Educat usel monitoring the southern migration of Mound and E	3786	Semiannual	D	Mound	RFCA	AL	Drainage Well - below Pond B-4 in South Walnut Creek Drainage
New Well Sensinatural PE Old Landille RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the Old Landille Plume New Well Sensinatural PE PLIBD RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the Old Landille Plume New Well Sensinatural PE PLIBD RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the PUBD Varie Plume New Well Sensinatural PE PLIBD RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the PUBD Varie Plume New Well Sensinatural PD Sole Ponds RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the southern migration of the Sole P New Well Sensinatural PD Sole Ponds RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the southern migration of the Sole P New Well Sensinatural RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA BOULSU RCRA opposited Plume Definition well monitoring the solge of the PL T0903 Sensinatural RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA opposited Plume Definition well monitoring the solge of the PL T0903 Sensinatural RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA BOULSU RCRA opposited Plume Edeat well monitoring the PUBD Varie Plum Self Sensinatural RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA GRA AL RCRA opposited Plume Edeat well monitoring the PUBD Varie Plum Self Sensinatural PE PUBD Landill RPCA RCRA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the PUBD Varie Plum Self Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA RCRA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the Dubb Varie Plum P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA RCRA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the northwen migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA BOULSU Plume Edeat well monitoring the northwen migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA BOULSU Plume Edeat well monitoring the northwen migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA BOULSU Plume Edeat well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA BOULSU Plume Edeat well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA AL Plume Edeat well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitre P219399 Sensinatural PE Sole Ponds RPCA	75992	Semiannual	PE.	Mound	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring So. Walnut Cr. Drainage below Mound Site Plume
New Well Semiannual PE PLED RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the PLIBD Year Plume New Well Semiannual PE PLBD RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the PLIBD Year Plume Plume New Well Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the solar Plume T0493 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA BDNAHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the solar Plume T0593 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA BDNAHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the solge of the PC T0593 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA upgraders/Plume Definition well monitoring the edge of the PC T0593 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA upgraders/Plume Definition well monitoring the edge of the PC T0593 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA upgraders/Plume Extent well monitoring the PLBD Year Plume S887 Semiannual RCRA PLBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA upgraders/Plume Extent well monitoring the PLBD Year Plum S887 Semiannual PE PLBDLIndfill RPCA RCRA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the PLBD Year Plum S687 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the PLBD Year Plum PLBD Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the DEBD Year Plume PLBD Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the DEBD Year Plume PLBD Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume S1888 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume S1898 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume S1898 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume S1898 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume S1898 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the monitoring the solar Plume S1899 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the monitoring the SEP Nitrats and Ca	08091	Semiannual	PÉ	Moundle Trench	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the southern migration of Mound and East Trenches Plumes
New Well Semiannual PE PUBD RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the PUBD Yerd Plane 70493 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDAHSU RCRA CORPUSION was involved monitoring the acute minimate of the Sciar Plane 70493 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDAHSU RCRA prograded Plane Definition wall monitoring the edge of the PI 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA DEPUBLIES RCRA populated Plane Definition wall monitoring the edge of the PI 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA DEPUBLIES RCRA populated Plane Edent well monitoring the edge of the PI 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA DEPUBLIES RCRA PUBLIC Year Plane 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA public Plane Edent well monitoring the PUBD Year Plane 70592 Semiannual PC PUBDLandfill RFCA RCRA AL Plane Edent well monitoring the eacheard migration of the PUBD Year Plane 70593 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Definition wall monitoring the eacheard migration of the SEP Nitre 70594 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Definition wall monitoring the acute migration of the SEP Nitre 70595 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Definition wall monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitre 70596 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Definition wall monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitre 70596 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the acute migration of the SEP Nitre 70596 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the mortheast migration of the SEP Nitre 70597 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the mortheast migration of the SEP Nitre 70598 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the mortheast migration of the SEP Nitre 70599 Semiannual PR Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the mortheast migration of the SEP Nitre 70599 Semiannual PR Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Select well monitoring the mortheast migration of the SEP Nitre 705991 Semiannual PR Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plane 705991 Semiannual	New Well	Semiannual	₽€	Old Landfill	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the Old Landfill Plume
New Well Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Deliration well monitoring the southern migration of the Sciar P Plante 70493 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA SDLHRSU RCRA upgradiant/Plante Deliration well monitoring the edge of the PL Varid Plante 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA AL RCRA Upgradiant/Plante Deliration well monitoring the edge of the PL Varid Plante 70593 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA AL RCRA upgradiant/Plante Deliration well monitoring the edge of the PL Varid Plante 5897 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RPCA RCRA AL RCRA LUPTURE DELIRATION OF PUBD Yard Plante 5897 Semiannual PP PUBDLandfill RPCA RCRA AL RCRA LUPTURE DELIRATION OF PUBD Yard Plante 6897 Semiannual PP PUBDLandfill RPCA RCRA AL Plante Deliration well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plante 6898 Semiannual PP Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Deliration well monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plante P216389 Semiannual PP Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Deliration well monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plante Plante Solar India and Plante Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Deliration well monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plante Plante Solar India monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plante Plante Solar India monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plante Plante Solar India monitoring the India monitoring the Deliration of the SEP Nitration India and Plante BODIESS Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA BULL-SU Plante 13386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Edent well monitoring the monitoring the SEP Nitration of the SEP Nitration India and Plante 13386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Edent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitration India and Plante 13386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Edent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitration India and Plante 13386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plante Edent well monitoring the monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitration India and Plante Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA BULL-SU Plante Edent well monitoring the monitoring the monitoring in monitoring the monitoring In	New Well	Semiannual	PE	PU&D	RFCA	AL	Plume Extent well monitoring the PUSD Yard Plume
TO490 Semiannual PC Solar Ponds PCA AL Plane RCRA upgradiant/Plane Definition wall monitoring the edge of the PL TO990 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA PUBP RCRA upgradiant/Plane Definition wall monitoring the edge of the PL TO990 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA PUBP RCRA upgradiant/Plane Definition wall monitoring the edge of the PL TO990 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA upgradiant/Plane Edent wall monitoring the PUBD Yard Plane SS877 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL PUBP RCRA upgradiant/Plane Edent wall monitoring the PUBD Yard Plane FFCA Semiannual PE PUBDLandrill RFCA RCRA AL Pump Edent wall monitoring the PUBD Yard Plane FFCA Semiannual PE PUBDLandrill RFCA RCRA AL Pump Edent wall monitoring the Landrilli-Plane FFCA Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Pump Edent wall monitoring the Landrilli-Plane FFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Pump Edent wall monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitral Plane FFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitral Plane B200289 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plane Edent wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Plane TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BULLSU TFLARS9 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BULLSU TFLARS9 Semia	New Well	Semiannual	PE	PU&D	RFCA	AL.	Plume Extent well monitoring the PUSD Yard Plume
T0460 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDLHSU RCRA upgradient/Plume Definition well monitoring the edge of the PL 70393 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDLHSU RCRA upgradient/Plume Definition well monitoring the edge of the PL 70393 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDLHSU RCRA upgradient/Plume Edgent well monitoring the edge of the PL 70393 Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BDLHSU RCRA upgradient/Plume Edgent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradient/Plume Edgent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum PCRA RCRA AL LF Plume Edgent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum PCRA RCRA AL LF Plume Edgent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum PCRA RCRA AL LF Plume Edgent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the earthward migration of the PUBD Yard Plum PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the earthward migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the northware migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the northware migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the northware migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitro Activation PCRA RCRA AL Plume Edgent well monitoring effects of remediation downproduct of Trench PCRA RCRA BULISHUM PCRA RCRA BULISHUM PCRA RCRA	A love \Atak	Carriana	200	Salas Dan da	5504		Plume Definition well monitoring the southern migration of the Solar Pon
TOSS Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA Loginalism (Plume benintion well monitoring the edge of the PUBD Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BOLHSU RCRA Loginalism (Plume benintion in the PUBD Yard Plum Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA BOLHSU RCRA Loginalism (Plume Edent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA Loginalism (Plume Edent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum Semiannual PE PUBD/Landfill RFCA RCRA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the pubb Yard Landfill Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the Landfill Plume Public Versicular (Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the canditive (Public Versicular) (Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrat Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDLHSU Plume Centrol Plumes Immunity of the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes Plume Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDLHSU Plume Centrol Plume Will Plume Plume Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDLHSU Plume Centrol Plume Will Plume Definition well monitoring selects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Plume Plume Plume Immuni	LAGAL AAGU	Semeratum	70	Soler Pongs	, RPCA	~-	Plume
TOTAL Semiannual RORA PUBD RFCA RCRA BOLLHSU RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum Semiannual RCRA PUBD RFCA RCRA AL RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the PUBD Yard Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the Destroy Plum RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent Well monitoring the eacheard migration of the PUBD Yard Lond Hill Plume Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the Landfill/PUBD yard Plume Pump RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitra Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitra RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent vell monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitra RCRA upgradere/Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume RCRA upgradere/Plume Extent vell monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitra RCRA upgradere/Plume RCRA upgradere	70493	Semiannuai	RCRA	PU&D	RFCA, RCRA	BOAUHSU	RCRA upgradient/Plume Definition well monitoring the edge of the PUSC Yard Plume
Sersiannual RCRA PUED RFCA RCRA AL RCRA upgradent/ Plume Extent Well monitoring the PUED Yard Plum F892 Sersiannual PE PUEDLandfill RFCA RCRA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the eastward migration of the PUED Yard Landfill Plume Performance International Performance Interna							
76902 Semiannual PE PUBDLandfill RFCA RCRA AL Plume Eident well monitoring the seathward migration of the PUBD (2014) Pub	70193	Semiannual	RCRA	PU&O	RFCA, RCRA	BD/UHSU	RCRA upgradient/Plume Extent well monitoring the PU&D Yard Plume
Semiannual PC PUBDLandmil RFCA RCRA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the LandfilliPUBD yeard Plume P219489 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Edant well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitral Plume B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Edant well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitral Plume B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Edant well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitral Plume B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Edant well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitral Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitral Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrals and Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrals and Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrals and Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume B208299 Semiannual PM Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitrals and Carbon Tel Plumes B208299 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Plumes B208299 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Plumes B208299 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Plumes Definition well of Trench T-4 Plumes B208299 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tel. Plume B208299 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tel. Plume B208299 Semiannual P	5887	Semiannuel	RCRA	PU&D	RFCA, RCRA	AL.	RCRA upgradient/ Plume Extent Well monioring the PU&D Yard Plume - UF
P219489 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrate P218389 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrate Plume B208299 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA B0/UHSU Plume 3386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast migration of the SEP Nitrate Plume 1786 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the southern migration of the SEP Nitrate AL Carbon Tel Plumes 1786 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1398 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1398 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1398 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1398 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1499 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryans PI Plume 1589 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Tren	***************************************		· —			AL.	Yard/Landfil Plume
P218389 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northern migration of the SEP Nitrate B208289 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA BDUHSU Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitrate B208289 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA BDUHSU Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1786 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the northwest mitgration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tel Plumes 1386 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume 1389 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Purple Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA AL BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA AL BDUHSU Purple Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semia	6687	Semiannual	PO	PU&D\Landfill	RFCA, RCRA	AL.	Plume Definition well monitoring the Landfill/PU&D yard Plume
Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BOWHSU Plume Edent well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitrate	P219489	Semiannual	PE	Solar Ponds	RFCA	AL.	
Same Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the southern migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1786 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring the equation of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1388 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1388 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1389 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1388 Semiannual PM 900 Pad RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1389 Semiannual PM 900 Pad RPCA ALIBD Proformance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Rysns PR downgradient of Rysns PR downgradient of Rysns PR	P218389	Semiannuel	PE	Solar Ponds	RFCA	AL.	Plume
Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Carbon Tet Plumes 1786 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 1386 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Definition wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 8206789 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plumes 8206789 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RPCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast milgration of the SEP Nitr Plume 8206789 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RPCA ALBD Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryans Pit 8206789 Semiannual PD 903 Pad RPCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume 11891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Department Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3697 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA AL BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA AL BDUHSU Purpose Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA AL BDUHSU Purpose Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Purpose Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Purpose Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA BDUHSU Purpose Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RPCA	B208289	Semiannual	PE	Solar Ponds	RFCA	BOUHSU	Plume
1388 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Carbon Tet Plumes 1388 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Editarity wall monitoring the migration of the SEP Nitrate and Carbon Tet Plume 8208789 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Editarity wall monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitr Plume PMEIEPA Well Requests 07391 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA ALIBD Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryans PI 10491 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume 11891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3667 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Description well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3596 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Editarity well tracking migration of Solar Ponds ritrate Plume 05391 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring assisted concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring assisted concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume	3386	Semiannual	PE	Solar Ponds	RFCA	AL.	Carbon Tel Plumes
B206789 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well monitoring the northeast mitgration of the SEP Nitr Plume PHELEPA Well Requests 07391 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA ALIBD Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryans PR 00491 Semiannual PD 903 Pad RFCA BDWHSU Plume Definition well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume Prformance Monitoring well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume Prformance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3687 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDWHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDWSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDWSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDWSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Volar Ponds nitrate Plume O5391 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Volar Ponds nitrate Plume 15191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDWHSU Performance Monitoring assessed concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDWHSU Performance Monitoring as edge of T3 soli excavation lie Removed From List	1786	Semiannual	Æ	Solar Ponds	RFCA	AL.	Carbon Tel Plumes
PHELEPA Well Requests 07391 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA ALIBD Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryans Pit 00491 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3667 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12691 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12691 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12691 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12693 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3568 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring assisted concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation lie Removed From List	1386	Semiannual	PE	Solar Ponds	RFCA	AL	Carbon Tet Plumes
97391 Semiannual PM 903 Pad RFCA ALIBD Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Ryane Pit 00491 Semiannual PD 903 Pad RFCA BDUHSU Prume Definition well monitoring the 903 Pad VOC Plume 11891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3687 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 05391 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring as edge of T3 soli excavation lie Removed From List			PE	Soler Ponds	RFCA	AL.	
OSST Semiannual PD 903 Pad RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-5 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-5 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation of VPC Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Extert well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume Definition well monitoring effects of remediation of VPC Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soli excavation lie Removed From List	ATTERPA WA	Kednests					
11891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-3 3687 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 105891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 12891 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 13596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Exterts well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 105391 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation 18191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring 19191 PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU PP East Tranches PM East Tra							downgradient of Ryans Pit
3687 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4. 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDUSHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4. 12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4. P209489 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDUHSU Plums Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plums Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 05391 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plums Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plums Plums Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plums Plu		***************************************		***************************************			Performance Montoring well monitoring effects of remediation
12891 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA BDLISHU Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 D5691 Semiannual PM East Trenches RFCA AL Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation downgradient of Trench T-4 P209489 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDLIHSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Externt well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 05391 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from East Trenches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDLIHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation lie Removed From List		Semiennuel	PM	······································	······································	***************************************	Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation
Description	12891	Semiennual	PM	East Trenches	RFCA	BONUSHU	Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation
P209489 Semiannual PD Solar Ponds RFCA BDN-HSU Plume Definition well for the Carbon Tet. Plume 3.596 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 0.5391 Semiannual PD East Trenches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from 1.2191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDN-HSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soli excavation 1.2191 Island PM East Tranches RFCA BDN-HSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soli excavation			PM				Performance Monitoring well monitoring effects of remediation
3566 Semiannual PE Solar Ponds RFCA AL Plume Extent well tracking migration of Solar Ponds nitrate Plume 06391 Semiannual PD East Tranches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from East Tranches Plume 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDILHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation lis Removed From List	D200490	Candada		Solve Panda	DECA	DOU LICE	
06391 Semiannual PD East Tranches RFCA AL Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from 12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDILHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation to Remo	***************************************	~~~		······			
12191 Semiannual PM East Tranches RFCA BDUHSU Performance Monitoring at edge of T3 soil excavation. Its Removed From List	***************************************	,		***************************************	***************************************		Plume Definition well monitoring eastward concentration of VOCs from the
le Removed From List	12104	Camionauri	DA4	See Trenebee	DECA	BOM INCI I	**************************************
				CON ITERIORS		BUILTISU	Callenter was strike at says of 10 son excession.

sequence presented in the draft IMP was followed for determining Tier I and II exceedances. The well types and decision rules are defined below:

Boundary (B) Monitoring Wells: These wells monitor groundwater leaving the eastern Site boundary. A reportable exceedance occurs if a measured concentration exceeds a Tier II action level and the background Mean plus 2 Standard Deviations (M2SDs). When there are no previous historical data, or a value exceeds the M2SD of the historical concentration in the well when there have been historical exceedances of Tier II action levels, the required action is to initiate monthly sampling. If action levels are exceeded for three consecutive months, by the above criteria, then appropriate parties are notified and the possible impacts to surface water are evaluated.

<u>D&D (DD) Monitoring Wells</u>: These wells monitor for releases to groundwater from deactivation and decommissioning (D&D) activities. A reportable exceedance occurs when a measured concentration exceeds the M2SD of the established historical baseline concentration downgradient of the building(s). The required action is to inform appropriate parties and initiate an evaluation of the situation.

<u>Plume Definition (PD) Monitoring Wells:</u> These wells are located within known contaminant plumes and are above Tier II action levels, but are below the Tier I action levels established in the ALF. A reportable exceedance occurs when a measured concentration exceeds a Tier I action level, and the background M2SD, and the M2SD of the historical concentration in the well. The required action is to reclassify as a Tier I exceedance well and evaluate possible impacts to groundwater.

Plume Extent (PE) Monitoring Wells: These wells are located at the edges of known groundwater contaminant plumes, along pathways to surface water. These wells monitor for an increase in concentrations that may result in future impacts to surface water. A reportable exceedance occurs if a measured concentration exceeds a Tier II action level and the background M2SD. When there are no previous historical data, or a value exceeds the M2SD of the historical concentration in the well when there have been historical exceedances of Tier II action levels, the required action is to initiate monthly sampling. If action levels are exceeded for three consecutive months, by the above criteria, then appropriate parties are notified and the possible impacts to surface water are evaluated.

<u>Drainage (D) Monitoring Wells:</u> These wells are located in stream drainages, downgradient of contaminant plumes. They have the same programmatic requirements as PE wells under the IMP. A reportable exceedance occurs if a measured concentration exceeds a Tier II action level and the background M2SD. When there are no historical data, or a value exceeds the M2SD of the historical

concentration in the well when there have been historical exceedances of Tier II action levels, the required action is to initiate monthly sampling. If action levels are exceeded for three consecutive months, by the above criteria, then appropriate parties are notified and the possible impacts to surface water are evaluated.

<u>Performance Monitoring (PM) Wells:</u> These wells monitor the effect of a remediation or source removal action, as required in the ALF. If an increasing trend in the concentration of a contaminant is noted, then the appropriate parties are notified and an evaluation of the situation is initiated.

RCRA Monitoring Wells: These wells monitor downgradient groundwater contaminant concentrations at RCRA units. If the mean concentration of a contaminant in a downgradient well exceeds the mean concentration in upgradient wells and concentrations at the well show an upward trend with time, a report will be made to appropriate agencies and an investigation will be initiated to investigate possible causes.

In addition to changes in the monitoring network, groundwater reporting has been integrated under the IMP. Four quarterly reports are produced annually documenting exceedances of RFCA Action Levels and changes in water quality for wells not monitored for Action Level exceedances. This RFCA Annual Groundwater Report is also required to summarize all actions taken for groundwater compliance within each calendar year.

For documented exceedances above Action levels and Site background in the designated monitoring wells in the program, an evaluation of impact to surface water is required. These evaluations are determined on a case by case basis depending on the data requirements necessary to do the impacts analysis. Section 4 of this report will provide a status on the current evaluations based on 1996 exceedances.

2.0 DATA ANALYSIS

2.1 METHODS

Groundwater analytical data for calendar year 1996 were retrieved by a query of the Rocky Flats Environmental Database System (RFEDS) performed on May 28, 1997. Results for 71 of 85 RFCA wells were obtained. Fourteen wells having no results for 1996 were either dry or were added to the groundwater monitoring program as a result of changes made late in the year (see § 1.0). Seven of these wells were sampled successfully in the first quarter of 1997. Wells 08091 and P209289 were dry throughout 1996 and the first quarter of 1997. Table 2-1 summarizes sample collection activity, by quarter, for RFCA wells sampled in 1996.

Analytical results for groundwater were imported into an ACCESS database for analysis. Data with the Quality Control (QC) identifiers "REAL" (actual analysis), "DUP" (duplicate sample), and "RNS" (rinsate blank) were graphically examined for consistency. Duplications, mismatches, and laboratory QC data were excluded. Field QC samples were identified for use in the data quality assessment (§ 2.2).

Detections (results without a "U" qualifier) for analytes with Tier I and Tier II ALF criteria were matched with the background M2SD for inorganic analytes, including radionuclides. Three ratios used to identify exceedances were calculated for each detection; result: Tier I ALF, result: Tier II ALF; and for inorganics, result: background M2SD. Results for organic compounds exceeding Tier I or Tier II ALF were compared with location specific historic mean data (M2SD) for trend analysis. Background values were calculated from the Background Geochemical Characterization Report (DOE, 1993a) with the exception of americium-241, plutonium-239/240, uranium-233/234, uranium-235, and uranium-238. Background values for these radionuclides were taken from the draft Background Comparison for Radionuclides in Groundwater report (DOE, 1997a). Data used to calculate the historical M2SD for locations with analytes exceeding Tier II ALF criteria and produce trend plots were extracted directly from RFEDS.

2.2 GROUNDWATER DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

In this section, the quality of the analytical data is assessed in terms of five data-quality parameters: precision, accuracy, representativeness, completeness, and comparability (PARCC) (EPA, 1992). This section summarizes the types of data available to assess the PARCC parameters, presents the results of data-quality evaluations for each analyte type, and evaluates the overall quality of the groundwater monitoring data for the calendar year 1996.

TABLE 2-1. Summary of Sampling for RFCA Groundwater Locations in 1996 (by Quarter)

Location	100		Radionuclides												VC	XC8		١	Nati	or Q	ualit	y Parameters						
					PU	/AM			Tritium Uranium											Sulfate				Nitrate/Nitrite				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Qt	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q 1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	02	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	ä	Q2	Q3	Q4
00491	X		Х		Х	-			Х		Х		Х				Х		X		Х		X		Х			
0386	×	х	Х	Х	х	Х		Х	x	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		X	×		X	X	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х
03991	X		Х		X				X		Х	Π	Х				X		Х		Х		Х		×		X	
04091	X								X		X		X				X				Х				X			
04591	X		X		X				×		X		X	-			X	Γ	Х		х		X		×		X	
0487	х		Х		x				X		Х		X				x		Х		Х		х		х		Х	
04991	×				x				X		Х		Х				х		Х		Х				X		Х	_
05091	×		Х		х				×		х		x				x		Х		Х		Х		X		Х	
05391	×		х		X				Х		Х		Х				×				х				X			Г
05691	1																											
06091	х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х		Х	х	X	Х	X	х	Х		Х	X	Х	х	х	×	Х	X	Х	X	Х	х	х
06491	Х	X	х	X	×	X	X	X	X	х	Х	×	х	Х	X	Х	×	х	Х	х	х	X		X	×	X		X
07391	x		х		x				×		X	П	х				×		X		х		X		×		X	
08091	1																											
10194	×	Х	X	X	×	X	X	X	×	X	X	X	×	X	X	Х	×	X	х	х	х	X	X		×	X	X	
10294	х		х		х				X		х	\vdash	х				x		х		X		Х		X		X	
10394	×	х		X	X	Х		X	x	X	Х	X	X	X		X	X	Х	х	Х	х	X		X	×	X	X	X
10592	х		X						X	X	Х		X				×	Х	х				X			X	х	
10692	×	X	Х	X	х	X		х	x	X	X	X	х	х		Х	×	х	·X	X		Х	X			X	Х	
10792									x	X	X	X		X			X	X	Х	Х		Х				х	X	х
10992			X							Х	х	x	×	х			X	Х		Х		х				X		x
10994	×	Х	Х	X	X	Х		Х	x	X	х	X	Х	X		Х	×	Х		X	Х	Х		Х	X	X		Х
11092												Х								Х								X
11891																												
12191															<u> </u>													
12691	×		X		Х				х		х	<u> </u>	х				Х		X		Х		Х		x		х	
1386	х	X		Х	X	X		Х	х	х	×	Х	Х	х	X	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х
1786	×	Х	х	X	X	X	X	Х	х	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X			Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	х
1986	×	х	Х		X	Х	X		х	X	Х		Х	Х	х				Х		Х	х	х		х	Х	Х	
2186	×		Х		Х				Х		х		Х						Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
22596			х				Х				X				х				х				Х				Х	
22696																												
22796			Х				х				Х				х				X				X				Х	
22896			х				Х				х				X				Х				X				Х	
22996			х	Х			X	X			х	х			х	х			Х	Х			X	Х			Х	х
23096				х				X			Х	х				Х			Х	Х			Х	Х			Х	Х
23196																												
23296											X								х				Х				Х	
2987	X		X		×				х		x		X				x		х		Х		х		X		х	
3087		х		х		×		X		Х		Х		х		х		X		X		х		X		X		Х
3386																												
35691	1	X		х		X		X		х		х		х		Х		×		х		×		X		X		х
3586																												
3687																												
3786										X		х		Х				Х		Х		х				X		х

Q1 - First Quarter | Q2 - Second Quarter | Q3 - Third Quarter | Q4 - Fourth Quarter

TABLE 2-1 Summary of Sampling for RFCA Groundwater Locations in 1996 (by Quarter (cont'd)

Location		Me	tais	# G	Radionuclides													VC)Cs			Wat	er Q	ualit	y Pa	ran	eter	3
	an indicate				PU/AM Tritium								Uranium								Sulfate				Nitrate/Nitrite			
1000	Q1	Q2	QЗ	Q4	Q1	Q2	QЗ	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	02	QЗ	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q
38591							1			į	į							Ì		1				П				Ì
4087		Х		-		Х				X	X			X	Ì	-		X	Х			X				X	X	T
41591	×	Х	X	Х	Х	X	X	х	х	X	X	Х	X	X	X	X	×	Х	Х	X	×	X	X	Т	×	Х	X	Г
41691	X		X	Х	X			Х	X		X	Х	x			X	×		X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X
43392			×	Х	х	х		Х	X	X	X	Х	X	X		X	X		X	X		X	X	X		Х	X	X
4787																								П				
4887		х								X				X				Х				Х				X		
52894		Х								Χ.				X				Х				X				Х		
52994																												
5387		X				X				Х				X				Х		X		X				X		
5587										X								Х								X		
5887	Х	Х	×		X	Х	Х		Х	X	X		×	х	х		Х	Х	X			X	X			X	X	
6186	x		X		X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X		X	х		X	X	X	X	Х		х	X	X	X
6286		X		X		X		X		X		X		Х		X		X		X		X		X		X		X
6386										X		X						X		X						X		X
6486		X				Х				X				X				X				X				X		
6586		Х	х	Х		Х	Х	Х		X	Х	Х		X	X	X		Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	X		X	X	X
6687	Х	X	X	X	X	X	х	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X
70193	X	X	Х	Х	х	X		Х	X	X	X	X	X	Х		X	X	X	`X	X	X	X	X		х	Х	X	
70393	X	Х	Х		Х	X			X	Х	X		Х	X			Х		Х		X	X	X		Х	X	X	
70493		Х		X		х		X		X		Х		Х		X		X		X		X		X		X		X
7086			X	х			х	Х			Х	X			X	X			X	X			X				Х	
75992			х	х						X	X	X		X		X			X	X		X	X	X		Х	X	X
76992																		X								X		
77392							-																					
B206989									X		X						X		X						X		X	
B208289										X								Х		Х						X		
8208789				х						X		X		X		X		Х		X		X		X		X		X
P114389	×		X		X		X		X		х		X		Х		X		Х		X		X		X		X	
P209289																												_
P209389	х		X		X	Х	Х		х	X	X		X	X	X		X		Х		X	X	X		X	X	X	
P209489					ļ	-																						
P218389		X									X				Х		Х	Х	Х			X			X	X	X	
P219189				\perp						X							X	X	X								X	
P219489																	X	Х	X						X	X	X	
P313589	Х	Х	X		X	X	Х		X	X	X		Х	X	Х			Х	Х			X	X			Х	X	
P314289										X	X							Х	X							X	X	
P416689]		X		X		Х		Х		X		х				X		X		X	
P416789											Х				Х				Х				X				X	
P416889	х	X	X		Х	X	Х		X	X	х		Х	Х	Х			X	Х			X	X			X	X	

Q1 - First Quarter | Q2 - Second Quarter | Q3 - Third Quarter | Q4 - Fourth Quarter

QC samples for all groundwater sampling (RFCA and non-RFCA wells) were included in the assessment Field duplicate and equipment rinsate sample data used to assess precision and representativeness were obtained from the RFEDS major analytical data tables. Percent recovery data for spiked samples were retrieved from the RFEDS validation/quality assurance table (VAL_QA) for all groups except radionuclides. Percent recovery data for radionuclides were hand-transcribed from laboratory sheets, as available, during report preparation.

<u>Precision</u>: Precision is a measure of the reproducibility of analytical results. Precision is expressed quantitatively by the relative percent difference (RPD) between duplicate field samples as defined by the following equation:

$$RPD = \frac{|(S-D)|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

where:

S = first sample

D = duplicate sample

The RPD was not calculated for duplicate samples for which the analytical result for either member was qualified with a "U" or "B" ("B" excluded for metals only) by the laboratory. The data flag "U" indicates that the analyte was not present above the detection limit. The data flag "B" indicates that the value is larger than the instrument detection limit, but less than the method detection limit. Results in these categories have inherently poor reproducibility and are described qualitatively. Individual RPDs can be found in Appendix A, Table A-1. The QC criterion for RPDs is 20%. (EG&G, 1991a).

<u>Accuracy</u>: Accuracy is a measure of how closely an analytical result corresponds to the "true" concentration in a sample. Accuracy is expressed quantitatively by the percent recovery (%R) obtained from spiked samples as derived by the following equation:

$$\%R = \frac{(SSR-SR)}{SA} \times 100$$

where:

SSR = spiked sample result

SR = sample result

SA = spike added

Percent recoveries for individual samples are reported in the data set and are shown in Appendix A, Table A-2. The QC criterion for % R is adopted from EPA (1988a and 1988b) and is 75% to 125% for all analytes.

FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

Representativeness: The discussion of representativeness in this section is limited to an evaluation of whether analytical results for field samples are truly representative of environmental concentrations or whether they may have been influenced by the introduction of contamination during collection and handling. Other aspects of representativeness such as numbers of samples and spatial distribution are addressed in the IMP for groundwater monitoring.

Possible introduction of contamination is evaluated by examination of the analytical results for equipment rinsates (Appendix A, Table A-3). Equipment rinsates are used to assess the efficacy of the decontamination process and possible cross-contamination between environmental samples. They are samples of volatile free American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Type II water that have been poured over or through decontaminated sampling equipment and subsequently handled in the same manner as environmental samples.

Although rinsates are used specifically as indicators of cross-contamination during decontamination of equipment, they are carried through the entire sampling, shipping, and laboratory process and are, consequently, also good indicators of possible introduced contamination during any of these steps.

Completeness: As of this report, data were not yet validated by a third party, or were not received. Thus, a determination of completeness based on validated samples cannot be performed. However, all samples specified in the groundwater IMP (K-H, 1997) were collected unless well disposition was prohibitive (i.e. dry or went dry during sampling). All groundwater analytical results for 1996 were retrieved from RFEDS in May, 1997. No additional 1996 analytical results are expected. Table 2-1 presents a summary of sample collection by quarter for 1996. Completeness will not be addressed with respect to individual analyte groups.

<u>Comparability</u>: Analytical methods and sampling techniques remained consistent for each analyte group over the sampling period. Laboratory analyses were performed according to standard CLP protocols and results should be comparable to data produced by similar methods. Therefore, it is unnecessary to discuss comparability in terms of individual analyte groups.

2.2.1 METALS

2.2.1.1 Precision

There were 429 records for duplicates from 21 samples in the data set for dissolved metals in 1996 (frequency = 1 in 20). There were 105 instances of detections in both samples of a REAL-DUP pair for which an RPD could be calculated. These included Ba, Se, Li, Mg, Mn, K, Si, Na, Ca, and Sr. Only one

FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

RPD in 105 exceeded the 20% QC criterion. This occurred for selenium in the first quarter at location 12491. The calculated RPD for this pair was 21% (Appendix A, Table A-1). Overall precision for metals is very good.

2.2.1.2 Accuracy

There were 730 spike recovery records for dissolved metals (1 in 10). Recovery for calcium, sodium, silicon, potassium, and magnesium (148 records) were consistently reported as 0%. This is believed to be an artifact of laboratory reporting and an explanation is being sought. Of the remaining 582 records, one result for mercury at location 06491 fell below the QC criterion (70%). 12 results for cesium or selenium exceeded the QC criterion with values ranging from 126% to 150%. Nearly 98% of results were within the QC criterion indicating good overall accuracy for 1996 metals data.

2.2.1.3 Representativeness

There were 429 equipment rinsate records for metals in 1996 (1 in 20). All but six were "U" or "B" qualified. Results for non-RFCA location P207689 showed detectable levels of calcium, magnesium, and sodium during May, 1996 sampling and silicon for August, 1996 sampling. Consequently, results for real sample numbers GW05126TE and GW05227TE should be considered with care. Location 70093, a non-RFCA well, also contained detectable concentrations of lithium (3ug/l) during the Feb., 1996 sampling and silicon (111 ug/l) during the Aug., 1996 sampling. Results for associated real sample numbers GW03120GA and GW05277TE should also be used with care. The concentration for lithium detected in the rinsate sample was below RFCA action levels.

Over 98% of equipment rinsate results were below detection limits indicating that contamination of environmental samples from outside sources was not a significant concern for 1996.

2.2.2 RADIONUCLIDES

2.2.2.1 Precision

The data set for dissolved radionuclides contains 212 records for duplicate samples in 1996. Of these, there were 139 REAL/DUP pairs for which an RPD could be calculated. Seventy-six of these pairs (55%) had RPDs exceeding 20%. Fifteen pairs (11%) had RPDs exceeding 100% and three pairs (2%) had RPDs of 200%. Due to the inherently poor reproducibility of results at the very low concentrations typically found in RFETS groundwater, 20% is believed to be an unattainable QC criterion for radionuclides. RPDs of 100% or greater are not uncommon for data of this type, even under ideal field and laboratory conditions (DOE, 1993a). Individual pairs for all analytes significantly exceeded the 20% QC criterion.

2.2.2.2 Accuracy

One hundred and eighteen laboratory control sample results were identified and transcribed from hard-copy laboratory sheets. Of these, 110 (93%) were within the 75-125% QC criterion indicating good overall accuracy for radionuclide analyses in 1996. Efforts are being made to ensure that these data are transmitted electronically to the database in the future.

2.2.2.3 Representativeness

There were 212 equipment rinsate records for radionuclides of which 187 (88%) were "J" (estimated) or "U" qualified indicating they are below detection limits. Three RFCA locations, 0386, 06091, and 12691, had radionuclide detections in one or more rinsate sample. For location 0386, Am-241 and Ra-228 were detected at low levels during fourth quarter sampling and Gross beta was detected during second quarter sampling. Am-241 was detected in one fourth quarter rinsate sample at location 06091 and Ra-228 was detected in one first quarter sample for well 12691.

Four non-RFCA wells, B210489, P115489, P207689, and P419689 also had detections in one or more rinsate sample. For location P207689, All analytes except Pu-239/40 were detected in the May, 1996 rinsate sample as were several metals. Therefore, results from associated real sample number GW05126TE should be considered unreliable. Detected analytes from locations B210489, P115489, and P419689 were at very low concentrations. (Appendix A, Table A-3).

2.2.3 VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

2.2.3.1 Precision

There were 1159 duplicate (DUP) records for organic compounds in 1996 groundwater (1 in 20), with over 92% reported as non-detects. Of the 30 pairs having detects for both the REAL and DUP sample, 17 were identical (RPD = 0%). RPDs for seven pairs exceeded the QC criterion of 20%. All pairs which exceeded the QC criterion had at least one result which was either "J" qualified or at the detection limit (1 ug/l). Values near the detection limit have inherently poor precision (DOE, 1993a). Based on the fraction of pairs with true detections exceeding QC criterion, precision is good for organic compounds.

2.2.3.2 Accuracy

There were 425 matrix spike and 399 matrix spike duplicate sample results for volatile organics in 1996. Spike analysis was performed for a subset of the analyte suite for volatile organic compounds and results represent only those analytes. All matrix spike duplicate samples had % recovery values between 85% and 120% (QC criterion is 75%-125%). One matrix spike sample had 126% recovery for ethylbenzene at

location 10792. These results demonstrate very good overall accuracy for these compounds (Appendix A, Table A-2).

2.2.3.3 Representativeness

There were 1102 rinsate records for volatile organic compounds in 1996. 1084 of these (>98%) were "U" qualified non-detects. An additional 10 were "J" qualified (<1 ug/l). Of the eight detections, 6 were for common laboratory contaminant chloromethane (all <10 ug/l) and two were for naphthalene (both <2 ug/l). Thus, there is no indication of significant introduced organic contamination for the sampling period.

2.2.4 WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

2.2.4.1 Precision

There were 126 duplicate sample records for water quality parameters in 1996. RPDs were calculated for 99 REAL/DUP pairs having detections for both samples. All but one RPD value were less than the QC criteria of 20%. Total dissolved solids for well 70093 had a calculated RPD of 30% for the fourth quarter, 1996. Based on the percentage of RPDs falling within the QC criterion, precision for water quality parameters is good.

2.2.4.2 Accuracy

There were 115 matrix spike sample results for water quality parameters in 1996. Analytes spiked included nitrate/nitrite, sulfate, and chloride. All % recovery results were within the QC criteria indicating very good accuracy for water quality parameters.

2.2.4.3 Representativeness

There were 126 rinsate records for water quality parameters. Of these, 118 (94%) were "U" or "B" qualified. Five of the eight detections were from May, 1996 sampling at location P207689. This sample was mentioned in previous sections as it also contained significant concentrations of metals and radionuclides. It is likely that this sample container was mislabeled as a rinsate sample. Additionally, total dissolved solids were detected twice for location 70093 and once for location P115489. Thus, there was little evidence of introduced contamination for water quality parameters and results can be considered representative.

2.3 DATA SUMMARY FOR RFCA DESIGNATED WELLS SAMPLED IN 1996

Sixty-four RFCA-designated monitoring-wells were sampled and had concentrations of one or more analytes above the Tier II action levels. All reported results greater than a Tier II action level are presented in Table 2-2 and are summarized in the following discussion. Twenty-one wells with no results above the Tier II action levels are noted in Table 2-3. Complete sampling results are given in Appendix Table B-1. Reportable exceedances of action levels and required actions are defined in Section 1 of this report. Results for all RFCA wells for metals radionuclides, organics, and water quality parameters that have concentrations above the Tier II action levels in any well are shown in box plots in Plates 4 through 7.

Historical trends for PM and D&D wells with analyte concentrations above Tier I or II action levels are shown in Figures 2-1 through 2-96. Historical trends are also shown for all wells with organic compound concentrations exceeding Tier II action levels, and for wells with any analyte concentrations exceeding Tier II action levels and background M2SD. Background values for inorganics are taken from the 1993 Background Geochemical Characterization Report (DOE, 1993a) and from the draft Background Comparison for Radionuclides in Groundwater (DOE, 1997a). Exceedances that occurred in the third and fourth quarter sampling programs have previously been reported in 1996 RFCA Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Reports (RMRS, 1997b, 1997c).

2.3.1 TIER I EXCEEDANCES

There were two reportable Tier I exceedances found in 1996 (Table 2-2). The first, as reported in the 1996 Third Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report (RMRS, 1997b), was at well 22896, installed in 1996, and first sampled on July 15. Trichloroethene (TCE) was determined to be present at 2100 µg/L. Methylene chloride and nitrate concentrations in this well were reported at levels slightly above Tier II action levels. This well was originally designated as a plume extent well. It has been reclassified as a plume definition well, because of the Tier I exceedance, which has been confirmed in monthly sampling during the first quarter of 1997 (RMRS, 1997d). TCE concentrations, including three confirmatory 1997 samples, are shown in Figure 2-83.

The second Tier I exceedance was in plume definition well 00491. Americium-241 was reported at 30 pCi/L for the February 1996 sampling. Figure 2-6 shows that activity-concentrations of americium-241 in this well have consistently been below 0.01pCi/L since 1991. A sample taken in the first quarter of 1997 (RMRS, 1997d) was also in the historical range. An evaluation of the data for this well confirms that the reported value is in error. No action is recommended based on this spurious value.

TABLE 2-2. 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells)

Well Class	Location	Sample Cote	Aralyte	Result	Units	0	Tier II AL	Tiers Ratio	Back- ground M2SD	BKGD rette	Extend BKG07	Hatoric M290	Historic Ratio	Exceedance of BKGO and Historic M28D	Reportable Exceedence
BOUNDARY	0386	29-Feb-96	SELENIUM	74.7	UG/L		50	1.5	43.7	1.71	YES	78.7	0.9	NO.	YES
BOUNDARY	0386	12-Jun-98	SELENIUM	79.6	UG/L		50	1.6	43.7	1.82	YES	78.7	1.0	YES	YES
BOUNDARY	0386	24-Sep-98	SELENIUM	51.9	UG/L	<u> </u>	50	1.0	43.7	1.19	YES	78.7	0.7	NO YES	YES
BOUNDARY	0386	25-Oct-96	THALLIUM	6.9	UG/L	В	2	3.5	4.90	0.18	YES NO	5.40	1.3	TES	NO NO
DOUNDARY	0386	25-Oct-96	U-234	11.2	PCVL	ļ	1.07	10.5 10.5	60.7	0.19	NO NO				NO NO
BOUNDARY BOUNDARY	0386	12-Jun-98 29-Feb-98	U-234 U-234	11.2	PCVL	 	1.07	12.5	60.7	0.22	NO		***************************************		NO
BOUNDARY	0386	12-Jun-96	U-238	7.47	PCIA	1	0.788	9.7	41.8	0.18	NO				NO
BOUNDARY	0386	25-Oct-96	U-238	7.97	PCI/L	-	0.768	10.4	41.8	0.19	NO				МО
BOUNDARY	0386	29-Feb-98	U-238	12.8	PCI/L		0.768	16.6	41.8	0.31	NO				NO
BOUNDARY	06491	11-Nov-96	THALLIUM	9.5	UG/L	В	2	4.8	4.90	1.94	YES	9.01	1.1	YES	YES
BOUNDARY	06491	19-Aug-96	THALLIUM	6	UG/L	В	2	3.0	4.90	1.22	YES	9.01	0.7	.NO	YES NO
BOUNDARY	06491	08-Apr-96	U-234	15.3	PCVL	ļ	1.07	14.3	60.7	0.25	NO NO	 	ļ		NO NO
BOUNDARY	06491	19-Aug-96	U-234	19.5	PCVL		1.07	18.2 19.7	60.7	0.35	NO.	 			NO
BOUNDARY	08491	26-Feb-96	U-234 U-234	21.1	PCIAL	 -	1.07	18.0	60.7	0.32	NO	 	†	-	NO
BOUNDARY BOUNDARY	06491	11-Nov-95 08-Apr-96	U-238	9.9	PCIAL	┼	0.768	12.9	41.8	0.24	NO		†		NO
BOUNDARY	08491	11-Nov-98	U-238	13.4	PCIA	†	0.768	17.5	41.8	0.32	NO				. NO
BOUNDARY	06491	19-Aug-96	U-238	14.6	PCVL	1	0.768	19.1	41.8	0.35	NO			ļ	NO
BOUNDARY	08491	26-Feb-96	U-238	14.1	PCI/L		0.768	18.3	41.8	0.34	NO			ļ	NO.
BOUNDARY	10294	17-Sep-96	MANGANESE	853	UGAL		183	4.7	162	5.25	YES	900	0.9	NO NO	YES
BOUNDARY	10294	17-Sep-98	SULFATE	608	UG/L	1_	500	1.2	436	1.39	YES	1183	0.5	NO NO	YES YES
BOUNDARY	10294	26-Feb-98	SULFATE	618	UGAL	ļ	500	1.2	436	0.41	YES	1183	0.5	<u> </u>	NO.
BOUNDARY	10294	26-Feb-96	U-234	24.7	PCIA		1.07 0.768	23.1	60.7	0.51	NO	 			NO.
BOUNDARY	10294	26-Feb-96	U-238	21.3 6.52	PCVL	+	1.07	6.1	60.7	0.11	NO	 	 	<u> </u>	NO
BOUNDARY	10394	08-Apr-98 18-Nov-98	U-234 U-234	8.19	PCIAL	+-	1.07	7.7	60.7	0.13	NO	†	1		NO
BOUNDARY	10394	28-Feb-98	U-234	6.72	PCIA	+	1.07	6.3	80.7	0.11	NO				NO
BOUNDARY	10394	06-Apr-96	U-238	5.69	PCIAL	_	0.768	7.4	41.8	0.14	NO				NO
BOUNDARY	10394	28-Feb-96	U-238	4.93	PCVL	1	0.768	6.4	41.8	0.12	NO				NO
BOUNDARY	10394	18-Nov-96	U-238	5.83	PCI/L	I	0.768	7.8	41.8	0.14	NO	ļ			NO NO
BOUNDARY	41591	30-14-96	THALLIUM	10.8	UGAL		2	5.4	4.90	2.20	YES	6.78	1.6	YES	YES
BOUNDARY	41591	25-Nov-98	U-234	8.97	PCVL	_	1.07	8.4	60.7	0.15	NO NO	 			70
BOUNDARY	41591	30-Jul-98	U-234	7.85	PCIAL		1.07	7.3 8.0	60.7	0.14	NO NO	 	·		NO.
BOUNDARY	41591	29-Feb-95 09-May-95	U-234 IU-234	8.57 10.3	PCIAL		1.07	9.6	60.7	0.17	NO	·			NO
BOUNDARY	41591	09-May-98		7.88	PCIAL	+	0.768	10.3	41.8	0.19	NO	1	1		NO
BOUNDARY	41591	29-Feb-96		7.68	PCIA	T	0.768	10.0	41.8	0.18	NO				100
BOUNDARY	41591	25-Nov-96	U-238	7.62	PCI/L	T	0.768	9.9	41.8	0.18	NO				NO NO
BOUNDARY	41591	30-Jul-96	U-238	5.78	PCVL	L	0.768	7.5	41.8	0.14	NO				NO YES
BOUNDARY	41691	14-Nov-96		708	UGAL	4	183	3.9	162	4.36	YES	853 853	0.8	NO NO	YES
BOUNDARY	41691	19-Sep-98		641	UGAL		183	3.5	60.7	0.02	NO NO			<u> </u>	100
BOUNDARY	41691	14-Nov-90		1,18	PCIAL		0.768	1.3	41.8	0.02	NO	 	1	·	10
BOUNDARY	41691	29-Feb-96 14-Nov-98		1.29	PCIA	+-	0.788	1.7	41.8	0.03	NO	1			NO
D&D	22996	16-Aug-96		227	UGAL	+	183	1.2	162	1.40	YES	ND	1		100
D&O	22998	19-Nov-96		7.8	UGAL	В	2	3.9	4.90	1.59	YES	NO			10
D&D	22996	16-Aug-96	U-234	2.21	PCVL		1.07	2.1	60.7	0.04	NO				*0
D&D	22996	19-Nov-90	U-234	2.33	PCI/L	_	1.07	2.2	60.7	0.04	NO.				NO NO
D&D	22998	19-Nov-96		1.84	PCIAL		0.768	2.4	41.8	0.04	NO NO		- 		- ×0
D&D	22998	16-Aug-96	······	1170	PC//L UG/L		0.768 500	2.6	41.8	2.69	YES	1459	0.8	NO NO	183
DRAINAGE	3786 3786	07-May-00		35.8	PCI/L		1.07	33.4	60.7	0.59	NO	-			vo
DRAINAGE	3786	07-May-90		25.1	PCVL		0.766	32.7	41.8	0.60	NO		1		NO
DRAINAGE	6486	24-Apr-96		105	UGAL	1-	100	1.1	21.4	4.91	YES	62.0	1.7	YES	7E\$
DRAINAGE	6486	24-Apr-96	······································	3.13	PCVL		1.07	2.9	60.7	0.05	NO				10
DRAINAGE	6486	24-Apr-96		2.38	PCI/L		0.768	3.1	41.8	0.06	NO			 	. vo
DRAINAGE	6586	12-Nov-96	NICKEL	212	UGAL		100	2.1	21.4	9.92	YES	78.8	1.8	YES	723
DRAINAGE	6586	12-Nov-96		7.1	UG/L	8		3.6	60.7	0.07	YES NO	3.87		1	9
DRAINAGE	6586	18-Apr-96	***************************************	4.20	PCVL.		1.07	3.9 4.3	60.7	0.07	NO NO	-	+	1	vo
DRAINAGE	6586	12-Nov-90		3.05	PCIA		1.07	2.9	60.7	0.05	NO	1			vo
DRAINAGE	6586 6586	12-Nov-90		3.38	PCVL	~~ + ~~~	0.768	4.4	41.8	0.08	NO	1	1		100
DRAINAGE	6586	18-Apr-90		4.00	PCIA		0.768	5.2	41.8	0.10	NO				•0
DRAINAGE	6586	19-Jul-90		2.54	PCVL		0.768	3.3	41.8	0.06	NO				*0
PERF MON	07391	11-Mar-90		350	UG/L			1.8				2725	0.1	NO NO	• • • •
PERF MON	07391	11-Mar-9		1800	UG/L			18.0				4439	0.4	NO NO	• •
PERF MON	07391	24-Sep-9		1600	UG/L			16.0		4.42	YES	4439 33.6	0.8	NO NO	•
PERF MON	07391	11-Mar-9		20.6	MG/L		10	1.7	4.86	3.71	YES	33.6	0.5	NO	- vo
PERF MON	07391	24-Sep-9		17.3	UGAL	****		300.0		<u></u> -		3030	0.5	NO	v o
PERF MON	07391	11-Mar-9 24-Sep-9		1400	UGA			280.0		1	-†	3030	0.5	NO	₩0
PERF MON	10/397	1 44-300-9	- INCHIONOLINE INCHE	, ,,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	٠, ١, ١	- 1	1							

TABLE 2-2 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells (cont'd)

Well Class		Sample	Appelon	Result	Units	G	Tier II AL	Tier#	Back- ground	BKGD	Exceed) Setoric	Historic	Exceedance of BKGD and	Reportable
THE GREE	Location	Date	Analyte	, and 3	Unite	٦	,	Ratio	M2SO	ratio	BKGO7	M280	Retio	Historic M28D	Exceedance
PERF MON	07391	24-Sep-96	THALLIUM	8.1	UGAL	В	2	4.1	4.90	1.65	YES	19.9	0.4	NO.	NO
PERF MON	07391	24-Sep-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	100000	UGAL	ļ	5	20000.0	ļ		ļ	158351	0.6	NO NO	NO NO
PERFIMON PERFIMON	07391	11-Mar-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	99000 16.4	PCI/L	ļ	5 1.07	19800.0 15.4	60.7	0.27	NO.	158351	0.8		NO NO
PERF MON	07391	11-Mar-96	U-238	37.6	PCI/L		0.768	48.9	41.8	0.90	NO NO			ł	NO
PERF MON	10592	06-Feb-96	SELENIUM	286	UG/L	1	50	5.7	43.7	6.54	YES	344	0.8	NO	NO
PERF MON	10592	28-Aug-98	SELENIUM	193	UGAL		50	3.9	43.7	4.41	YES	344	0.6	NO NO	NO
PERF MON	10592	06-Feb-96	U-234	12.9	PCVL	ļ	1.07	12.1	60.7	0.21	NO NO	ļ		ļ	NO NO
PERF MON	10592	06-Feb-96 17-Sep-96	U-238 THALLIUM	10.5 &1	PCVL UG/L	В	0.768 2	13.6 4.1	41.8 4.90	0.25 1.65	YES	11.0	0.7	NO	NO NO
PERF MON	10892	26-Nov-96	THALLIUM	6.6	UG/L		2	3.3	4.90	1.35	YES	11.0	0.6	NO	NO
PERF MON	10692	26-Nov-96	U-234	13.9	PCVL	1	1.07	13.0	60,7	0.23	NO				МО
PERF MON	10892	07-Feb-96	U-234	14.9	PCVL		1.07	13.9	60.7	0.25	NO			ļ	NO
PERF MON	10692	10-Apr-98	U-234	18.6	PCIAL	ļ	1.07 0.768	17.4	60.7 41.8	0.31	NO NO			}	NO NO
PERF MON	10892	10-Apr-96 07-Feb-96	U-238 U-238	9.76 10.7	PCVL	ļ	0.768	13.9	41.8	0.28	NO NO				NO NO
PERF MON	10892	26-Nov-96	U-238	8.4	PCVL	†	0.768	11.0	41,8	0.20	NO			t	NO
PERF MON	10792	16-Apr-98	U-234	1.80	PCVL		1.07	1.7	60.7	0.03	NO				NO
PERF MON	10792	16-Apr-96	U-238	1.42	PC//L	L	0.768	1.8	41,8	0.03	NO	ļ <u>.</u>		ļ	NO
PERF MON	10992	19-Nov-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	28.2	MG/L	ļ	10	2.6 2.8	4.86 4.66	5.82 5.92	YES	37.2 37.2	0.7	NO NO	NO NO
PERF MON	10992	16-Apr-96 04-Sep-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	27.6 629	MG/L UG/L	N	10 50	12.6	43.7	14.39	YES	NO NO	J	 	NO NO
PERF MON	10992	16-Apr-96	U-234	7.58	PCIA	 '	1.07	7.1	60.7	0.12	NO			1	NO
PERF MON	10992	16-Jan-96	U-234	6.50	PC//L		1.07	8,1	60.7	0.11	NO				NO
PERF MON	10992	18-Jan-98	U-238	4.54	PCIAL		0.768	5.9	41.8	0.11	NO				NO
PERF MON	10992	16-Apr-96	U-238	8.94	PCIAL	ļ	0.768	9.0	41.8	0.17	NO	2000		NO	NO NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Mar-98 18-Sep-98	CARBON TET.	590 540	UG/L		5	118.0 108.0				2989 2969	0.2	NO NO	NO NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Mar-96	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	2	UGAL	 	1.09	1.8				10.8	0.2	NO	NO
PERF MON	12891	18-Mar-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	14	UGAL	JB	5	2.8				584	0.0	NO	NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Sep-98	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	14	UGAL	J	5	2.8				584	0.0	NO.	NO
PERF MON	12691	18-Sep-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	100	UGA.		5	20.0		ļ		647	0.2	NO	NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Mer-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE TRICHLOROETHENE	130	UG/L		5 5	26.0 12.2	ļ	ļ	ļ	647 362	0.2	NO NO	NO NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Sep-98 18-Mar-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	76	UG/L	-	5	15.2			ļ	362	0.2	NO.	NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Mar-98	U-234	2.41	PCIAL	f	1.07	2.3	80.7	0.04	NO				NO
PERF MON	12091	18-Mer-98	U-238	2.23	PCIAL		0.788	2.9	41.8	0.05	NO				NO
PERF MON	35691	20-Jun-98	SELENIUM	58.7	UGAL	N	50	1.2	43.7	1.34	YES	20.6 578	2.8 0.9	YES NO	NO YES
PERF MON	35891 35891	20-Jun-98 13-Nov-98	SULFATE SULFATE	510 532	UG/L	ļ	500 500	1.0 1.1	436 436	1.17	YES	578	0.9	NO NO	YES
PERF MON	35691	13-Nov-96	THALLIUM	10.4	UGAL	 -	2	5.2	4.90	2.12	YES	4.15	2.5	YES	NO
PERF MON	35091	13-Nov-96	U-234	18.03	PCVL	1	1.07	16.9	60.7	0.30	NO				NO
PERF MON	35691	20-Jun-98	U-234	22.49	PCVL		1.07	21.0	60.7	0.37	NO				NO
PERF MON	35691	13-Nov-96	U-238	15.17	PCVL	ļ	0.768	19.8	41.8	0.36	NO				NO NO
PERF MON	35691	20-Jun-96 13-Feb-96	U-238 AM-241	15.26 30.01	PCVL	ļ	0.788 0.145	19.9 207.0	0.04	0.37 833.61	NO YES	0.01	2500.8	YES	YES
PLUME DEF	00491	12-Sep-98	CARBON TET.	180	UGA	 	5	36.0	0.0-		,	545	0.3	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	00491	13-Feb-98	CARBON TET.	170	UGAL	-	5	34.0				545	0.3	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	00491	13-Feb-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	25	UGAL		5	5.0				54.2	0.5	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	00491		TETRACHLOROETHENE	32	UGAL	<u> </u>	5	8.4		120	YES	54.2 3.00	0.6 2.0	NO YES	NO NO
PLUME DEF	00491		THALLIUM TRICHLOROETHENE	5.9 73	UG/L	В	5	3.0 14.6	4.90	1.20	153	153	0.5	NO NO	NO
PLUME DEF	00491		TRICHLOROETHENE	84	UGAL	1-	5	12.8		 	†	153	0.4	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	00491	13-Feb-66	*************	5.30	PCIA.		1.07	4.9	60.7	0.09	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	00491	13-Feb-98		3.43	PCI/L	ļ	0.768	4.5	41.8	0.08	NO				NO.
PLUME DEF	0487		CARBON TET.	12	UGAL	 	5	2.4		ļ		1545 284	0.0	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	0487	16-Sep-96 16-Sep-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	101	UG/L	1.	100	1.8	21.4	4.73	YES	284	0.0	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	0487		SELENIUM	130	UGAL	 	50	2.6	43.7	2.97	YES	700	0.2	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	0487		SELENIUM	260	UGAL		50	5.2	43.7	5.95	YES	700	0.4	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	0487		TETRACHLOROETHENE	8	UG/L		5	1.6				351	0.0	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	0487		THALLIUM	10.3	UGAL	-	2	5.2	4.9	2.10	YES	5.46 5686	1.9 0.0	YES NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	0487		TRICHLOROETHENE	100	UG/L	-	5	20.0 28.0		ļ	 	5686	0.0	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	0487	12-Feb-96	***************************************	12.9	PCIAL	f	1.07	12.0	60.7	0.21	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	0487	12-Feb-96		8.74	PCI/L	1	0.768	11.4	41.8	0.21	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	05391	20-Feb-96	CARBON TET.	10	UGAL	L	5	2.0			<u> </u>	32.9	0.3	NO	NO .
PLUME DEF	05391	20-Feb-96		1.18	PCVL	ļ	1.07	1.1	60,7	0.02	NO		ļ		NO YES ¹
PLUME DEF	22898		METHYLENE CHLORIDE NITRATE/NITRITE	24 12.1	UG/L MG/L	l J	5 10	4.8 1.2	4.66	2.59	YES	NO NO		}	YES?
PLUME DEF ³		*****************	TRICHLOROETHENE	2100	UGAL	 	5	420.0			 	NO		†	YES'
PLUME DEF	2987		NICKEL	1220	UGAL	t	100	12.2	21.4	57.09	YES	1742	0.7	NO	NO

TABLE 2-2 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells (cont'd)

		Sample				Γ			Back-					Exceedance of	
Well Class	Location	Date	Analyte	Result	Unita	0	Tier# AL	Tier il Ratio	ground M2SD	BKGD ratio	Exceed BKGD?	Historie M280	Historia Resta	BKGD and Historic M28D	Reportable Exceedance
PLUME DEF	2987	11-Sep-96		1870	UG/L		100	18.7	21.4	87.51	YES	1742	1.1	YES	NO
PLUME DEF	2987	01-Mar-98	SELENIUM	442	UG/L		50	8.8	43.7	10.11	YES	408	1.1	YES	NO
PLUME DEF	2987 2987	11-Sep-96 01-Mar-96	SELENIUM	389 600	UGAL	ļ	50 500	7.8	43.7	8.90	YES	408	1.0	NO.	NO NO
PLUME DEF	2987	11-Sep-96	SULFATE	708	UGAL	}	500	1.2	436 436	1.38 1.62	YES YES	688 688	0.9	YES	NO NO
PLUME DEF	2987	01-Mar-98	U-234	2.12	PCI/L	†	1.07	2.0	60.7	0.03	NO		1.0	163	NO NO
PLUME DEF	3087	07-Nov-96	THALLIUM	9.8	UG/L	В	2	4.9	4.90	2.00	YES	3.40	2.9	YES	NO
PLUME DEF	6286 6286	16-Apr-96	CARBON TET.	7	UGAL	ļ	5	1.4				9.78	0.7	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	6286	31-Oct-96 16-Apr-96	CARBON TET.	8 89	UGAL	N	5 50	1.6 1.8	43.7	2.04	YES	9.76 66.0	0.8	NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	6286	31-Oct-96	SELENIUM	64.6	UGAL		50	1.3	43.7	1.48	YES	66.0	1.3 1.0	YES NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	6286	31-Oct-98	U-234	4.94	PCIA		1.07	4.6	60.7	0.08	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	8286	16-Apr-96	U-234	3.44	PCI/L		1.07	3.2	60.7	0.08	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	6286 6286	31-Oct-96 18-Apr-96	U-238 U-238	3.75 4.10	PCI/L	ļ	0.768	4.9	41.8	0.09	NO				NO
PLUME DEF	6687	18-Jan-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	8	UG/L	ļ	0.768 7	5.3 1.1	41.8	0.10	NO.	9.93	0.8	NO.	NO NO
PLUME DEF	6687	13-Nov-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	7	UG/L	-	7	1	 			9.93	0.7	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	6687	13-Nov-98	THALLIUM	7.2	UG/L	В	2	3.6	4.90	1.47	YES	4.41	1.6	YES	NO.
PLUME DEF	8687 8687	16-Jan-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	8	UGAL		5	1.6]]			18.7	0.4	NO	NO
PLUME DEF	6687 6687	18-Apr-98 14-Aug-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	5	UG/L		5	1	 			18.7	0.3	NO NO	МО
PLUME DEF	6687	13-Nov-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	8	UGAL	 	5	1,2	 			18.7	0.3	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	P209389	11-Mar-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	27	UGAL		7	3.9				88.5	0.3	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	P209389	24-Jul-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	28	UG/L		7	4.0				88.5	0.3	NO NO	NO
PLUME DEF	P209389 P219189	********	CARBON TET.	5	UGAL	ļļ	5	11				58.7	0.1	NO	NO
	P219189	14-May-98 23-Jul-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	21 23	UGAL		7	3.0 3.3	 			46.8 46.8	0.4	NO I	NO NO
***************************************	P219189		1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	11	UGAL	-		1.6	 			40.8	0.2	10	NO NO
	P219189	14-May-98	TRITIUM	777	PCVL		686	1.2	613	1.27	YES	1229	0.6	NO	NO.
***************************************	P416789		U-234	3.52	PCVL		1.07	3.3	60.7	0.08	NO				NO
	P416789 P416689		U-238 TETRACHLOROETHENE	1.90	PCVL.		0.788	2.5	41.8	0.05	NO				NO.
***************************************	P416689		TETRACHLOROETHENE	43 48	UG/L		5 5	8.6 9.6				77.7	0.6	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME DEF	P416889	*******	THALLIUM	9.8	UG/L	В	2	4.9	4.90	2.00	YES	13.0	0.8	NO NO	NO NO
*********	P416589	13-Aug-96	U-234	1.60	PCIAL		1.07	1.5	60.7	0.03	NO				NO
	P416889	***************************************	U-234	1.89	PCI/L		1.07	1.8	60.7	0.03	NO				NO.
	03991		CARBON TET. CARBON TET.	18 14	UG/L		5	3.6 2.8				27.2	0.7	NO NO	NO
	03991	***************************************	U-234	13.2	PCI/L		1.07	12.3	60.7	0.22	NO NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	03991		U-238	9.61	PCI/L		0.768	12.5	41.8	0.23	NO				NO.
	04091	******************	U-234	2.33	PCI/L		1.07	2.2	60.7	0.04	NO				NO
	04091 04591	13-Feb-96	U-238 U-234	1.74	PCI/L	-	0.768	2.3	41.8	0.04	NO				NO
	04591	**************	U-238	2.73 1.27	PCVL PCVL		1.07 0.768	2.8 1.7	60.7 41.8	0.04	NO NO				NO NO
LUME EXT	04991	12-Feb-98	U-234	6.82	PCI/L		1.07	6.4	60.7	0.11	NO				NO
	04991	***********************************	U-238	4.79	PCIAL		0.768	6.2	41.8	0.11	NO				NO
	05091 05091		THALLIUM U-234	5.9	UG/L	비	2	3.0	4.90	1.20	YES	5,39	1.1	YES	YES
	05091	***************************************	U-238	3.99 2.15	PCVL PCVL		0.788	2.5	60.7 41.8	0.07	NO NO				NO NO
	08091	14 14	CARBON TET.	5	UG/L		5	1			- 10	4.44	1.1	YES	YES
******************************	08091		CARBON TET.	5	UG/L		5	1				4.44	1.1	YES	YES'
	08091		CARBON TET.	6	UG/L		5	1.2				4.44	1.4	YES	YES2
*********************	06091	14-Mar-96		3.48	PCI/L		1.07	3.2	60.7	0.06	NO NO				NO I
	08091	18-Jun-96		3.61	PCI/L		1.07	3.2	60.7	0.06	NO I				NO NO
LUME EXT	06091	18-Jun-96	U-238	1.82	PCVL	_	0.768	2.4	41.8	0.04	NO				NO
	06091	14-Mar-96		1.49	PCI/L		0.788	1.9	41.8	0.04	NO				NO
	06091	14-Nov-96 26-Feb-96		1.83	PCI/L		0.788	2.4	41.8	0.04	NO.				NO
*************************	10194	23-Jul-96	L	2.07 2.16	PCI/L		1.07	1.9	60.7	0.03	NO NO	}			NO NO
		25-Nov-96		2.81	PCIAL		1.07	2.6	60.7	0.05	NO NO			······································	NO NO
	10194	06-Jun-96		2.81	PCI/L	I	1.07	2.6	60.7	0.05	NO				NO
	10194	08-Jun-96		1.314	PCVL.		0.768	1.7	41.8	0.03	NO				NO
	10194 10194	23-Jul-96 1 25-Nov-96 1	J-238	1.33	PCI/L		0.768	1.7	41.8	0.03	NO				NO
********************		26-Feb-96	······································	1.05	PCIAL		0.768	1.4 2.5	41.8 41.8	0.03	NO NO				NO NO
*******************	*************************************	19-Nov-96 I	NITRATE/NITRITE	15.9	MG/L		10	1.6	4.66	3.41	YES	23.7	0.7	NO	YES
********************	10994		NITRATE/NITRITE	14	MG/L		10	1.4	4.66	3.00	YES	23.7	0.6	NO	YES
			SELENIUM	509	UGAL		50	10.2		11.84	YES	1097	0.5	NO	YES
	10994	04-Sep-96 12-Mar-96 1		624 708	UG/L	N	50 50	12.5	**************	14.27	YES	1097	0.6	NO	YES
				,00	- Jar	1.	ا بد	19.1	73.1	10.13	ر دے ا	IUS#	V.0	NO	YES

TABLE 2-2 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells (cont'd)

Well Class	Location	Sadopha. Data	Analyse	Result	Units	9	THEAL	Tier il Resio	Back- ground M280	BAGGED	Exceed BKG07	Hetoric M283	Historic Ratio	Exceedance of BKGD and Historic M28D	Reportable Exceedance
PLUME EXT	10994	19-Nov-96	THALLIUM	7.5	UG/L	В	2	3.8	4.90	1.53	YES	4.87	1.5	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	10994	06-Jun-96	U-234	8.62	PCVL	<u> </u>	1.07	8.1	60.7	0.14	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	10994	12-Mar-96	U-234	8.25	PCIL		1.07	7.7	60.7	0.14	NO		ļ	<u> </u>	NO NO
PLUME EXT	10994	19-Nov-96	U-234	6.41	PCVL	ļ	1.07	6.0	60.7	0.11	NO NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	10994	12-Mar-96 19-Nov-96	U-238 U-238	4.64	PCVL		0.768	6.0 6.0	41.8	0.11	NO			f	NO NO
PLUME EXT	10994	06-Jun-96	U-238	5.37	PCVL	 	0.768	7.0	41.8	0.13	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1386	19-Nov-96	NICKEL	321	UG/L		100	3.2	21.4	15.02	YES	309	1.0	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	1388	08-Jun-96	NICKEL	156	UGAL	<u></u>	100	1.6	21.4	7.30	YES	309	0.5	NO.	YES
PLUME EXT	1388	06-Mar-96	NICKEL	249 4.7	UGAL	a	100	2.5 2.4	4.90	0.96	YES	309	0.8	NO NO	NO NO
PLUME EXT	1386	19-Nov-96 06-Jun-96	THALLIUM U-234	9.25	PCIA	1-	1.07	8.6	60.7	0.15	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1386	19-Nov-98	U-234	7.59	PCVL	 	1.07	7.1	60.7	0.13	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1386	23-Jul-96	U-234	7.59	PCVL		1.07	7.1	60.7	0.12	NO		ļ	<u> </u>	NO
PLUME EXT	1386	06-Mar-96	U-234	7.70	PCI/L	ļ	1.07	7.2	60.7	0.13	NO NO		ļ		NO NO
PLUME EXT	1386	06-Jun-98 23-Jul-96	U-238 U-238	7.52 6.72	PCI/L PCI/L	 	0.768	9.8 8.8	41.8	0.16	NO NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1386	06-Mar-96	U-238	6.42	PCIA	 	0.768	8.4	41.8	0.15	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1386	19-Nov-96	U-238	6.29	PCIL		0.768	8.2	41.8	0.15	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1786	18-Jul-96	NITRATEMITRITE	611	MGAL		10	61.1	4.66	131.00	YES	689	0.9	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	07-Mar-96	NITRATENITRITE	603	MG/L	ļ	10	60.3 58.8	4.86	129.29	YES	689	0.9	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	10-Jun-98 31-Oct-98	NITRATE/NITRITE	588 651	MG/L	-	10	65.1	4.66	139.58	YES	689	0.9	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	18-Jul-96	SELENIUM	251	UGAL	t	50	5.0	43.7	5.74	YES	246	1.0	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	31-Oct-96	SELENIUM	299	UGAL		50	6.0	43.7	6.84	YES	246	1.2	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	10-Jun-98	SELENIUM	316	UG/L	ļ	50	6.3	43.7	7.23	YES	246	1.3	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	07-Mar-96	SELENIUM	282	UGAL	8	50 2	5.6 3.3	43.7	1.35	YES	6.49	1.0	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	18-Jul-98 31-Oct-98	THALLIUM	730	PCI/L	╁╸	688	1.1	613	1.19	YES	1077	0.7	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	1786	16-Jul-96	U-234	32.9	PCVL	1	1.07	30.7	80.7	0.54	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1786	10-Jun-96	U-234	33.8	PCI/L		1.07	31.6	60.7	0.56	NO		<u> </u>	ļ	NO NO
PLUME EXT	1786	31-Oct-98	U-234	37.8	PCVL	-	1.07	35.3	80.7	0.62	NO NO	 			NO NO
PLUME EXT	1786	07-Mar-95 31-Oct-96	U-234 U-235	1.37	PCI/L	 	1.07	36.6	1.79	0.77	NO		 	 	NO NO
PLUME EXT	1786	07-Mar-98	IU-235	1.27	PCIAL	 	1.01	1.3	1.79	0.71	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1786	10-Jun-96	U-238	23.81	PCIAL		0.768	31.0	41.8	0.57	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1786	31-Oct-98	U-238	27.31	PCI/L	L	0.768	35.6	41,8	0.65	NO.		-	 	NO NO
PLUME EXT	1786	07-Mar-96	U-238	27.93	PCIAL	-	0.768	36.4	41.8	0.67	NO NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	1786	16-Jul-96 06-Aug-96	U-238 MANGANESE	26.22	UGAL	·f	183	14.9	162	16.82	YES	3305	0.8	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	1986	04-Mar-96	U-234	3.51	PCIL	†	1.07	3.3	60.7	0.06	NO			1	NO
PLUME EXT	1986	11-Jun-98	U-234	3.65	PCI/L		1.07	3.4	60.7	0.06	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	1986	08-Aug-96	U-234	3.54	PCI/L	1_	1.07	3.3	60.7	0.06	NO NO	-	ļ	_	NO NO
PLUME EXT	1988	08-Aug-96	U-238	2.05	PCI/L	.l	0.768 0.768	2.7	41.8	0.05	NO NO	}	}		NO
PLUME EXT	1986	04-Mar-96	U-238 IU-238	2.81	PCIAL	+	0.768	3.7	41.8	0.07	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	22598	15-Jul-98	MANGANESE	505	UGAL	1	183	2.8	162	3.11	YES	NO			YES1
PLUME EXT	22798	15-Jul-95	TRICHLOROETHENE	39	UGAL	I	5	7.8				NO	ļ	<u> </u>	YES'
PLUME EXT	22798	15-Jul-98	U-234	3.28	PCI/L	ļ	1.07	3.1	60.7	0.05	NO NO		 	-	NO NO
PLUME EXT	22798	15-Jul-98	THALLIUM	1.69	PCI/L	В	0.768	2.2	4.90	1.16	YES	NO		-	YES
PLUME EXT	23096	18-Nov-95		1.34	PCIA	╁┈	1.07	1.3	60,7	0.02	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	23098	18-Nov-96		1.22	PCI/L		0.768	1.6	41.8	0.03	NO		ļ		NO
PLUME EXT	23298		CARBON TET.	6	UGAL	1	5	1.2	ļ		ļ	ND	ļ		YES'
PLUME EXT	23296		TETRACHLOROETHENE	18	UGAL	-l	5	3.6	 	 	 	NO NO	 		YES1
PLUME EXT	23296 43392		TRICHLOROETHENE	430	UGAL	В	5 2	86.0 4.0	4.90	1.63	YES	3.87	2,1	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	43392		THALLIUM	5.8	UGAL	B		2.9	4.90	1.18	YES	3.87	1,5	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	43392	12-Nov-98	U-234	1,17	PC//L		1.07	1.1	60.7	0.02	NO	ļ <u></u>		4	NO YES
PLUME EXT	4887	22-Apr-98		806	UGAL	+	100	8.1	43.7	37.72 5.42	YES	903 ID	0.9	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	4887	22-Apr-96	······································	6.49	PCVL	N	1.07	6.1	60.7	0.11	NO NO	+			NO
PLUME EXT	4887	22-Apr-95 22-Apr-95		5.91	PCIL	+-	0.768	7.7	41.8	0.14	NO		1		NO
PLUME EXT	5387	16-Apr-98		13.4	PCI/L	1	1.07	12.6	50.7	0.22	NO	1			NO
PLUME EXT	5387	16-Apr-96	U-238	7.44	PCI/L		0.768	9.7	41.8	0.18	NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	6186	22-May-96		2.28	PCIAL		1.07	21	60.7	0.04	NO NO	 	 		NO NO
PLUME EXT	6186	12-Nov-98		1.96	PCIAL	+-	1.07	1.8	80.7	0.03	NO	 	1		NO
PLUME EXT	6186	10-Jan-96 22-May-96	······································	1.80	PCVL	+	0.768	2.3	41.8	0.04	NO	1	1		NO
PLUME EXT	6186	12-Nov-98	**************************************	2.03	PCIAL	1-	0.768	2.6	41.8	0.05	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	6186	10-Jan-96		1.48	PCIAL	I	0.768	1.9	41.8	0.04	NO.				NO VES
PLUME EXT	7086	18-Jul-96	MANGANESE	499	UGAL	1	183	2.7	162	3.07	YES	761	0.7	l NO	YES

TABLE 2-2 1996 Groundwater Exceedances of Tier II Action Levels and Standards Framework Criteria (ALF) in RFCA-Designated Wells (cont'd)

Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Analyte	Result	Unite	0	Ther II AL.	Tiér il Ratio	Back- ground M280	BK(QE) retio	Extend BKG07	Historie M290	Historia Redo	Exceedance of SKGD and Historic M25D	Raportable Exceedance
PLUME EXT	7086	25-Nov-96	MANGANESE	332	UGAL	-	183	1.8	162	2.05	YES	781	0.4	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	7086	25-Nov-96	THALLIUM	6.4	UG/L	В	2	3.2	4.90	1.31	YES	3.77	1.7	YES	YES
PLUME EXT	7086	25-Nov-96	U-238	0.842	PCVL.	1	0.768	1.1	41.8	0.02	NO				МО
PLUME EXT	7086	18-Jul-96	U-238	0.904	PCVL.	1	0.768	1.2	41.8	0.02	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	75992	11-Nov-98	MANGANESE	262	UG/L		183	1.4	182	1.61	YES	D.			YES
PLUME EXT	75992	11-Nov-98	THALLIUM	7	UGAL	В	2	3.5	4.90	1.43	YES	NO			YES
PLUME EXT	75992	21-May-96	U-234	20.6	PCVL		1.07	19.3	60.7	0.34	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	75992	11-Nov-96	U-234	8.48	PCI/L,		1.07	7.9	60.7	0.14	NO				NO .
PLUME EXT	75992	21-May-96	U-235	1.24	PCI/L		1.01	1.2	1.79	0.69	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	75992	11-Nov-96	U-238	6.87	PCIAL		0.768	8.9	41.8	0.16	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	75992	21-May-96	U-238	18.9	PCVL		0.768	24.8	41.8	0.45	NO			1	NO
PLUME EXT	8208289	23-Apr-98	NITRATE/NITRITE	46.7	MG/L	_	10	4.7	4.65	10.01	YES	70.3	0.7	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	B208789	22-Oct-96	MANGANESE	348	UGAL	1	183	1.9	162	2.14	YES	D			YES
PLUME EXT	B208789	22-Oct-96	THALLIUM	7.2	UGAL	В	2	3.6	4.90	1.47	YES	ID.			YES
PLUME EXT	B208789	22-Oct-96	U-234	7.13	PCIAL	ļ	1.07	6.7	60.7	0.12	NO.				NO.
PLUME EXT	B208789	08-May-98	U-234	4.65	PCVL	1	1.07	4.3	60.7	0.08	NO			1	NO
PLUME EXT	B208789	22-Oct-96	U-238	5.94	PCVL	ļ	0.768	7.7	41.8	0.14	NO		ļ		NO
PLUME EXT	B208789	06-May-96	U-238	4.30	PCIL	1	0.768	5.8	41.8	0.10	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	P114389	17-Jul-98	MANGANESE	416	UGAL	ļ	183	2.3	162	2.58	YES	662	0.6	NO .	YES
PLUME EXT	P114389	17-Jul-96	U-234	3.33	PCVL	ļ	1.07	3.1	60.7	0.05	NO	ļ	ļ		NO
PLUME EXT	P114389	29-Feb-96	U-234	3.54	PCI/L	ļ	1.07	3.3	60.7	0.06	NO				NO.
PLUME EXT	P114389	29-Feb-96	U-238	2.08	PCIL	ļ	0.768	2.7	41.8	0.05	NO		ļ	ļ	NO
PLUME EXT	P114389	17-Jul-96	U-238	2.48	PCVL	ļ	0.788	3.2	41.8	0.08	NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	P218389	20-Aug-98	NITRATE/NITRITE	17.7	MG/L	ļ	10	1,8	4.66	3.80	YES	33.0	0.5	NO I	YES YES
PLUME EXT	P218389	14-May-98	NITRATENITRITE	14.9	MG/L	ļ	10	1.5	4.86	3.19	YES	33.0	0.5	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P218389	19-Feb-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	25.6	MG/L	ļ	10	2.6	4.66	5.49	YES	33.0	0.8	NO	NO NO
PLUME EXT	P218389	20-Aug-98	U-234	6.01	PCIA	ļ	1.07	5.6	60.7	0.10	NO NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	P218389	20-Aug-96	U-238	5.59	PCIL	}	0.768	7.3	41.8		****************	51.6	0.7	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P219489	08-May-98	NITRATE/NITRITE	36.3	MGA.	ł	10	3.6	4.86	7.78	YES	51.6	0.7	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P219488	19-Feb-98	NITRATENITRITE	37.9	MG/L	ļ	10	3.8	4.88	8.13	YES	51.6	0.8	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P219489	30-14-98	NITRATENITRITE	38.7	MG/L	ļ	10	39	4.66 21.4	7.30	YES	254	0.6	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P313589	29-Jan-98	NICKEL	156	UGAL	-	100	1.6	21.4	4.87	YES	254	0.4	NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P313589	18-Apr-96	NICKEL	104	UGAL	}	100	,	21.4	6.46	YES	254	0.5	NO NO	YES
PLUME EXT	P313589	17-Jul-98	NICKEL U-234	2.67	PCVL	ļ	1.07	1.4 2.5	80.7	0.04	NO		0.5	1	NO
PLUME EXT	P313589	29-Jan-96 17-Jul-96	U-234	2.46	PCIL	 	1.07	23	60.7	0.04	NO NO	·····	ļ		NO
PLUME EXT	P313589	18-Apr-98	U-234	2.56	PCIL	 	1.07	24	60.7	0.04	NO				NO NO
PLUME EXT	P313589	29-Jan-98	U-238	2.37	PCIL	ł	0.768	3.1	41.8	0.08	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	P313589	17-Jul-98	U-238	1.66	PCIL	 	0.788	2.2	41.8	0.04	NO.				NO.
PLUME EXT	P313589	18-Apr-98	U-238	1.18	PCIL	ļ	0.768	1.5	41.8	0.03	NO.				NO
PLUME EXT	P416689	19-Feb-96	U-234	5.27	PCIL	 	1.07	4.9	60.7	0.09	NO		······		NO
PLUME EXT	P416689	17-Jul-96	U-234	3.87	PCIL	 	1.07	3.4	60.7	0.08	NO	····			NO
PLUME EXT	P416889	19-Feb-96	U-238	1.19	PCIA	ļ	0.768	1.5	41.8	0.03	NO				NO
PLUME EXT	P416689	17-Jul-98	U-238	1.85	PCIL	 	0.768	2.4	41.8	0.04	NO				NO
RCRA	4087	26-Apr-96	U-234	17.3	PCVL	ł	1.07	16.2	60.7	0.29	NO		t		Ю
RCRA	4087	26-Apr-96	U-238	12.6	PCI/L	} -	0.768	16.5	41.8	0.30	NO				NO
RCRA	52894	23-Apr-96	U-234	11.4	PCVL	╁	1.07	10.6	60.7	0.19	NO	ļ			NO
RCRA	52894	23-Apr-98	U-238	8.36	PCIAL	ł	0.768	10.9	41.8	0.20	NO		·		NO
RCRA	5887		THALLIUM	9	UGAL	В	2	4.5	4.90	1.84	YES	5.22	1.7	YES	NO
RCRA	70393		TETRACHLOROETHENE	5	UGAL	† <u> </u>	5	1	1	1		8.9	0.6	NO	70
RCRA	70393	***************************************	TETRACHLOROETHENE	5	UG/L	1	5	1	1	·		8.9	0,6	NO	NO
RCRA	70193		THALLIUM	4.7	UGAL	B	2	2.4	4.90	0.96	NO				NO
RCRA	70393		1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	12	UGAL	† <u>-</u>	7	1.7	1	1	T	20.7	0.6	NO	NO
RCRA	70393		1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	12	UGAL	t	7	1.7	1	1	·	20.7	0.6	NO	NO
RCRA	70393		TRICHLOROETHENE	18	UGAL	f	5	3.6	t	1		36.3	0.5	NO	NO
RCRA	70393	I	TRICHLOROETHENE	20	UGAL	†	5	4.0	1	1		36.3	0.6	NO	NO
RCRA	70493		THALLIUM	5.7	UG/L	В	2	2.9	4.90	1.16	YES	6.01	0.9	NO	NO
RCRA	70493	07-Nov-96		1.12	PCVL	t	1.07	1.0	60.7	0.02	NO				NO
RCRA	B206989		NITRATE/NITRITE	38.7	MGAL	ţ	10	3.7	4.66	7.87	YES	75.4	0.5	NO	NO
RCRA	B206989		NITRATE/NITRITE	46.2	MG/L	†	10	4.6	4.66	9.91	YES	75.4	0.6	NO	NO

Reported in 1996 Third Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report (RMRS, 1997a).
 Reported in 1996 Fourth Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report (RMRS, 1997b).
 This well has been reclassified from a plume extent well to a plume definition well.

Table 2-3. RFCA-Designated Wells with No Analytes Above Tier II Action Levels

WELL CLASS	RFCA WELLS
DRAINAGE	5587
PERF MONITORING	11092
PLUME DEFINITION	6386
PLUME EXTENT	2186
PLUME EXTENT	76992
PLUME EXTENT	P314289
DRAINAGE*	38591
PERF MONITORING*	05691
PERF MONITORING*	11891
PERF MONITORING*	12191
PERF MONITORING*	3687
PLUME DEFINITION*	77392
PLUME DEFINITION*	P209289
PLUME DEFINITION*	P209489
PLUME EXTENT*	08091
PLUME EXTENT*	22696
PLUME EXTENT*	23196
PLUME EXTENT*	3386
PLUME EXTENT*	3586
PLUME EXTENT*	4787
RCRA*	52994

^{*} Well was dry throughout 1996.

2.3.2 TIER II EXCEEDANCES

Boundary Wells

Six RFCA designated boundary wells were sampled in 1996. No volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were found above the Tier II action levels. There were reported results of Uranium-233/234 and -238 (U-234 and U-238) above the Tier II action levels in all six wells. All uranium isotope analyses were well below the background benchmarks of 60.7 pCi/L for U-234 and 41.8 pCi/L for U-238. These are not reportable exceedances.

Manganese was above the Tier II action level and the background M2SD in wells 10294 and 41691. The reported levels were not above the historic M2SDs for the wells and no increasing concentrations were noted (Table 2-2 and Figures 2-17 and 2-19, respectively). No action is required.

Selenium concentrations were reported above the action level and the background M2SD in well 0386 on three sampling dates. These are reportable exceedances. The reported value was slightly above the historic M2SD for the well at the June 12 sampling (Table 2-2). The concentrations in this well do not appear to be increasing (Figure 2-39). It is recommended that semi-annual sampling of this well continue, pending reevaluation of the background benchmarks for metals.

Sulfate was detected in well 10294 above the Tier II action level and the background benchmark for two sampling events. This is a reportable exceedance. The reported level was not above the historic M2SDs for the well and an increasing concentration was not noted (Table 2-2 and Figure 2-48). It is recommended that semi-annual sampling of this well continue, pending reevaluation of the background benchmarks for metals.

Thallium reported above the Tier II action level and the background M2SD in wells 0386, 06491, and 4159 (Table 2-2). These are reportable exceedances. Concentrations were above the historic M2SD for each of the wells. Concentrations appear to be increasing in wells 0386 and 06491 (Figures 2-60 and 2-63). It is recommended that semi-annual sampling of this well continue, pending reevaluation of the background benchmarks for metals.

D&D Wells

One D&D well was sampled in 1996. Well 22996 was sampled in August and November (Table 2-2). The purpose of this well is to establish a historical baseline for the area to determine the effects of future D&D activities on groundwater. Manganese and thallium were above both Tier II action levels and the

background benchmark. Uranium-234 and -238 were above the Tier II action levels, but below the background M2SD. No action is required.

Drainage Wells

Three drainage wells were sampled in 1996. Sulfate was reported above the Tier II action level and the background M2SD for one sample from well 3786, but below the historic M2SD for the well (Table 2-2). There is no indication of an increasing trend (Figure 2-51) for sulfate at this well. This is a reportable exceedance, however, it is recommended that semi-annual sampling of this well continue, pending reevaluation of the background benchmarks for metals.

Nickel was reported above the Tier II action level, the background M2SD and the historic M2SD for one sample each from wells 6486 and 6586 (Table 2-2). The Nickel concentration in well 6486 shows a slight upward trend over the last four samplings, with the April 1996 result being the first over the action level since 1987 (Figure 2-28). There is no indication of an increasing trend (Figure 2-29) in well 6586. The reported result for November 1996 appears to be an anomalously high reading. These are reportable exceedances.

Thallium was also reported above the Tier II action level, the background M2SD and the historic M2SD for one sample from well 6586 (Table 2-2). There is an indication of an increasing trend in thallium concentrations at this well over the last three samplings (Figure 2-73). This is a reportable exceedance.

There were reported results of Uranium-233/234 and -238 (U-234 and U-238) above the Tier II action levels in all three Drainage wells (Table 2-2). All uranium isotope analyses were well below the background benchmarks of 60.7 pCi/L for U-234 and 41.8 pCi/L for U-238. These are not reportable exceedances.

Performance Monitoring Wells

Six PM wells had analytes with concentrations exceeding Tier II concentration criteria (Table 2-2). PM wells monitor the effect of a remedial action or source removal on downgradient groundwater. Figures 2-1 through 2-96 are trend plots for all organics above Tier II action levels and inorganics above Tier II action levels II and the background benchmarks that were reported in samples from PM wells. Trends are summarized in Table 2-4. Only sulfate in well 35691 appears to show a clear upward trend, although the current concentration is below that reported in 1991 (Figure 2-50). Selenium and thallium both have what appear to be single anomalously high results in the current reporting period. Concentrations of these constituents will be further evaluated as more data become available.

TABLE 2-4. Performance Monitoring Well Summary of Organics Reported Above the RFCA Action Levels and Inorganics Above the RFCA Action Level and the Background M2SD.

WELL	ANALYTE	TREND	FIGURE
07391	1,1-Trichloroethane	No	2-1
07391	Chloroform	No	2-15
07391	Nitrate	No	2-31
07391	Tetrachloroethene	No	2-54
10592	Selenium	No	2-41
10692	Thallium	No	2-65
10992	Nitrate	No	2-32
10992	Selenium	ND ¹	NA ²
12691	Carbon Tetrachloride	No	2-12
12691	Hexachlorobutadiene	No	2-16
12691	Methylene Chloride	No	2-23
12691	tetrachloroethene	No	2-55
12691	Trichloroethene	No	2-82
35691	Selenium	No	2-45
35691	Sulfate	Up	2-50
35691	Thallium	No	2-69

¹ ND indicates that data was not available to perform a trend analysis.

² NA Not applicable

Plume Definition Wells

Twelve PD wells were sampled during 1996. PD wells are located in areas of known groundwater contamination and generally have one or more analytes above the Tier II action levels. Well 22896 has been reclassified from a plume extent well to a PD well based on sampling results during 1996 and confirmatory sampling in the first quarter of 1997 (RMRS, 1997d).

There were four reportable exceedances for PD wells during 1996 (Table 2-2).

Three of the reportable exceedances were in well 22896. In the first sampling of this well, methylene chloride and nitrate were found at concentrations above Tier II action levels and trichloroethene was above the Tier I action level (Table 2-2). These are considered reportable exceedances and were previously reported in a quarterly RFCA monitoring report (RMRS, 1997b). This well is located in the Industrial Area, and was installed in a location with groundwater VOC concentrations estimated to be below Tier II action levels for the purpose of monitoring the movement of groundwater VOC contamination above the Tier II action levels. The initial sampling of this well and later confirmatory sampling (RMRS, 1997d) has shown that the area of Tier I contamination has moved further to the north than originally estimated. The VOC plume map has been modified to reflect this new information (Plate 12).

Well 00491 was sampled in February of 1996. Americium-241 was reported at 30.01 pCi/L in the sample (Table 2-2). This is well above the Tier I action level. This well is located in the 903 Pad Lip area. Historically, this well has not had a reported activity-concentration above 0.01 pCi/L (Figure 2-6). Sampling in February, 1997 returned a reported result of 0.001 pCi/L, well within the historical range. It appears that the 30.01 pCi/L result is spurious. No action will be taken.

There were no other reportable exceedances for PD wells. Table 2-5 summarizes concentration trends in PD wells for organics above the Tier II action levels and for inorganics above both the Tier II action levels and the background M2SDs. Concentrations of carbon tetrachloride (Figure 2-7), tetrachloroethene (Figure 2-52), and trichloroethene in well 00491 (Figure 2-79), selenium in well 0487 (Figure 2-40), carbon tetrachloride in well 05391 (Figure 2-10), trichloroethene in well 66871 (Figure 2-84), and 1,1-dichloroethene in well P209389 (Figure 2-4) are decreasing. Concentrations of selenium, carbon tetrachloride, and 1,1-dichloroethene are increasing slightly in wells 2987, 6286, and 6687, respectively (Figures 2-44, 2-13, 2-2, respectively). Several wells had large jumps in reported concentrations of thallium. These may be laboratory artifacts and will be evaluated as new data becomes available.

TABLE 2-5 Plume Definition Well Summary of Organics Reported Above the RFCA Action Levels and Inorganics Above the RFCA Action Level and the Background M2SD.

WELL	ANALYTE	TREND	FIGURE
00491	Carbon Tetrachloride	Down	2-7
00491	Tetrachloroethene	Down	2-52
00491	Thallium	No	2-59
00491	Trichloroethene	Down	2-79
0487	Carbon Tetrachloride	No	2-9
0487	Methylene Chloride	No	2-22
0487	Nickel	No	2-24
0487	Selenium	Down	2-40
0487	Tetrachloroethene	No	2-53
0487	Thallium	No	2-61
0487	Trichloroethene	No	2-80
05391	Carbon Tetrachloride	Down	2-10
2987	Nickel	No	2-26
2987	Selenium	Up	2-44
2987	Sulfate	No	2-49
3087	Thallium	No	2-68
6286	Carbon Tetrachloride	Up	2-13
6286	Selenium	No	2-47
6687	1,1-Dichloroethene	Up	2-2
6687	Thallium	No	2-74
6687	Trichloroethene	Down	2-84
P209389	1,1-Dichloroethene	Down	2-4
P209389	Carbon Tetrachloride	No	2-14
P219189	1,1-Dichloroethene	No	2-5
P416889	Tetrachloroethene	No	2-58
P416889	Thallium	No	2-77

Plume Extent Wells

Twenty-six PE wells were sampled during 1996. There were reportable exceedances for 21 chemicals in 14 wells (Table 2-1). Most of these have been previously reported in the 1996 quarterly RFCA groundwater monitoring reports (RMRS, 1997b and 1997c). There were exceedances for three organic analytes. Carbon tetrachloride is slightly above the Tier II action levels at wells 06091 and 23296. Well 23296 also had concentrations of tetrachloroethene (18 μ g/L) and trichloroethene (430 μ g/L) above the Tier II criteria.

Well 06091, located to the northeast of trenches T-3 and T-4, has a carbon tetrachloride concentration above the Tier II action level of 5 ug/L. The concentration (6 ug/L) exceeds the historical M2SD for this well (Table 2-2) and the historical data indicate an upward trend consistent with a possible advancing plume front (Figure 2-11). Monthly sampling of this well was initiated in January, 1997. Results confirmed the presence of low concentrations of carbon tetrachloride (RMRS 1997c).

Well 23296 is located in the South Walnut Creek drainage. The well was installed in 1996 as a PE well. It had been estimated that the East Trenches Plume had not reached the drainage and the well was intended to detect increasing concentrations of plume contaminants as they began to approach the drainage. The results of the first sampling indicated that the plume had reached the drainage at concentrations above the Tier II criteria. The well was sampled monthly during the first quarter of 1997 to confirm the exceedances for carbon tetrachloride, tetrachloroethene, and trichloroethene reported in the third quarter of 1996 (RMRS, 1997b and 1997d). The concentrations in all three confirmatory samples were above the Tier II action levels. Concentrations of carbon tetrachloride appear to be increasing, while those of tetrachloroethene and trichloroethene are remaining level. One result for 1,2-dichloroethene was equal to the Tier II criteria (RMRS, 1997d).

Further investigations are proceeding in the areas around both well 06091 and well 23296. Results of this sampling effort should be available in the fall of 1997.

Inorganics in PE wells that exceeded the Tier II action levels included manganese in wells1986, 22596, 7086, 75992, B208789, and P114389; nickel in well 1386, selenium in well 1786; and thallium in wells 10994, 1786, 43392, and 7086 (Table 2-2). Well 22596 was first developed in 1996 and has no historical data associated with it. Monthly confirmatory sampling was performed on this well in the first quarter of 1997. Results varied from 197 µg/L to 1010 µg/L, all were above the Tier II action level. Nickel concentrations in well 1386 have been highly variable over then last four years (Figure 2-25) and do not exhibit a definite trend. Selenium concentrations in well 1786 appear to have increased in the last

two years (Figure 2-43). All of the thallium results that exceeded the Tier II action levels were B qualified, meaning they were below the method detection limit. It is recommended that semi-annual sampling of this well continue, pending reevaluation of the background benchmarks for metals.

RCRA Wells

Seven RCRA wells, that are included in the RFCA monitoring plan, were sampled in 1996 (Table 2-2). Wells 4087 and 52894 had activity-concentrations of U-233/234 and U-238 above the Tier II action levels, but below the background benchmarks. There is no indication that uranium activities in well 4087 are rising (Figures 2-91 and 2-96). There is insufficient data to chart well 52894. Nitrate was above the Tier II action level in well B206989, but was below the historic M2SD. Nitrate concentrations are not increasing in this well (Figure 2-35). Thallium concentrations exceeded Tier II action levels and background in wells 5887 and 70493. There is no indication of increasing concentrations in either well, although results for 5887 are quite erratic (Figure 2-72).

Concentrations of organics above the Tier II criteria were reported in one RCRA well, 70393. Tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, and 1,1-dichloroethene were above the action levels, but not the historic M2SDs. Figures 2-57, 2-85, and 2-3 show that concentrations of these analytes are not increasing in these wells.

2.4 DATA SUMMARY FOR NON-RFCA DESIGNATED WELLS SAMPLED IN 1996

Much of the sampling for 1996 was completed prior to approval of the new monitoring well list (CDPHE Nov. 1996 and EPA Nov. 1996) and the establishment of sampling frequencies. Therefore, 43 wells sampled in 1996 will not be sampled in future years. Non-RFCA groundwater monitoring locations are included in this section. These wells are no longer being sampled under the RFCA groundwater monitoring program at RFETS. Organic chemicals-of-concern and inorganics with results greater than background M2SDs are shown for non-RFCA groundwater monitoring locations in Table 2-6. Results for all non-RFCA wells for metal, radionuclides, organics, and water quality parameter chemicals of concern are shown in box plots in Plates 8 through 11. Complete sampling results are given in Appendix B, Table B-1.

Many of these non-RFCA wells are located in previously established source areas. During the DQO process the Groundwater Working Group decided to eliminate these wells from further monitoring activities because the sources had been well defined. Some of these wells may become performance monitoring wells if groundwater remediation activities occur on associated sources.

TABLE 2-6. Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells

Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Chemical of Concern	Remiti	Uellas		Tier 2 AL	The II Ratio	Background	Background
Hell Cities	4	Caragio Sec	Chamical of Company	- Russia	Gines.				W280	Ratio
NON-RECA	00391	11-Mar-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	600	UGAL		5	120.00		
NON-RECA	00391	24-Sep-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	640	UGA.	 	5	128.00	<u> </u>	
NON-RFCA	00391	11-Mar-98	PU239/40	0.178	PCIAL		0.151	1.18	0.047	3.78
NON-RECA	00391	11-Mar-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	93	UGAL		5	18.60		
NON-RFCA	00391	24-Sep-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	100	UGAL	1	5	20.00	[
NON-RECA	00391	24-Sep-98	THALLIUM	6.1	UGA.	В	2	3.05	4.9	1.24
NON-RFCA	00391	11-Mar-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	π	UGAL		5	15.40		
NON-RFCA	00391	24-Sep-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	79	UGAL.		5	15.80		
NON-RFCA	00391	11-Mar-96	U-234	3.46	PCIA.	<u> </u>	1.07	3.23	80.7	0.06
NON-RECA	00391	11-Mar-96	U-238	1.69	PCIAL		0.768	2.20	41.8	0.04
NON-RECA	01291	19-Feb-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	15	UGAL		5	3.00		
NON-RECA	01291	09-Sep-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	7	UGAL		5	1.40		
NON-RFCA	01291	19-Feb-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	12	UGAL	ļ	5	240		
NON-RECA	01291	09-Sep-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	8	UGAL	<u> </u>	5	1.60		
NON-RECA	01291	19-Feb-98	U-234	11.16	PCIAL	ļ	1.07	10.43	60.7	0.18
NON-RECA	01291	19-Feb-98	U-238	8.816	PCIA.	ļ	0.768	11.48	41.8	0.21
NON-RECA	02591	28-Feb-98	U-234 ·	5.223	PCIAL		1.07	4.88	60.7	0.09
NON-RECA	02591	28-Feb-98	U-238	2.325	PCIAL.	<u> </u>	0.768	3.03	41.8	0.08
NON-RECA NON-RECA	03891	18-Mar-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	280	UGAL	}	5	52.00		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	03691	13-Sep-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	310	UGAL		5	62.00 1.20		
NON-RECA	03691		METHYLENE CHLORIDE	8	UGAL	JB J	5	1.60		
NON-RECA	03691	13-Sep-96 18-Mar-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	230	UGAL	 -	5	46.00		
NON-RECA	03891	13-Mar-95	TETRACHLOROETHENE	320	UG/L	 	5	64.00		
NON-RECA	03691	18-Mar-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	34	UGAL		5	6.80		
NON-RECA	03691	13-Sec-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	38	UGAL		5	7.60		
NON-RECA	03691	18-Mar-96	U-234	2.74	PCIAL	 	1.07	2.58	80.7	0.06
NON-RECA	03691	18-May-06	U-238	2.71	PCM		0.768	3.53	41.8	0.06
NON-RECA	03791	19-Mar-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	500	UGAL		5	100.00		
NON-RECA	03791	12-Sep-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	480	UGAL		5	98.00		······································
NON-RECA	03791	19-Mar-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	7.4	UGL	JB	5	2.80		
NON-RECA	03791	12-Sep-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	37	UGAL		5	7.40		
NON-RECA	03791	19-Mar-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	250	UGAL		5	50.00		
NON-RECA	10492	08-Feb-96	U-234	15.83	PCIAL		1.07	14.79	60.7	0.26
NONRECA	10492	10-Apr-96	U-234	16.81	PCIAL.		1.07	15.71	60.7	0.28
NON-RECA	10492	20-Nov-96	U-234	20.37	PCM.		1.07	19.04	60.7	0.34
NON-RECA	10492	06-Feb-96	U-238	10.85	PCIA.		0.768	14.13	41.8	0.26
NON-RECA	10492	10-Apr-98	U-238	11,84	PCM,		0.768	15.42	41.8	0.28
NON-RECA	10492	20-Nov-96	U-238	14.58	PCIAL.		0.768	18.96	41.8	0.35
NON-RFCA	03791	12-Sep-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	300	UG/L		5	60,00		
NON-RFCA	03791	19-Mar-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	88	UGAL		5	13.20		
NON-RFCA	10991 -	. 01-Mar-95	U-234	2,548	PCIAL		1.07	2.38	60.7	0.04
NON-RFCA	10991	01-Mar-98	U-238	1.285	PCIAL.		0.768	1.67	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	03791	12-Sep-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	62	UGAL		5	12.40	. ·	
NON-RECA	10492	06-Feb-96	SELENIUM	789	UGA.		50	15.78	43.72	18.06
NON-RECA	10492	10-Apr-96	SELENIUM	854	UGAL		50	17.08	43.72	19.53
NON-RECA	10492	28-Aug-96	SELENIUM	791	UGA.	بينا	50	15.82	43.72	18.09
NON-RECA	10492	20-Nov-96	SELENIUM	809	UGAL	E	50	16.18	43.72	18.50
NON-RFCA	10492	20-Nov-96	THALLUM	7.6	UGAL	В	2	3.80	4.9	1.55
NON-RECA	10594	14-Feb-98	SULFATE	631	MGAL		500	1.26	435.598	1.45
NON-RECA	10594	09-Sep-95	SUFATE	933	MGAL	<u> </u>	500	1.87 4.30	435.598 4.9	1.78
NON-RECA	10794	18-Jul-96	THALLIUM	8.6	UGAL	8	2	4.30	4.9	1.76
NON-RECA NON-RECA	10894	24-Oct-96	THALLIUM U-234	8.6 7.209	PCIAL		1.07	4.30 6.74	60.7	0.12
	1187	05-Mar-95	U-238	7,209 4,961	PCIAL		0,768	6.46	41.8	0.12
NON-RECA NON-RECA	10991	01-Mar-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	4.961	UGAL		5	1.20	71.5	
NON-RFCA	12094	01-Mar-96	U-234	8,232	PCIAL		1.07	7.59	60,7	0.14
NON-RECA	12094	01-Mar-95	U-238	5.909	PCIAL		0.788	7.89	41.8	0.14
NON-RECA	10991	13-Sep-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	5	UGAL		5	1.00		
NON-RECA	11691	10-Sep-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	440	UGIL		5	88.00		
NON-RECA	12491	13-Mar-96	U-234	2,515	PCIAL		1.07	2.44	60.7	0.04
NON-RECA	12491	13-Mar-96	U-238	0.7941	PCIA	·	0.768	1.03	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	11691	10-Sep-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	12	UGAL	J	5	2.40		
NON-RFCA	11691	10-Sep-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	490	UGAL		5	98.00		
NON-RECA	11691	10-Sep-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	88	UGAL		5	17.60		
NON-RECA	1187	10-Sep-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	370	UGAL		5	74.93		
NON-RECA	1487	06-Mar-98	U-234	5.749	PCIAL		1.07	5.37	60.7	0.09
NON-RECA	1487	30-Jul-96	U-234	4.563	PCIAL		1.07	4.26	60.7	0.08
NON-RECA	1487	08-Mar-98	U-238	3,719	PCM.		0.768	4.84	41.8	0.09
NON-RECA	1487	30-Jul-96	U-238	2,972	PCIAL		0.768	3.87	41.8	0.07
NON-RECA	1187	10-Sep-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	65	UGAL	J	5	13.00		
										

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Chemical of Concern	Result	Units:	œ	Tier 2 AL	Tier is Ratio	Background M280	Background Ratio
NON-RECA	1187	10-Sep-96	NICKEL	404	UGAL		100	4.04	21.37	18.91
NON-RFCA	1187	10-Sep-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	59	UGAL	J	5	11.80		
NON-RECA	1187	10-Sec-96	THALLIUM	6.8	UGAL	В	2	3.40	4.9	1.39
NON-RECA	1586	10-Jan-96	U-234	20.29	PCIA		1.07	18.98	60.7	0.33
NON-RECA	1586	24-Apr-96	U-234	28,19	PCIA		1.07	24.48	60.7	0.43
NON-RECA	1586	08-Nov-96	U-234	20.81	PCIL		1.07	19.45	60.7	0.34
NON-RECA	1586	10-Jan-96	U-235	1.108	PCIL	1	1.01	1.10	1.79	0.62
NON-RFCA	1586	10-Jan-96	U-238	17.08	PCIA.		0.768	22.24	41.8	0.41
NON-RFCA	1586	24-Apr-98	U-238	21.67	PCIA,		0.768	28.22	41.8	0.52
NON-RFCA	1586	08-Nov-96	U-238	16.11	PCIAL	·	0.768	20.98	41.8	0.39
NON-RECA	1187	10-Sep-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	4400	UGAL		5	880.00		
NON-RECA	12094	16-Sep-96	THALLIUM	7.2	UGAL	В	2	3.60	4.9	1.47
NON-RECA	12491	13-Mar-96	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	5	UGAL		5	1.00		
NON-RECA	12491	18-Sep-96	THALLIUM	5.9	UG/L	В	2	2.95	4.9	1.20
NON-RECA	2586	15-May-96	U-234	2.854	PCIA.		1.07	2.87	60.7	0.06
NON-RECA	2586	10-Dec-96	U-234	2411	PCI/L		1.07	2.25	60.7	0.04
NON-RECA	2586	15-May-96	U-238	0.8456	PCIA		0.768	1.10	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	1487	30-Jul-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	460	UGAL		5	92.00		
NON-RECA	31791	12-Apr-98	U-234	18.61	PCIL		1.07	17.39	60.7	0.31
NON-RECA	31791	22-Oct-96	U-234	33.9	PCIL	I	1.07	31.68	60.7	0.56
NON-RECA	31791	22-Oct-96	U-235	1.052	PCIA.	Ī	1.01	1.04	1.79	0.59
NON-RECA	31791	12-Apr-98	U-238	11.74	PCIL		0.768	15.29	41.8	0.28
NON-RECA	31791	22-Oct-98	U-238	24.59	PCIAL.		0.768	32.02	41.5	0.59
NON-RECA	1487	30-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	8	UGAL	J	5	1.60		
NON-RECA	53194	17-Apr-96	U-234	10.7	PCIA.	1	1.07	10.00	60.7	0.18
NON-RECA	53194	12-Nov-98	U-234	12.13	PCI/L		1.07	11.34	60.7	0.20
NON-RECA	53194	17-Apr-98	U-238	6,698	PCIA	1	0.768	8.72	41.8	0.16
NON-RECA	53194	12-Nov-96	U-238	9.593	PCM	_	0.768	12.49	41.8	0.23
NON-RECA	59393	08-Nov-98	U-234	7.112	PCIA	†	1.07	6.65	60.7	0.12
NON-RECA	59393	06-Nov-96	U-238	6.032	PCIA		0.788	7.85	41.8	0.14
NON-RECA	1487	30-14-96	THALLIUM	6	UGAL	8"	2	3.00	4.9	1.22
NON-RECA	1487	30-Jul-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	190	UGAL		5	38.00		
NON-RECA	1586	10-Jan-98	NITRATENITRITE	52.5	MGA	 	10	5.25	4.664	11.26
NON-RECA	1586	24-Apr-98	NITRATENITRITE	48.3	MGAL		10	4.63	4.664	9.93
NON-RECA	1586	08-Nov-96	NITRATENITRITE	65.5	MGA		10	6.56	4.684	14.04
NON-RECA	76292	11-Mar-96	U-234	1,887	PCIA	·	1.07	1.76	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	76292	22-May-96	U-234	1.576	PCIAL	 	1.07	1.47	60.7	0.03
NONRECA	78292	19-Aug-96	U-234	1,611	PCIA		1.07	1.51	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	76292	20-Dec-96	U-234	1.523	PCIA	 	1.07	1.42	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	76292	11-Mar-96	U-238	1.424	PCIA	 	0.768	1.85	41.8	0.03
NONRECA	76292	22-May-98	U-238	1.46	PCIL	·	0.768	1.90	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	76292	19-Aug-96	U-238	1,118	PCIA	 	0.768	1.46	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	78292	20-Dec-96	U-238	1.761	PCIA	 	0.768	2.29	41.8	0.04
NON-RECA	1586	08-Nov-98	THALLICM	7.9	UGAL	В В	2	3.95	4.9	1.61
NONRECA	B202589	09-May-96	U-234	1,432	PCIA	 	1.07	1.34	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	B202589	28-Oct-96	U-234	1.08	PCIA		1.07	1.01	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	B202589	09-May-96	U-238	1.259	PCIA	 	0.788	1.64	41,8	0.03
NON-RECA	B202589	28-Oct-96	U-238	0.985	PCIAL	 	0.768	1.28	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	B208189	22-Jan-96	U-234	11.56	PCIA	†	1.07	10.80	60.7	0.19
NON-RECA	B208189	23-Apr-96	U-234	7.286	PCIA	t	1.07	6.81	60.7	0.12
NON-RECA	B208189	20-Nov-96	U-234	12.18	PCIA	 	1.07	11.38	60.7	0.20
NON-RECA	B206189	22-Nov-96	U-238	6.098	PCIA	 	0.768	7.94	41.8	0.15
NON-RECA	B208189	23-Apr-96	U-238	4.985	PCIA	 	0.768	6.49	41.8	0.12
NON-RECA	B206189	20-Nov-98	U-238	8.207	PCIL	†	0.768	10.69	41.8	0.20
NON-RECA	2586	10-Dec-98	CADMIUM	5	UGAL	t	5	1.00	4.25	1.18
NON-RECA	2586	15-May-96	SUFATE	1100	MGA	†	500	2.20	435.598	2.53
NON-RECA	2586	10-Dec-96	SUFATE	1080	MGAL	1	500	2.16	435.598	2.48
NON-RECA	2586	10-Dec-96	THALLIUM	7.1	UGAL	В	2	3.55	4.9	1.45
NON-RECA	2686	05-Mar-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	60.1	MGAL	·}	10	6.01	4.664	12.89
NON-RECA	B208589	18-Apr-96	U-234	29.58	PCIA	t	1.07	27.84	60.7	0.49
NON-RECA	B208589	30-Oct-96	U-234	33.79	PCIA	t	1.07	31.58	60.7	0.56
NON-RECA	B208589	18-Apr-96	U-238	22.35	PCIAL	 	0.758	29.10	41.8	0.53
		30-Oct-96	U-238	28	PCIA	 	0.768	36.46	41.8	0.67
NON-RECA	B208589			47.8	MGAL	 	10	4.78	4.664	10.25
NON-RECA	2686	14-May-96 23-Jul-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	48.7	MG/L	·	10	4.87	4.664	10.44
NON-RECA	2686		SELENIUM	65.9	UGAL	t N	50	1.32	43.72	1.51
NON-RECA	2686	14-May-96	~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		UGAL	+	5	1.00	1	1
NON-RECA	2686	23-Jul-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	5	UGAL	B	2	4.95	4.9	2.02
NON-RECA	31791	22-Oct-96	THALLIUM	9.9	UGAL	B	2	2.95	4.9	1.20
NON-RECA	53194	12-Nov-96	THALLUM	5.9	UGAL		2	2.80	4.9	1,14
NON-RECA	5688	28-Oct-96	THALLIM	5.8				3.05	4.9	1.24
NON-RECA	70693	10-Sep-96	THALLIUM	6.1	UGAL	B	1 2	3.00	7.7	

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

						Summercus				
			Chemical of Concern	Result.	Units	٠.	Time 2 AL	The Estate	Background	Background
Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Citation of Contours						N285	Ratio
NON-RECA	75292	22-Apr-98	SUFATE	511	MGAL		500	1.02	435.598	1.17
NON-RECA	B208689	22-Jan-96	U-235	1.192	PCIAL		1.01	1.18	1.79	0.67
NON-RECA	75292	24-Oct-96	THALLIUM	11.1	UGAL		2	5.55	4.9	2.27
VON-RFCA	76292	11-Mar-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	24.9	MGAL		10	2.49	4.664	5.34
NON-RECA	76292	22-May-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	24.4	MGAL		10	2.44	4.664	5.23
NON-RECA	76292	19-Aug-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	23.8	MGAL		10	2.38	4.664	5.10
NON-RECA	76292	20-Dec-96	NITRATENITRITE	22.1	MGAL		10	2.21	4.664	4.74
NON-RECA	8202589	28-Oct-98	THALLIUM	5.1	UGAL	В	2	2.55	4.9	1.04
NON-RECA	B206589	18-Apr-96	NITRATE/NITRITE	245	MGA.	<u> </u>	10	24.50	4.664	52. 53
NON-RECA	B210389	23-Jan-98	U-234	55.65	PCIAL	1	1.07	52.01	60.7	0.57
NON-RFCA	B210389	23-Jan-98	U-235	1.014	PCIAL	ļ	1.01	1.00	1.79	0.73
NON-RECA	8210389	23-Jan-96	U-238	30.61	PCIAL	ļ	0.768	39.86	41.8 60.7	0.06
NON-RFCA	P207389	05-Mar-96	U-234	3.124	PCIAL	ļ	1.07	2.92	60.7	0.08
NON-RFCA	P207389	21-May-96	U-234	3.645	PCIAL	ļ	1.07	3.41 2.35	60.7	0.04
NON-RFCA	P207389	22-Aug-96	U-234	2.517	PCM.	ļ	1.07	3.14	60.7	0.08
NON-RFCA	P207389	10-Dec-96	U-234	3.350	PCIAL	-	1.07	2.96	41.8	0.06
NON-RECA	P207389	05 Mar-98	U-238 ·	2.265	PCIAL.	 	0.768	3.41	41.8	0.08
NON-RECA	P207389	21-May-96	U-238	2.619	PCIAL	-	0.768	211	41.8	0.04
NON-RFCA	P207389	22-Aug-98	[U-238	1.621	PCIAL	-	0.768	2.70	41.8	0.05
NON-RECA	P207389	10-Dec-98	U-238	373	MGAL	 	10	37.30	4.884	79.97
NON-RFCA	B208589	30-Oct-96	NITRATENITRITE	302	UGAL	N	50	8.04	43.72	6.91
NON-RFCA	B206589	18-Apr-96	SELENIUM	28.78	PCIAL	 '``	1.07	26.90	60.7	0.47
NON-RFCA	P207969	19-Feb-96	U-234 IU-234	33.29	PCIAL	·	1.07	31.11	80.7	0.55
NON-RFCA	P207969	08-May-96	U-234	32.25	PCM	 	1.07	30.15	60.7	0.53
NON-RECA	P207989	30-Jul-96	U-234	32.88	PCIAL	 	1.07	30.73	60.7	0.54
NON-RFCA	P207989	05-Dec-96	U-235	1.211	PCIAL	1	1.01	1.20	1.79	0.68
NON-RECA	P207989	08-May-98 19-Feb-96	U-238	21.62	PCIAL	1-	0.768	28.15	41.8	0.52
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P207989 P207989	06-May-96	U-238	22.47	PCIAL	1	0.768	29.28	41.8	0.54
NON-RECA	P207989	30-Jul-96	U-238	24.4	PCIAL.	1	0.768	31.77	41.8	0.58
NON-RECA	P207989	05-Dec-98	U-238	24.53	PCIAL	- 	0.768	31.94	41.8	0.59
NON-RECA	8208689	30-Oct-96	SELENIUM	99.4	UGL		50	1.99	43.72	2.27
NON-RECA	B208589	30-Oct-96	THALLIUM	5.2	UGAL	8	2	2.60	4.9	1.08
NON-RECA	B208689	09-Apr-96	LITHUM	825	UGAL		0.73	1.13	142.6	5.79
NON-RECA	B208889	21-Nov-96	LITHUM	1030	UGIL		0.73	1.41	142.6	7.22
NONRECA	B206689	22-Jan-96	SUFATE	2320	MGL		500	4.64	435.598	5.33
NON-RFCA	B208689	21-Nov-96	SULFATE	2300	MGAL		500	4.60	435.598	5.28
NON-RECA	B208689	21-Nov-95	THALLIUM	8.9	UGIL	В	2	4.46	4.9	1.82
NON-RECA	B208689	22-Jan-98	U-234	63.5	PCM.		1.07	59.34	60.7	1.05
NON-RECA	B208689	09-Apr-96	U-234	68.6	PCIA.		1.07	64.11	60.7	1,13
NON-RECA	B208689	23-Jul-96	U-234	85.6	PCUL		1.07	80.04	80.7	1.09
NON-RECA	8208689	21-Nov-98	U-234	66.0	PCIA.		1.07	61.64	60.7	1.09
NON-RECA	B206689	09-Apr-96	U-235	1.95	PCIAL		1.01	1.93	1.79	1.20
NON-RECA	B206689	23-Jul-98	U-235	2.15	PCIAL		1.01	213	1.79	1.08
NON-RECA	6206689	21-Nov-96	U-236	1.94	PCIAL		1.01	1.92	1.79 41.8	1.01
NON-RECA	B208689	22-Jan-98	U-238	42.3	PCIA	-	0.768	55.47	41.8	1.02
NON-RFCA	8206689	09-Apr-98	U-238	428	PCIAL		0.768	74.73	41.8	1.37
NONRECA	B208689	23-14-98	U-238	57.4	PCIAL	-	0.768	56.13	41.8	1.03
NON-RECA	B208689	21-Nov-98	U-238	43.1	PCVL		10	42.40	4.664	90.91
NON-RFCA	B210489	24 May-08	NITRATENITRITE	424	MGAL		10	33.90	4.664	72.68
NON-RECA	B210489	24-14-06	NITRATENITRITE	339	MGAL	+	10	46.80	4,664	100.34
NON-RFCA	B210489	22-Nov-96	NITRATENITRITE	468 322	UGAL	+	50	6.44	43.72	7.37
NON-RFCA	B210489	19-Jan-96	SELENIUM	233	UGAL		50	4.66	43.72	5.33
NON-RFCA	B210489	24-Jul-96	SELENIUM	285	UGAL	E	50	5.30	43.72	6.06
NON-RECA	B210489	22-Nov-98	SELENIUM	7.4	UGAL	- B	2	3.70	4.9	1.51
NON-RECA	B210489	24-Jul-98 22-Nov-98	THALLIUM	5	UGAL	8	2	2.50	4.9	1.02
NON-RFCA	8210489	24-Apr-98	U-234	3,224	PCIL	1	1.07	3.01	60.7	0.05
NON-RFCA	P210089	22-Oct-98	U-234	4.088	PCIAL		1.07	3.82	60.7	0.07
NON-RECA	P210089	24-Apr-98	U-238	2.159	PCVL	-	0.768	2.81	41.8	0.06
NON-RECA	P210089	22-Oct-96	U-238	2.468	PCIA.		0.768	3.21	41.8	0.06
NON-RECA	P114589	13-Aug-96	THALLIUM	92	UGA.	В	2	4.60	4.9	1.88
NON-RECA	P114689	15-May-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	420	UGAL		0.007	80.00		
NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	340	UGAL	1	0.007	48.57		
NON-RECA	P114689	25-Nov-96	1.1-DICHLOROETHENE	260	UGAL		0.007	37.14		
NON-RECA	P114689	25-Nov-96	1.2.4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	79	UGAL	1	0.07	1.13		
		15-May-98		780	UGAL		5	156.00		
	(P114689							1 404 00		
NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	620	UGAL		5	124,00		
	P114689 P114689 P114689			620 670	UGAL		5	134.00		0.04
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-98	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE						60.7	0.04

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

Mad Class	Location	Sample Date	Chemical of Concern	Result	Linte	a	Tier 2 AL	Tier II Ratio	Background	Background
Well Glass	Location	Selable nele	Change of Contras	Nesca	Cinco		34110		M290	Retio
NON-RECA	05293	10-Dec-96	U-234	2.969	PCIA.		1.07	2.77	60.7	0.05
NON-RECA	05293	10-Dec-96	U-238	22	PCIAL		0.768	2.86	41.8	0.05
NON-RFCA	06291	13-Feb-96 ·	U-234	17.93	PCIL		1.07	16.76	60.7	0.30
NON-RFCA	06291	13-Feb-96	U-238	7.614	PCIL		0.768	9.91	41.8	0.18
NON-RECA	P114689	15-May-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	16	UGAL	J	5	3.20		······································
NON-RECA	P114689	15-May-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	120	UGAL		5	24.00		
NON-RFCA	10594	14-Feb-96	U-234	32.38	PCIAL		1.07	30.26	60.7	0.53
NON-RFCA	10594	14-Feb-96	U-235	1.16	PCIL		1.01	1.15	1.79	0.65
NON-RFCA	10594	14-Feb-96	U-238	24.34	PCIAL		0.768	31.69	41.8	0.58
NON-RFCA	10894	14-Feb-96	U-234	8.799	PCIL		1.07	8.22	60.7	0.14
NON-RFCA	10694	14-Feb-96	U-238	5.715	PCIL		0.768	7.44	41.8	0.14
NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	96	UGAL	 	5	19.20	60.7	0.04
NON-RFCA	10794	14-Feb-96	U-234	2.335	PCIAL		1.07	2.18	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	10794	18-Jul-98	U-234	1,175	PCIAL	ļ	1.07 0.768	1.10 3.57	41.8	0.07
NON-RECA	10794	14-Feb-96	U-238	2.738	PCIAL	ļ	0.768	1.32	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	10794	18-3-3-96	U-238	1.016	UGAL		5	16.60	41.5	
NON-RFCA	P114689	25-Nov-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE U-234	1.196	PCIAL		1.07	1.12	60.7	0.02
NON-RFCA	10894	11-Jun-96		2.08	PCIAL	 	1.07	1.94	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	10894	24-Oct-96	U-234 U-238	1.151	PCIL	t	0.768	1.50	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	10894	11-Jun-96 24-Oct-96	U-238	2.179	PCIAL	f	0.768	2.84	41.8	0.05
NON-RECA NON-RECA	10894	29-Feb-98	U-234	2.561	PCIAL	 	1.07	239	60.7	0.04
NON-RECA	13391	29-Feb-96	U-238	1.058	PCIAL	t	0.768	1.38	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-98	THALLIUM	7.4	UGAL	8	2	3.70	4.9	1.51
NON-RECA	P114689	25-Nov-96	THALLIUM	8.6	UGAL	-	2	4.30	4.9	1.76
NON-RECA	P114689	15-May-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	47	UGAL	†	5	9.40		
NON-RECA	P114689	19-14-96	TRICHLORGETHENE	40	UGAL	1	5	8.00		
NON-RECA	P114689	25-Nov-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	27	UGAL	†	5.	5.40		
NONERECA	2686	14-May-96	U-234	30.22	PCIAL	1	1.07	28.24	60.7	0.50
NON-RECA	2686	23-14-98	U-234	40.67	PCIAL	İ	1.07	38.01	60.7	0.67
NON-RECA	2686	23-Jul-96	U-235	1.15	PCIAL	İ	1.01	1.14	1.79	0.64
NON-RECA	2686	14-May-96	U-238	20.55	PCIAL	1	0.768	26.78	41.8	0.49
NON-RECA	2686	23-Jul-96	U-238	30.02	PCIA		0.768	39.00	41.8	0.72
NON-RECA	37791	10-Apr-96	U-234	12.8	PCIA.	1	1.07	11.98	60.7	0.21
NON-RFCA	37791	30-Oct-96	U-234	17.18	PCIL		1.07	16.06	60.7	0.28
NON-RFCA	37791	10-Apr-96	U-238	11.28	PCIAL		0.768	14.69	41.8	0.27
NON-RECA	37791	30-Oct-96	U-238	13.02	PCIAL		0.768	16.95	41.8	0.31
NON-RECA	3986	07-Nov-98	THALLIUM	4.4	UGAL	В	2	2.20	4.9	0.90
NON-RECA	3986	14-May-96	U-234	2.808	PCIAL		1.07	2.62	60.7	0.06
NON-RFCA	3986	07-Nov-96	U-234	3.038	PCIL		1.07	2.84	60.7	0.05
NON-RFCA	3986	14-May-98	U-238	1.775	PCIAL	1	0.768	2.31	41.8	0.04
NON-RECA	3986	07-Nov-98	U-238	2.35	PCIAL		0.768	3.06	41.8	0.08
NON-RECA	41091	07-May-98	U-234	4.923	PCIL		1.07	4.60	60.7	0.08
NON-RECA	41091	07-May-96	U-238	3.058	PCIAL	ļ	0.788	3.98	41.8	0.07
NON-RECA	P114789	20-Feb-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	69	UGAL	ļ	5	13.80		0.02
NON-RFCA	6886	30-Oct-98	U-234	1.513	PCIAL	ļ	1.07	1.41	60.7	0.02
NON-RFCA	6886	30-Oct-96	U-238	1.149	PCIAL	.	0.768	1.50	41.8	0.03
NON-RFCA	P114789	24-May-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	70	UGAL	·	5	14.00	ļ	
NON-RFCA	P114789	17-Jul-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	47	UGAL	 	1 07	9.40	60.7	0.30
NON-RFCA	75292	22-Apr-98	U-234	18,5	PCIA	 	1.07	14.58	60.7	0.26
NON-RECA	75292	24-Oct-96	U-234	15.6	PCIAL	+	0.768	16.59	41.8	0.30
NON-RFCA	75292	22-Apr-98	U-238	12.74	PCIAL	 	0.768	14.02	41.8	0.28
NON-RFCA	75292	24-Oct-96	U-238	34	UGAL	ł	5	6.80	71.0	<u> </u>
NON-RFCA	P114789	21-Nov-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE THALLIUM	7.6	UGAL	l a	2	3.80	4.9	1.55
NON-RFCA	P114789	17-Jul-96 29-May-96	CIS-1.2-DICHLOROETHENE	310	UGAL	+	70	4.43		
NON-RECA	P114889		CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	320	UGAL	1-	70	4.57	1	
NON-RECA	P114889	13-Aug-96 20-Nov-96	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	360	UGAL	1	70	5.14	1	
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P114889	29-May-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	9	UGAL	JB	5	1.80	1	
NON-RECA	P114889	29-May-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	260	UGAL	1	5	52.00		I
NON-RECA	P114889	13-Aug-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	220	UGAL	1	5	44.00	T	I
NON-RECA	B210489	19-Jan-96	U-234	27.99	PCIA	1	1.07	26.16	60.7	0.46
NON-RECA	B210489	24-May-98	U-234	25.6	PCIAL	1	1.07	23.93	60.7	0.42
NON-RECA	B210489	24-Jul-96	U-234	25.54	PCIL	1	1.07	23.87	60.7	0.42
NON-RECA	8210489	22-Nov-98	U-234	29.33	PCVL	1	1.07	27.41	60.7	0.48
NON-RECA	B210489	19-Jan-96	U-238	21.83	PCI/L	1	0,768	28.42	41.8	0.52
NON-RFCA	B210489	24-May-98	U-238	19.52	PCIL	1	0.768	25.42	41.8	0.47
NONRECA	B210489	24-Jul-98	U-238	18.17	PCI/L	1	0.768	23.66	41.8	0.43
NON-RFCA	B210489	22-Nov-98	U-238	23.16	PCIAL	1	0.768	30.16	41.8	0.55
NON-RFCA	P114889	20-Nov-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	240	UGAL	Ī	5	48.00		
		29-May-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	170	UGAL	-1	5	34.00	1	1

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

260.00	- A.	100				100				
Well Class	Locations		Chemical of Concern :	Result	Units	Q.	The 2 AL	Tier i Rado	Background N280	Background Ratio
Philippine .	Section 1991	area and the	and Manager of							
NON-RECA	P114889	13-Aug-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	160	UGAL		5	32.00		<u> </u>
NON-RECA	P114889	20-Nov-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	170	UGAL		5	34.00	4.664	
NON-RECA	P115489	18-Apr-96	NITRATENITRITE	10.2	MG/L		10	1.02	4.664	2.19
NON-RFCA	P114689	25-Nov-96	ANTIMONY	18.6	UGAL	В	0.008	3.10	39.54	0.47
NON-RFCA	P115489	16-Dec-96	THALLIUM	5.9	UGAL	В	22	2.95	4.9	1.20
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Feb-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	910	UGAL		7	130.00		
NON-RFCA	P115589	15-Aug-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	850	UG/L		7	121.43		
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	830	UGAL		<u>7</u> 5	118.57 11.40		
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Feb-96	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	57	UG/L		5	9.40		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115589	15-Aug-98	12-DICHLOROETHANE	47 51	UGAL		5	10.20		
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-96		280	UGAL		70	4.00		
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Feb-98	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	290	UGAL		70	4.00		
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Aug-96	CIS-12-DICHLOROETHENE	300	UGAL		70	4.29		
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-98	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	12	UGAL		5	2.40		
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	430	UGAL		5	86.00		
NON-RECA		15-Feb-98		1,374	PCIAL		1.07	1.28	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	P114689	11-Jan-96	U-234 .	1.817	PCIAL		1.07	1.70	60.7	0.03
***************************************	P114889	15-May-96	U-234	1.288	PCIAL		1.07	1.20	60.7	0.02
NON-RFCA NON-RFCA	P114689	25-Nov-96 15-May-96	U-234 U-238	1,106	PCIAL		0.768	1.44	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA NON-RECA			U-238	1,108	PCIAL		0.768	1.33	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P114689	19-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	410	UGAL		5	82.00	71.9	V.UE
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Aug-96 10-Dec-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	400	UG/L		5	80.00		ļ
NON-RECA						В	2	3.10	4.9	1.27
NON-RECA NON-RECA	PI15589	10-Dec-98	THALLUM	6.2 120	UGAL		5	24.00	7.7	
		15-Feb-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	110	UG/L		5	22.00		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115589	15-Aug-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	4.3	UGAL	8	2	2.15	4.9	0.88
	P114789	21-Nov-98	THALLIUM	1.371	PCIAL	-	1.07	1.28	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P114789	20-Feb-96 21-Nov-96	U-234	1.296	PCIAL		1.07	1.18	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA			U-234	0.8039	PCIAL		0.768	1.05	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	P114789 P114789	24-May-98	U-238	0.7889	PCIAL		0.768	1.02	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	P115589	21-Nov-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	110	UGAL	-	5	22.00	71.0	0.02
NON-RECA		10-Dec-98		82	UGAL		7	11.71		
	P115689	24-Jan-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE		UGAL		7	8.29		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115689	18-Jul-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	58	UGAL		7	10.43		
	P115689	22-Nov-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	73 200	UGAL		70	2.86		
NON-RFCA		24-Jan-96	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	200	UGAL		70	2.86		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115689	18-Jul-96 22-Nov-98	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	220	UGAL		70	3.14		
				207	UGAL		183	1.13	162.33	1.28
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115689	18-Jul-98	MANGANESE	229	UGAL		183	1.25	162.33	1.41
NON-RECA	P115689 P115689	22-Nov-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	17	UGAL		5	3.40	1000	
NON-RECA		24-Jan-95 18-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	17	UGAL		5	3.40		
	P115689			16	UG/L		5	3.20		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115689 P115689	22-Nov-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	72	UGAL	В	2	3.60	4.9	1,47
NON-RECA	P115689	18-Jul-96 22-Nov-96	THALLIUM	8	UGAL	В	2	4.00	4.9	1,63
				58	UGAL		5	11.20		
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P115689	24-Jan-95 18-Jul-96	TRICHLOROETHENE TRICHLOROETHENE	56	UGAL		5	11.20		
NON-RECA	P115689	22-Nov-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	60	UGAL		5	12.00		
NON-RECA	P115689	24-jan-95	VIMIL CHLORIDE	86	UGAL		2	33.00		
NON-RECA	P115689	18-Jul-96	VINTL CHLORIDE	75	UGAL		2	37.50		
NON-RECA	P115689	22-Nov-98	VINYL CHLORIDE	97	UGAL		2	48.50		
NON-RECA	P207689	16-May-96	NITRATENITRITE	85.7	MGAL		10	8.57	4.664	18.37
NON-RECA	P207689	08-Aug-98	NITRATENITRITE	21.7	MG/L		10	2.17	4.664	4.65
NON-RECA	P207689	19-Dec-98	NITRATENITRITE	13.1	MGAL		10	1.31	4.684	2.81
NONRECA	P207889	16-May-96	SELENIUM	166	UGAL	N	50	3.32	43.72	3.80
NON-RECA	P207689	16-May-96	SUFATE	521	MGAL		500	1.04	435.598	1.20
NON-RFCA	P207689	08-Aug-98	THALLIUM	6.2	UGAL	В	2	3.10	4.9	1.27
NON-RECA	P207889	24-Jul-98	NITRATEMITRITE	12.1	MG/L		10	1.21	4.664	2.59
NON-RECA	P207889	21-May-98	SUFATE	705	MG/L		500	1,41	435.598	1.62
NON-RECA	P207989	06-May-96	SELENIUM	52.8	UGAL	N	50	1.06	43.72	1.21
NON-RECA	P1 15589	15-Feb-96	U-234	4.994	PCIAL		1.07	4.67	60.7	0.08
NON-RECA	P115589	17-Jun-96	U-234	4.878	PCIA		1.07	4.37	60.7	0.08
NON-RECA	P115589	17-Jun-96	U-234	4.702	PCIAL		1.07	4.39	60.7	0.08
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-98	U-234	5.33	PCIAL		1.07	4.98	60.7	0.09
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Feb-96	U-238	1.283	PCIAL		0.768	1.67	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	P115589	17-Jun-96	U-238	1.7	PCIAL		0.768	2.21	41.8	0.04
NON-RECA	P115589	15-Aug-96	U-238	1.359	PCIAL		0.768	1.77	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	P115589	10-Dec-98	U-238	1,414	PCIAL		0.768	1.84	41.8	0.03
NON-RECA	P207989	05-Dec-96	THALLIUM	6	UG/L	В	2	3.00	4.9	1.22
NON-RECA	P209689	08-May-96	NITRATEAUTRITE	4740	MGAL		10	474.00	4.684	1016.30
NON-RECA	P209589	20-Aug-98	NITRATENITRITE	2820	MGAL		10	282.00	4.864	561.75
	. 240000		Transaction of the Contraction o				· · · ·			

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Chamical of Concern	Result	Units».	đ	Tier 2 AL	Tier it Ratio	Background	Background
THE CHARGE	LOCATION.	Semple Vell	Chambre of Concern	(veeds)	Qual-				M290	Ratio
ION-RFCA	P209589	11-Mar-96	RA-228	20.3	PCI/L		20	1.01	3.16	6.41
ION-RFCA	P209589	08-May-96	RA-228	22.9	PCI/L		20	.1.15	3.16	7.25
ION-RFCA	P209589	20-Aug-98	RA-228	20.2	PCI/L		20	1.01	3.16	6.39
KONRFCA	P209589	10-Dec-98	RA-228	25.6	PCI/L		20	1.28	3.16	8.09
ION-RECA	P209589	20-Aug-96	TRITIUM	10600	PCIAL		666	15.92	612.98	17.29
NON-RFCA	P209589	10-Dec-96	TRITIUM	11000	PCIAL		666	16.52	612.98	17.95
NON-RECA	P209689	11-Mar-98	U-234	168.7	PCI/L		1.07	157.66	60.7	2.78
VON-RFCA	P209589	08-May-96	U-234	189.1	PCI/L		1,07	176.73	60.7	3.12
NON-RECA	P209589	20-Aug-98	U-234	215.4	PCIAL		1.07	201.31	60.7	3.55
VON-RECA	P209589	10-Dec-96	U-234	220.9	PCIAL		1.07	208.45	60.7	3.64
VONRFCA	P209589	11-Mar-98	U-235	5.33	PCIAL		1.01	5.27	1.79	2.98
NON-RECA	P209589	08-May-98	U-235	7.90	PCIAL		1.01	7.82	1.79	4.41
NON-RECA	P209589	20-Aug-98	U-235	5.44	PCIAL		1.01	5.39	1.79	3.04
NON-RECA	P115689	24-Jen-98	U-234	2.14	PCIAL		1.07	2.00	60.7 60.7	0.04
	P115689	17-Jun-98	U-234	2.08	PCIAL		1.07	1.95		0.03
ONRECA	P115689	18-Jul-96	U-234	2.25	PCIAL		1.07	2.10	60.7	0.04
ONRECA	P115689	22-Nov-98	U-234 ·	2.45	PCIAL		1.07	2.29	60.7	0.04
ON-RECA	P115689	24-Jan-98	U-238	1.43	PCI/L		0.768	1.87	41.8	0.03
ON-RFCA	P115689	18-Jul-96	U-238	1.52	PCIA	ļ	0.768	1.98	41.8	0.04
ION-RECA	P115689	22-Nov-98	U-238	1.22	PCIAL		0.768	1.58	41.8	0.03
ONRECA	P209589	10-Dec-96	U-235	6.50	PCIA	-	1.01	6.43 94.47	1.79 41.8	3.63
ION-RECA	P209589	11-Mar-96	U-238	72.6	PCI/L		0.768	94.47 110.96	41.8	1.74
ONRECA	P209589	08-May-98	U-238	85.2	PCI/L		0.768	121.33	41,8	2.23
VONRECA	P209589	20-Aug-98	U-238	93.2	PCIL		0.768 0.768	121.33 122.47	41.8	2.25
NON-RECA	P209589	10-Dec-98	U-238	94.1				5.68	4,684	12.18
NON-RECA	P209689	20-Aug-98	NITRATENITRITE	56.8	MG/L		10	14.00	4.684	30.02
NON-RFCA	P209789	21-Feb-96	NITRATENITRITE	140	MGAL		10	13.60	4.664	29.16
VON-RFCA	P209789	0 0 May 96	NITRATEAUTRITE	138	MGAL		10	9.21	4.684	19.75
ONRECA	P209789	20-Aug-98	NITRATENITRITE	92.1	MGAL	В	2	2.30	4.9	0.94
NON-RFCA	P207689	19-Dec-98	THALLUM	4.8	UGAL	-	1.07	8.85	60.7	0.16
ONRECA	P207689	05-Mar-98	U-234	9.474	PCIAL			29.29	60.7	0.52
NONRECA	P207689	18-May-98	U-234	31.34	PCIAL		1.07	9.89	60.7	0.17
NON-RECA	P207689	08-Aug-96	U-234	10.58	PCIAL		1,07	19.49	60.7	0.17
NON-RECA	P207689	19-Dec-96	U-234	20.85	PCIAL		1.07 0.768	9.03	41.8	0.17
NON-RFCA	P207689	05-Mar-96	U-238	6.937	PCIAL			35.31	41.8	0.65
NON-RFCA	P207689	16-May-96	U-238	27.12	PCIAL		0.768	10.12	41.8	0.19
NON-RFCA	P207689	06-Aug-96	U-238	7.775	PCIAL		0.768 0.768	20.56	41.8	0.38
NON-RECA	P207689	19-Dec-98	U-238	15.79	PCIL			18.60	4.664	39.88
NON-RECA	P209789	20-Dec-96	NITRATENITRITE	186	MGAL PCI/L		10 666	2.58	612.98	2.80
NON-RFCA	P209789	21-Feb-98	TRITIUM	1715	PCIA		1.07	13.33	60.7	0.23
NON-RECA	P207889	21-May-96	U-234	14.28	PCIAL		0.768	16.35	41.8	0.30
NON-RECA	P207889	21-May-96	U-238	12.56 2089	PCIAL		668	3.14	612.98	3.41
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P209789 P209789	09-May-98	TRITIUM	977	PCIL		686	1.47	612.98	1,59
		20-Aug-96		2300	PCIL		688	3.45	612.98	3.75
NON-RECA	P209789	20-Dec-96	TRITIUM		PCIL		1.07	60.50	60.7	1.07
NON-RFCA	P209789	20-Dec-96	U-234 U-235	1.83	PCIAL	 	1.01	1.81	1.79	1.02
NON-RECA NON-RECA	P209789	20-Dec-96	NITRATEMITRITE	1.83	MG/L	 	10	18.50	4.664	39.67
NON-RECA	P210089	24-Apr-96	NITRATENITRITE	195	MGAL	 	10	19.50	4.664	41.81
NON-RECA	P210089	22-Oct-98 24-Apr-98	SELENUM	1510	UG/L	N	50	30.20	43.72	34.54
NON-RECA	P210089	24-Apr-96 21-Feb-96	U-234	24.93	PCIA		1.07	23.30	60.7	0.41
NON-RECA	P209789		U-234	48.78	PCIAL	 	1.07	45.50	60.7	0.80
NON-RECA		20-Aug-98	U-234	32.87	PCIAL	 	1.07	30.72	60.7	0.54
NON-RECA	P209789 P210089	24-Apr-96	SUFATE	743	MGAL	 	500	1,49	435,598	1.71
NON-RECA	P210089		U-235	1.329	PCIAL	 	1.01	1.32	1.79	0.74
NON-RECA	P209789	09-May-96 20-Aug-96	U-235	1.329	PCIAL		1.01	1.23	1.79	0.69
NON-RECA	P210089	22-Oct-96	SUFATE	760	MGAL	 	500	1.52	435.598	1.74
NON-RECA	P210089 P209789	21-Feb-96	U-238	12.74	PCIL	 	0.768	16.59	41.8	0.30
VON-RECA	P209789	09-May-98	U-238	19.85	PCIAL		0.768	25.85	41.8	0.47
VON-RECA	P209789	20-Aug-98	U-238	12.91	PCIAL	 	0.768	16.81	41.8	0.31
NON-RECA	P209789	20-Dec-98	U-238	26.12	PCIAL		0.768	34.01	41.8	0.62
MONRECA	P215789	28-Feb-98	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	29	UGAL	 	7	4.14		T
NONRECA	P215789	30-Jul-96	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	43	UGAL		7	6.14		<u> </u>
NON-RECA	P215789	28-Feb-96	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	25	UGAL		5	5.00		
NON-RECA	P215789	28-Feb-98	NITRATENITRITE	10	MGAL		10	1.00	4.664	2.14
NON-RECA	P215789	30-Jul-98	NITRATENITRITE	12.2	MGAL		10	1.22	4.664	2.62
NON-RECA	P215789	28-Feb-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	5	UGAL	J	5	1.00	<u> </u>	
NON-RECA	P215789	30-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	6	UGAL		5	1.20		
NON-RECA	· f	28-Feb-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	680	UGAL	t	5	136.00	f	
*********	P215789 P215789	30-Jul-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	740	UGAL	·	5	148.00	·	
NON-RFCA										

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

TABLE 2-6 Chemicals of Concern in Non-RFCA Wells (cont'd)

Well Class	Location	Sample Date	Chemical of Concern	* Result *	Unites		The 2 AL	The A Rado	Background	Background.
· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LUCEUM:	STEEDS OF ST	Community Colleges	Contract	- Cida			,	MZBIT	Retto
NON-RECA	P218289	29-Jul-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	23	UGAL		5	4.60		
NON-RECA	P218289	28-Feb-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	10	UG/L		5	2.00		
NON-RFCA	P218289	29-Jul-98	TRICHLOROETHENE	6	UGAL		5	1.20		
NON-RFCA	P218289	28-Feb-98	U-234	2012	PCI/L		1.07	1.88	60.7	0.03
NON-RFCA	P218289	29-Jul-96	U-234	1.544	PCIAL		1.07	1.44	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	P218289	28-Feb-96	VINYL CHLORIDE	4	UGAL	·	2	2.00		
NON-RFCA	P218289	29-Jul-96	VINYL CHLORIDE	3	UGAL	······	2	1.50		1
NON-RECA	P313489	26-Nov-96	YNOMITAN	18	UGAL	8	6	3.00	39.54	0.46
NON-RECA	P313489	12-Mar-96	PU239/40	0.613	PCIAL	·	0.151	4.08	0.047	13,04
NON-RECA	P313489	17-Jul-96	PU23940	0.87	PCIAL		0,151	5.78	0.047	18,51
NON-RFCA	P313489	28-Nov-98	PU239/40	0.245	PCI/L		0.151	1.62	0.047	5.22
NON-RECA	P313489	25-Nov-98	THALLIUM	6.2	UGAL	В	2	3.10	4.9	1.27
NON-RIFCA	P313489	12-Mar-96	U-234	1.615	PCIAL		1.07	1.51	80.7	0.03
NON-RFCA	P313489	29-May-96	U-234	1.537	PCIAL		1.07	1.44	60.7	0.03
NON-RECA	P313489	17-Jul-96	U-234 .	1.248	PCIAL		1.07	1.17	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	P313489	26-Nov-96	U-234	1.515	PCIAL		1.07	1.42	60.7	0.02
NON-RFCA	P313489	17-Jul-96	U-238	0.8145	PCIAL		0.768	1.08	41.8	0.02
NON-RFCA	P320089	24-Oct-96	ANTIMONY	37.4	UGAL	В	0.006	6.23	39.54	0.95
NON-RECA .	P320089	18-Jun-96	TETRACHLOROETHENE	770	UGAL		5	154.00	······	
NON-RECA	P320089	24-Oct-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	470	UGAL		5	94.00	************************************	
NON-RFCA	P320089	24-Oct-96	THALLIUM	8,9	UGAL	В	2	4.45	4.9	1.82
NON-RFCA	P320089	18-Jun-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	30	UGAL		5	6.00		
NON-RECA	P320089	24-Oct-96	TRICHLOROETHENE	20	UGAL.	j	5	4.00		
NON-RFCA	P320089	18-Jun-96	U-234	1.345	PCIAL		1.07	1.26	60.7	0.02
NON-RECA	P320089	24-Oct-96	U-234	1.349	PCVL		1.07	1.26	60.7	0.02
NON-RFCA	P320089	18-Jun-98	U-238	0.7708	PCIAL		0.768	1.00	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	P320089	24-Oct-98	U-238	0.9239	PCIAL		0.768	1.20	41.8	0.02
NON-RECA	P416289	17-Jul-96	THALLIUM	8	UG/L.	В	2	4.00	4.9	1.63
NON-RECA	P416289	10-Dec-96	THALLIUM	4.6	UGAL	В	2	2.30	4.9	0.94
NON-RECA .	P416289	23-Jan-96	U-234	10.21	PCIAL		1.07	9.54	60.7	0.17
NON-RECA	P416289	17-Jul-98	U-234	7.299	PCM.		1.07	6.82	60.7	0.12
VON-RECA	P416289	10-Dec-96	U-234	7.982	PCVL		1.07	7.48	60.7	0.13
VON-RECA	P416289	23-Jan-96	U-238	2.097	PCIAL		0.768	2.73	41.8	0.06
VON-RECA	P416289	17-Jul-96	U-238	1.592	PCIAL		0.768	2.07	41.8	0.04
NON-RECA	P416289	10-Dec-96	U-238	1.552	PCIAL	1	0.768	2.02	41.8	0.04
VON-RFCA	P416969	26-Nov-96	THALLIUM	5.6	UGAL	В	2	2.80	4.9	1.14
VON-RECA	P419689	01-Mar-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	17	UGAL		5	3.40		
VON-RECA	P419689	23-Sep-98	TETRACHLOROETHENE	12	UGAL		5	2.40		

2.5 DISCUSSION OF GROUNDWATER PLUMES

Plume maps have recently been updated for VOCs, nitrate, tritium, U-233/234, U-235, and U-238. Groundwater data extracted from RFEDS for the period 1991 through 1996 were used in this effort. The mean concentration of each analyte for each well from 1991 through 1995 was calculated and used to define the outlines for each plume. Final adjustments to the plume definitions were made using the latest 1996 data. The plumes, as drawn, are best estimates of the spatial distribution of concentrations of the chemicals of concern in groundwater. In the case of the uranium isotopes, an anthropogenic source is not necessarily implied (see discussion below).

2.5.1 Volatile Organic Chemical (VOC) Plume

The VOC plume map (Plate 12) has been updated from those published in the last three RFCA Quarterly Reports (RMRS, 1997b, 1997c, and 1997d) to reflect the 1996 data (Plate 12). There are four areas with significant updates. Data from well 23296 has shown that the East Trenches Plume has reached the South Walnut Creek drainage at concentrations approaching Tier I action levels. Geoprobe sampling is currently being conducted in the area surrounding well 23296 to better define the plume extent. The second change was made in the 881 Hillside area, around IHSS 119.1, to reflect sampling in 1996 (Plate 12), and geoprobe work performed in 1997 (RMRS, 1997e), that did not show any evidence of VOC concentrations in this area above Tier I action levels. The third change is in the IA plume. Data collected in 1996 indicates that both the Tier I and Tier II areas of the plume extend further north than previously thought. The fourth change is in the area of the PU&D Yard and the present Landfill. The area has been shown as having two distinct plumes, due to the groundwater intercept system surrounding the present Landfill. Data collected in 1996 from the sampling of well 6687 suggests that the PU&D Yard plume is in contact with the intercept system. Therefore, a single plume is shown on the map, although they are separated by the hydrologic barrier (Plate 12).

2.5.2 Nitrate Plume

The nitrate plume map has been updated slightly from those published in the last three RFCA Quarterly Reports (RMRS, 1997b, 1997c, and 1997d) to reflect the 1996 data. Plate 13 shows the estimated extent of the plume with the 1991 through 1995 well locations and average nitrate concentrations. Plate 14 shows the 1996 sampling locations and average nitrate concentrations for the year. The area with groundwater concentrations greater than 100 times the nitrate standard (1000 mg/L) has been expanded to the north to include well 0160, which has a historic mean of 1200 mg/L. The previously isolated areas near well B208289 and to the northeast of Pond A-1, represented as having concentrations greater than the 10 mg/L nitrate standard, have been connected to the rest of the plume. Both of these sampling

locations are in the weathered bedrock. Well B208289 is a shallow well, screened in bedrock at a depth of 6 to 15.4 feet. The location to the northeast of pond A-1 was installed into shallow bedrock with a geoprobe. These locations are thought to be hydrologically connected to the rest of the plume.

2.5.3 Tritium Plume

Tritium plumes have been estimated using the 1991 through 1995 well averages and the 1996 results. Plate 15 shows the estimated extent of the tritium plume with the 1991 through 1995 well locations and average activity-concentrations. Plate 16 shows the 1996 tritium sampling locations and average activity-concentrations for the year. There are three areas with groundwater tritium activity-concentrations greater than the Tier II action levels. The largest area is within and to the north of the Solar Ponds. Two other smaller areas with tritium activities above the Tier II action level are located in the central Industrial Area, to the west of the Protected Area, and in the present Landfill. There are no known areas with tritium activities above the Tier I action level.

2.5.4 Uranium Isotope Plumes

Plumes for the uranium isotopes U-233/234, U-235, and U-238 have been estimated using the 1991 through 1995 well averages and the 1996 results. There are two plates for each isotope. The first shows the estimated spatial extent of the plumes with the 1991 through 1995 sampling locations and the average well activity-concentrations (Plates 17, 19, and 21). The second set of plates show the plumes with the 1996 average well activity-concentrations (Plates 18, 20, and 22). There are three isolated wells considered to be out of the zone of influence of the Industrial Area that have high activity-concentrations of the three uranium isotopes. Well B205589 is located near the north boundary of the Site and has been designated as a background well. The other two wells are located in the southeast corner of the Site in the Woman Creek drainage, below Pond D-2.

The maps for U-233/234 and U-238 look very similar (Plates 17 and 21). Areas with activity concentrations above the background M2SD (U-233/234=60.7 pCi/L and U-238=41.8 pCi/L) are in the Solar Ponds area and downgradient near North Walnut Creek. The other areas shown in tan are well groupings that have activity concentrations above 5 pCi/L for the isotopes. Wells in the three main branches of the Walnut Creek drainage system (No Name Gulch and North and South Walnut Creeks) consistently have activity-concentrations between 5 and 40 pCi/L for both U-233/234 and U-238. The surface water stream standard for total uranium isotope activity is 10 pCi/L. Although the levels in the drainages may not be due to Site activities (see discussion below), the activity-concentrations, when summed to estimate total activity, are above the stream standard and may be impacting surface water.

The U-235 maps (Plates 19 and 20) show areas with wells that have activity-concentrations above 0.5 pCi/L, due to the much lower levels of U-235. Areas with activity concentrations above the background M2SD (U-235=1.79 pCi/L) are in the Solar Ponds area and downgradient near North Walnut Creek.

It is uncertain at present whether the uranium isotopes in groundwater associated with the above plumes are naturally occurring or the result of Site activities. Two ratios have been used to distinguish between anthropogenic and natural uranium. The first is the atom ratio of U-238 to U-235, which in naturally occurring uranium is a constant of 137.8. This atom ratio can be used to separate the components of anthropogenic (i.e., enriched or depleted) uranium and naturally occurring uranium. The second is the U-234 to U-238 activity ratio that has also been used to distinguish between natural and anthropogenic uranium. Uranium-234 is a product of the U-238 decay chain and its abundance is determined by the abundance of U-238. The activity ratios of U-234 to U-238 are approximately 0.09 in depleted uranium, 1.06 in natural uranium, 5.74 in power-reactor fuel, higher for weapons-grade uranium (EG&G, 1988), and usually ranges from one to three (Hess et al., 1985) in natural waters.

Unfortunately, the alpha-spectroscopy method used for analysis of uranium isotopes at RFETS only estimates the U-235 activity and does not resolve between U-233 and U-234, which are reported together. Thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) can be used to measure precise amounts of U-234, -235, -236, and -238 in groundwater samples. The technique is more accurate than alpha-spectroscopy, and provides more certain isotopic ratios.

Tables 2-7 and 2-8 show atom and activity ratios in groundwater calculated for some locations with average activities above the background benchmark. U-238 to U-235 atom ratios for dissolved uranium from wells with levels above background in RFETS groundwater show a wide scatter. This may be due to the analytical method, as discussed above, or the lack of a systematic treatment of sampling and analytical error or real variability in isotopic ratios. The data shown in Table 2-8 showing the U-234 to U-238 ratios range from 0.42 to 2.20. Only two wells have very low activity ratios, Well 07391 located about 300 feet south of the 903 Pad and Well 61093 located near the Original Landfill. Once again the value of these ratios are limited and can not be used to decisively conclude what areas of groundwater on the Site have been impacted by uranium originating from Site activities.

TABLE 2-7. Uranium Isotope Atom Ratios¹ for Filtered Groundwater Locations Above Background.

LOCATION	MEAN U-238 PCI/L	U-238 N NO. OF ATOMS	MEAN U-235 PCI/L	U-235 NO. OF ATOMS	U-238 N/U-235 RATIO
61093	39.2	3.85875E-08	0.991	1.53707E-10	251
07391	33.9	3.33991E-08	0.868	1.34657E-10	248
B305389	14.7	1.44181E-08	0.504	7.82187E-11	184
P208989	37.7	3.71190E-08	1.57	2.42728E-10	153
2689	76.9	7.57378E-08	3.33	5.16028E-10	147
B205589	84.3	8.30155E-08	3.66	5.66977E-10	146
B210389	49.9	4.91498E-08	2.19	3.39676E-10	145
4689	106	1.0395E-07	4.73	7.33482E-10	142
B208689	47.7	4.69755E-08	2.20	3.40830E-10	138
1786	28.5	2.80030E-08	1.32	2.05358E-10	136
P209889	28.9	2.84041E-08	1.35	2.10021E-10	135
P210289	41.2	4.05464E-08	1.94	3.00900E-10	135
B303089	115.	1.13203E-06	5.45	8.45311E-10	134
2886	95.6	9.41133E-08	4.59	7.11125E-10	132
B206589	20.5	2.01591E-08	1.01	1.56806E-10	129
06491	22.5	2.21041E-08	1.13	1.74724E-10	127
B208589	26.9	2.64863E-08	1.37	2.13163E-10	124
P209489	26.5	2.61065E-08	1.37	2.12107E-10	123
1586	15.4	1.51662E-08	0.833	1.29251E-10	117
35691	13.4	1.32088E-08	0.730	1.13213E-10	117
05393	66.4	6.54019E-08	3.64	5.64730E-10	116
37791	15.1	1.48754E-08	0.861	1.33486E-10	111
0586	23.4	2.30487E-08	1.35	2.08668E-10	111
10692	10.9	1.07735E-08	0.679	1.05238E-10	102
2187	18.8	1.84529E-08	1.17	1.81390E-10	101
B210489	21.7	2.13546E-08	1.36	2.10805E-10	101
05093	144	1.41856E-07	9.53	1.47793E-09	96.0
3086	63.9	6.29358E-08	4.31	6.68737E-10	94.1
2686	21.1	2.07350E-08	1.44	2.23832E-10	92.6
10294	31.0	3.04729E-08	2.20	3.40990E-10	89.4
01391	7.47	7.35223E-09	0.532	8.25874E-11	89.0
5287	23.4	2.29937E-08	1.73	2.68753E-09	85.6
37191	6.51	6.40846E-09	0.483	7.49102E-11	85.6
05193	90.4	8.89479E-08	10.5	1.63106E-09	54.5

¹ Efurd et al. (1993) used the following equation to transform activities in pCi/L into atom ratios: A = N/ i

where: A = activity, N = number of atoms of the isotope, I = decay constant (0.693/half-life),
I for uranium-238 = 9.84375E-10, I for uranium-235 = 1.55103E-10


TABLE 2-8. Uranium Isotope Activity Ratios for Filtered Groundwater Locations Above Background

LOCATION	MEAN U-233/234 PCI/L	MEAN U-238 PCI/L	U-234/U-238 RATIO
05193	198	90.4	2.20
0586	39.5	23.4	1.69
B206589	33.1	20.5	1.62
B210389	80.9	49.9	1.62
P208989	59.1	37.7	1.57
3086	98.2	63.9	1.54
05093	220	144	1.53
B208689	72.9	47.7	1.53
B305389	22.1	14.7	1.51
4689	158	106	1.50
2886	141	95.6	1.47
06491	32.5	22.5	1.45
B205589	121	84.3	1.43
2187	26.5	18.8	1.41
5287	32.7	23.4	1.40
P209889	40.0	28.9	1.39
10692	14.9	10.9	1.36
35691	18.0	13.4	1.34
37191	8.72	6.51	1.34
2686	28.0	21.1	1.33
1786	37.6	28.5	1.32
B208589	35.6	26.9	1.32
B210489	28.0	21.7	1.29
2689	95.1	76.9	1.24
05393	81.1	66.4	1.22
10294	37.3	31	1.21
P209489	31.8	26.5	1.20
P210289	49.3	41.2	1.20
1586	18.0	15.4	1.17
37791	. 17.7	15.1	1.17
B303089	135	115	1.17
01391	7.76	7.47	1.04
07391	16.0	33.9	0.47
61093	16.5	39.2	0.42


RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

Plans are currently being made as part of the Actinide Evaluation Program to perform TIMS analysis on groundwater samples from both background wells and wells within the plume areas to determine if areas with naturally occurring uranium can be differentiated from areas with anthropogenic uranium. It is not currently known if the budget will be available to perform these analyses. However, this is an important step in potentially determining the origin of the uranium in groundwater at RFETS.

Figure 2
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater



All 1,1,1-TCA data are "U" or "J" qualified except 16-MAR-92 and 30-NOV-93








Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)

Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells

1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater











Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater







3.0 GROUNDWATER FLOW CONDITIONS DURING 1996

Groundwater level data collected throughout calendar year 1996 were reviewed to determine whether significant changes in flow direction, flow velocity, and quantity have occurred to the upper hydrostratigraphic unit groundwater system since 1995 and previous years. This review included evaluations of semiannual potentiometric surface maps, quarterly well pair velocity calculations, and selected well hydrographs. Discussion of the 1996 data compared to historical potentiometric surface maps, such as presented in EG&G (1995c), and historical water level trends presented in the individual well hydrographs is presented to provide a framework for identifying the type of potentiometric configurations, seasonal fluctuations and long-term trends typically associated with pre-1996 plant operations. The 1996 data set comprises a new sitewide baseline that will be used for assessing annual changes to the groundwater flow system during the remaining years of plant closure and post-closure monitoring.

3.1 POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE MAPS

Groundwater potentiometric surface maps (Plates 2 and 3) were constructed from water level data collected during the second and fourth quarters of 1996 for the unconsolidated surficial deposits component of the UHSU. These maps provide information on groundwater flow direction and saturated extent that were used in the selection of well pairs for velocity calculations and definition of plume extent and movement. For map construction, it was assumed that well construction details, borehole logs, and depth-to-water measurements were accurate. When the measured depth to water was below the bottom of the well screen, the well was assumed to be dry.

Maps constructed for the UHSU were based entirely on data from wells screened in surficial deposits thought to be representative of regional shallow groundwater flow conditions. For this reason, wells completed in perched alluvial groundwater zones, such as wells 50494 and 51594 located west of the IA, were not utilized in map construction. Information on unsaturated areas from previous potentiometric surface maps, particularly the 1993 maps, were used in the construction of the second and fourth quarter 1996 maps. Shaded, non-contoured areas of the maps indicate areas where well coverage is absent.

In general, the configuration of the potentiometric surfaces for the second and fourth quarters 1996 closely matches the configurations depicted for earlier quarterly maps. Conceptual refinements were made in areas with new well coverage (i.e., IA IM/IRA wells) and at the west boundary of the Site where the Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone subcrop forms a north-south oriented barrier to alluvial groundwater flow. In this area, it is also believed that the Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone functions as a bedrock

recharge sink for alluvial groundwater, hence the steeper hydraulic gradients shown between the Site west boundary and Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone subcrop zone (delineated approximately by the alignment of gravel mine pits).

Plant operations have potentially impacted groundwater flow patterns in areas where potentiometric contours appear to deviate from topographic or bedrock topographic configurations. For example, a prominent and persistent eastward distention of the 6000 through 6040 foot contour lines in the west IA of the Site deviate significantly from the pattern expected from the surface topography. The coincidence of this broad, mound-like feature within an industrialized portion of the Site suggests that a greater amount of recharge is occurring in this area compared to similar background areas situated to the north and south. Likewise, the convergence of potentiometric contour lines near Buildings 371 and 881 suggest that foundation drains may have subtle local impacts on groundwater flow in the IA. Unsaturated areas shown on the 1996 maps were generally less extensive than drawn on the 1993 maps. This condition probably reflects the residual effects of the 1995 spring recharge event during which record high water levels were measured in many wells.

3.2 AVERAGE LINEAR FLOW VELOCITIES

Average linear groundwater flow velocities (seepage velocities) were calculated for 24 UHSU well pairs within the Industrial Area and perimeter based on flow direction considerations derived from the 1996 potentiometric surface maps. Table 3-1 presents the results of these calculations. The Darcy equation was used to calculate the seepage velocity (v):

$$v = \frac{K}{n} (dh / dl)$$

where:

K = hydraulic conductivity n = effective porositydh/dl = hydraulic gradient

Values for hydraulic gradient were calculated from quarterly water level measurements made between well pairs located along a groundwater pathway. These well pairs were chosen on the basis of their perpendicular orientation to potentiometric contour lines. Hydraulic conductivity values used for velocity calculations were derived from the geometric mean values reported for the Rocky Flats Alluvium, colluvium, and Arapahoe Formation sandstone (No. 1 Sandstone) presented in Table G-2 of EG&G (1995c). For each well pair, the K value chosen for the calculation was based on the predominant

TABLE 3-1. Average Linear Flow Velocities for the Industrial Area and Surrounding Areas.

WELL PAIR	AREA	1996 QTR	dh/dl	K (cm/sec)	n	v (cm/sec)	v (ft/yr)
P416289/P416689	Industrial Area	1	0.02873333	2.10E-04	0.1	6.034E-05	62.48
		2	0.03483333	2.10E-04	0.1	7.315E-05	75.75
		. 3	0.03343333	2.10E-04	0.1	7.021E-05	72.70
		4	0.03315	2.10E-04	0.1	6.9615E-05	72.09
P419689/P416889	Industrial Area	1	0.0062069	2.10E-04	0.1	1.30345E-05	13.50
		2	0.00772414	2.10E-04	0.1	1.62207E-05	16.80
		3	0.00765517	2.10E-04	0.1	1.60759E-05	16.65
		4	0.0088046	2.10E-04	0.1	1.84897E-05	19.15
P314289/10492	Industrial Area	1	0.08479279	9.33E-05	0.1	7.91117E-05	81.92
····		2	0.08514414	9.33E-05	0.1	7.94395E-05	82.26
		3	0.08653153	9.33E-05	0.1	8.07339E-05	83.60
		4	0.08684685	9.33E-05	0.1	8.10281E-05	83.90
5387/35691	881 Hillside	1	0.1655	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000154412	159.89
		2	0.17395	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000162295	168.05
		3	0.1447	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000135005	139.80
		4	0.1529	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000142656	147.72
34791/6386	903 Pad	1,	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	0.20312903	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000189519	196.24
		3	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d .
		4	0.19996774	9.33E-05	0.1	0.00018657	193.19
07291/07391	903 Pad	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.08638095	2.10E-04	0.1	0.0001814	187.84
00491/23196	903 Pad	1	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
04591/10194	903 Pad	1	0.00780328	2.10E-04	0.1	1.63869E-05	16.97
		2	0.01098361	2.10E-04	0.1	2.30656E-05	23.88
		3	0.01678689	2.10E-04	0.1	3.52525E-05	36.50
		4	0.01206557	2.10E-04	0.1	2.53377E-05	26.24
05291/05091	East Trenches	1.	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.0232	2.10E-04	0.1	4.872E-05	50.45
05391/06091	East Trenches	1	0.01314685	2.10E-04	0.1	2.76084E-05	28.59
		2	0.01451748	2.10E-04	0.1	3.04867E-05	31.57
		3	0.0165035	2.10E-04	0.1	3.46573E-05	35.89
		4	0.016	2.10E-04	0.1	3.36E-05	34.79
4286/20291	East Trenches	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.02460993	2.10E-04	0.1	5.16809E-05	53.51

TABLE 3-1 Average Linear Flow Velocities for the Industrial Area and Surrounding Areas (cont'd)

WELL PAIR	AREA	1996 QTR	dh/dl	K (cm/sec)	n	v (cm/sec)	v (ft/yr)
3687/60295	East Trenches	1	n/d	7.88E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	7.88E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	7.88E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.05298851	7.88E-04	0.1	0.000417549	432.37
00191/13491	903 Pad	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.02007273	2.10E-04	0.1	4.21527E-05	43.65
1987/3586	Mound	1 .	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.12234862	9.33E-05	0.1	0.000114151	118.20
05293/3386	Solar Pond	1	n/d	2.10E-04	· 0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.03624348	2.10E-04	0.1	7.61113E-05	78.81
P218389/B208089	Solar Pond	1	0.05235897	9.33E-05	0.1	4.88509E-05	50.58
		2	0.05971795	9.33E-05	0.1	5.57168E-05	57.69
		. 3	0.05420513	9.33E-05	0.1	5.05734E-05	52.37
		4	0.05364103	9.33E-05	0.1	5.00471E-05	51.82
2286/45793	Solar Pond	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.05783721	2.10E-04	0.1	0.000121458	125.77
1986/77492	Solar Pond	1	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
·, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	9.33E-05	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.02295238	9.33E-05	0.1	2.14146E-05	22.17
P114689/22896	Industrial Area	1	n/a	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/a	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/a	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	n/a	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
P215789/P218089	Industrial Area	1 .	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
	·	3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.01002632	2.10E-04	0.1	2.10553E-05	21.80
P313489/6186	Industrial Area	1	0.00979167	2.10E-04	0.1	2.05625E-05	21.29
		2	0.01406944	2.10E-04	0.1	2.95458E-05	30.59
		3	0.01375	2.10E-04	0.1	2.8875E-05	29.90
		4	0.01476389	2.10E-04	0.1	3.10042E-05	32.10
4486/P115689	Industrial Area	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
·		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.01890476	2.10E-04	0.1	3.97E-05	41.11
			······································				

TABLE 3-1 Average Linear Flow Velocities for the Industrial Area and Surrounding Areas (cont'd)

WELL PAIR	AREA	1996 QTR	dh/dl	K (cm/sec)	n	v (cm/sec)	v (ft/yr)
P415989/P115489	Industrial Area	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.01971545	2.10E-04	0.1	4.14024E-05	42.87
P115089/P119389	Industrial Area	1	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		2	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		3	n/d	2.10E-04	0.1	n/d	n/d
		4	0.03668	2.10E-04	0.1	7.7028E-05	79.76

lithologic unit existing between the wells. In the absence of measured values of n, a conservative value of 0.1 is assumed based on its predominant usage in previous velocity calculations performed at the Site.

Groundwater flow velocities can be used as estimates of the migration rates for conservative (i.e., non-reactive) groundwater chemical constituents. Because they do not consider the effects of dispersion and chemical reactions (e.g., volatilization, biodegradation, dissolution/precipitation, and adsorption) on the concentrations of constituents along a flow path, seepage velocities approximate only the unattenuated rate of migration for dissolved constituents in groundwater. Attenuated, volatile, biodegradable, or redox-sensitive species will likely exhibit migration rates slower than the average linear velocity of groundwater flow.

Large-scale changes in the hydraulic gradient distribution caused by reconfiguration of the groundwater recharge and discharge regime during plant closure have the potential to impact groundwater flow directions and velocities which, in turn, can affect plume spreading and movement. Although actual linear flow velocities at any given well pair are not known with certainty, changes in relative flow velocities, combined with potentiometric mapping and hydrograph analysis, can provide some insight into plume dynamics and movement. Linear flow velocity calculations are sensitive only to the magnitude and direction of the hydraulic gradient, assuming that the assigned values of K and n are kept constant. Temporal analysis of relative linear flow velocities using 1996 as a baseline year is expected to compliment the other available assessment tools (potentiometric and water level change maps, hydrographs, plume extent maps, etc.) in monitoring plume migration toward surface water.

As shown in Table 3-1, the calculated groundwater flow velocities ranged from approximately 10 to over 400 feet per year. Linear flow velocities below 80 ft/yr tend to be associated with the Rocky Flats Alluvium while linear flow velocities above 80 ft/yr tend to be associated with hillslope areas. The high value of 432 ft/yr calculated for well pair 3687/60296 is associated with the Arapahoe Formation Sandstone, which discharges to the hillside above South Walnut Creek. These velocities are generally higher than reported in previous annual RCRA groundwater monitoring reports largely because sitewide mean K values were employed in the calculations instead of individual RCRA unit mean K's.

3.3 WELL HYDROGRAPHS AND WATER LEVEL CHANGE MAPS

Hydrograph plots for selected RFCA wells have been prepared to monitor changes in water table elevation with time. In addition to illustrating seasonal fluctuations in water table elevation, hydrographs are useful for evaluating long-term trends that might result from plant closure activities or natural causes,

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

such as climate change. It is expected that a comparison of IA and surrounding area well hydrograph trends to background well trends will determine whether any of the observed trends are natural remediation—or plant-induced. Assuming that groundwater levels within the Site have reached a quasi-steady state condition since the cessation of production operations in 1989, it is conceivable that plant closure activities could cause local water levels to rise or fall, depending on the closure action. These changes in water level elevations will be evaluated in future years using annual and life-of-closure water level change maps that will be based on water levels collected during the 1996 baseline year.

Water levels measured during 1996 were, for the most part, observed to fluctuate within normal limits. Most wells, including recharge-sensitive wells such as 20691 and P416289, exhibited only moderate recharge peaks during the spring season. In general, water levels were 1 to 2 feet higher at the beginning of 1996 compared to the end which reflects the decaying influence of record high water levels experienced in 1995. The sitewide scale of this trend, also observed in background wells, implies that climate is the dominant cause of water level changes in 1996.

In summary, groundwater flow conditions for 1996 appear to closely resemble flow conditions described for recent years. This situation is not unexpected because major plant closure activities have yet to be undertaken. The 1996 data set represents a baseline for future annual evaluations.

4.0 GROUNDWATER EVALUATIONS

This section discusses the technical evaluations being performed due to exceedances of RFCA groundwater Action Levels in 1996 as reported in Section 2. Evaluations of impact to surface water will be performed as required by the RFCA Action Level Framework for groundwater exceedances. Details with respect to the final location of sample points, data analysis and calculations will be presented upon completion of the field projects.

4.1 EAST TRENCHES PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELL 23296 AND 06091

Well 23296 was installed in 1996 as part of the agreement on the Groundwater portion of the Action Level Framework document. The original sample result showed a trichloroethene (TCE) concentration of 430 ug/L, which is above Tier II action levels. The first monthly follow-up sample (300 ug/L) taken in January 1997 confirmed the magnitude of the exceedance. Well 23296 is a Plume Extent well and is located in the South Walnut Creek drainage between Pond B2 and Pond B3. Historic VOC data from the B series ponds shows that Pond B1 and Pond B2 have had infrequent detections of TCE, TCA, and carbon tetrachloride. The source of water in ponds B1 and B2 is mainly surface water runoff, with lesser amounts from groundwater flow. A seep adjacent to Pond B1 has had detections of TCA (280 ug/L), TCE (27 ug/L), and carbon tetrachloride (22 ug/L). Pond B3 is used as a short term storage pond for effluent from the Sewage Treatment Plant and has not been sampled for VOCs.

It is believed that the source of TCE in well 23296 is from the East Trenches plume, upgradient of the South Walnut Creek drainage in the former OU2. The extent of the East Trenches Plume is well known within the East Trenches area which is on the plateau above the drainage. However the groundwater pathways from the trenches to surface water are imperfectly known. Well 06091 monitors the northeast extension of the East Trenches VOC plume. VOC concentrations appear to be increasing with time in this well, and have reached the Tier II action level for TCE.

The initial phase of the evaluation will involve determination of groundwater pathways to surface water. This is because there are no downgradient groundwater wells installed north of the East Trenches plume in the Walnut Creek drainage. This phase will be accomplished through a series of temporary well points (15-20) installed on the south side of Walnut Creek parallel to the portion of the South Walnut Creek drainage where groundwater pathways to surface water are suspected. It is believed that the groundwater may travel through colluvial materials on the south hillside of Walnut Creek, or through the No. 1 sandstone bedrock. The well points will be installed through both the colluvium and No. 1 Sandstone and screened where the saturated zone exists. This activity is set to be initiated in September, 1997, and will

be integrated with field investigation supporting the evaluation remediation needs. After field work has been completed, historic and new data collected from both surface water and groundwater will be used to evaluate mass loading of contaminants to the South Walnut Creek.

Well 06091 has shown detections of carbon tetrachloride at or below the Tier II Action Level. This well is at the far end of the bedrock low which directs the alluvial East Trenches plume towards the northeast. Because there are no wells immediately to the east of well 06091, two temporary wells will be installed to determine if concentrations above action levels have migrated farther east. A third temporary well will be installed north of well 06091 to determine if contaminants are migrating to the north toward South Walnut Creek This activity is also scheduled to be completed in September, 1997.

4.2 MOUND PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELL 3586

Well 3586 has historically produced groundwater with concentrations of vinyl chloride exceeding Tier I action levels (200 ug/L). Concentrations have dropped below Tier I levels, but exceedances of Tier II action levels (2 ug/L) are still observed. The source for vinyl chloride is likely to be the Mound IHSS which has a documented plume that migrates to the SW059 seep. This seep has historically drained into South Walnut Creek and has concentrations of tetrachloroethene (PCE) and trichloroethene (TCE) above Tier II action levels.

Remediation work has already been done on the Mound plume to lessen the impact to surface water. The flow from SW059 is captured and the effluent is treated at the 891 treatment plant. Multiple excavations and source removals have been performed at the Mound Site, and removal of the remaining source was completed as an accelerated action. The plume extent was investigated in September, 1996 by installing 18 temporary wells along a transect that parallels the South Walnut Creek drainage (RMRS/KH, 1997). Fourteen additional temporary wells were installed in February, 1997 to further define the plume width and extent (RMRS/KH, 1997). These activities were specifically planned to aid the design of a groundwater collection and treatment system for the distal end of the plume. The Draft Mound Site Decision Document (RMRS/KH, 1997) describes the characterization activities that were performed for the Mound plume and tabulates the data collected from the temporary wells. Future activities on the Mound plume are on hold pending review of the draft Decision Document. Figure 4-1 shows the location of the temporary wells installed to characterize the Mound plume and associated Total VOC concentrations.

4.3 SOLAR PONDS PLUME - EXCEEDANCES IN WELLS 1786, P219489 AND P208389

Well 1786 is in the North Walnut Creek drainage, and located downgradient of the Solar Ponds. The well has nitrate levels that exceed Tier I action levels. Historic data for nitrate from wells B208589 and B210489, which are also near the creek, are also above Tier I action levels. The nitrate concentration in well 1586 is above Tier II action levels. In 1995 a large number of small diameter piezometers were installed within the former OU4. These piezometers were sampled for nitrate and the results have been incorporated into the resulting nitrate plume map. Because of the extensive sample coverage, additional well installations are not necessary. The impact evaluation will involve the following activities:

- Focused stream sampling and flow measurements to determine the true concentration, duration and frequency of nitrate entering North Walnut Creek;
- Evaluation of historic surface water and groundwater data;
- Evaluation of alluvial and possible bedrock pathways to surface water;
- Evaluation of the nitrate plume to ascertain the flow volume and concentrations that could be entering North Walnut Creek; and
- Evaluation of the effects of turning off the Interceptor Trench System on the amount of flow and nitrate concentrations entering North Walnut Creek.

These activities will be budgeted and scheduled for completion in FY98 and will be tied to the FY99 milestone for the carbon tetrachloride source removal.

Wells P219489 and P218389 were installed as plume extent wells for possible VOC contamination that might be migrating east from the Solar Ponds. The southeast wells have historic nitrate concentrations above Tier II action level. Reclassification of these wells as plume definition wells would allow for future tracking of nitrate concentrations while maintaining a good spatial location for potential VOC migration. If this change is made there should be no evaluation activities required at this time.

4.4 PU&D YARD PLUME

In 1995 it was discovered that a VOC plume of relatively low concentration, but potentially large extent, was present west and south of IHSS 170 west of the Present Landfill. The source of this plume is expected to be IHSS 170, the former PU& D yard. Traces of this plume above Tier II action levels have

been found in wells 70393, 6687 and 77392. A piezometer installed immediately upgradient of a seep on the hillslope south of the PU&D Yard near North Walnut Creek also has detections of VOCs. The longitudinal extent of the plume may be as much as three thousand feet. The dimensions of this plume are not well known due to sparse well coverage in the area. Well coverage is absent in the of North Walnut Creek drainage directly south of this plume.

There are two goals for the investigation of the PU&D area. One is to establish the source for the VOC plume. The other goal is to establish the extent of the VOC plume to both the east and to the south towards the North Walnut Creek drainage.

The suspected IHSS 170 source will be characterized by drilling in the most likely source area determined, based on historic knowledge and soil gas survey data collected as part of the OU10 investigation. This source characterization is scheduled to be completed by mid-September, 1997. The plume investigation will be conducted with three objectives. First, wells will be installed on all four sides of the PU& D yard to help establish the source location for the plume. Upgradient wells will be installed to confirm that contamination is not coming from sources other than the PU&D Yard. The eastern extent of the plume will be determined through drilling of temporary wells, which will be sampled for VOCs. Additional wells and piezometers will be installed to establish the width of the plume. A line of temporary well points will be installed along a line parallel to North Walnut Creek in the drainage south of the plume to establish groundwater pathways to surface water. If pathways exist, at least two permanent wells will be installed to monitor plume migration, and plume flux calculations will be performed. This activity is scheduled for August, 1997.

4.5 INDUSTRIAL AREA PLUME

4.5.1 Exceedance in Well P416889

Well P416889 is a plume definition well monitoring the IA Plume that is above Tier II action levels for tetrachlorothene. A large seep complex occurs to the south of this well in Woman Creek, and a piezometer installed just above one area of this seep shows concentration of TCE in the 20-30 ug/L range. Therefore, there is an indication of a potential pathway to surface water. At present, there is no drainage well in Woman Creek that would monitor this potential pathway downgradient of the IA plume. Historic data will be reviewed and some pre-existing temporary well points from the OU5 investigation will be sampled. In addition, a field investigation will be conducted to delineate surface water pathways using a line of temporary wells in areas not already covered by wells. If a pathway to surface water

exists, a new monitoring well will be installed along the potential flow path to Woman Creek. This activity is currently scheduled for July, 1997.

4.5.2 Exceedance in Wells P219189 and 22796

Well P219189 is located north of Building 771, next to building 770 near the North Walnut Creek drainage. The well has historically produced groundwater with concentrations of 1,1, dichloroethene (1,1, DCE) that range from 20 to 50 ug/L. 1,1, DCE is the only volatile organic compound that exceeds action levels in this well, which distinguishes it from wells in the nearby carbon tetrachloride plume near IHSS 118.1.

Wells within the carbon tetrachloride plume are defined by concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform, in addition to 1,1 DCE (wells P209389 and P209489). Wells in the eastern portion of the plume contain carbon tetrachloride, chloroform and trichloroethene (Wells 2286 and P209189). The potential pathways for VOC contamination from this plume to reach North Walnut Creek are not well known due to the presence of many subsurface conduits and buildings with footing drains that collect groundwater. The Interceptor Trench System (ITS) has probably captured a portion of the carbon tetrachloride plume near the Solar Ponds. However, well 0671, which was located between the ITS and North Walnut Creek, had carbon tetrachloride, chloroform and trichlorethene above current Tier II action levels in the one sample that was taken prior to abandonment in 1992.

Well 22796 lies due north of Building 771 and next to Building T771A near the North Walnut Creek drainage. This well was installed in 1996 and has concentrations of trichloroethene above Tier II action levels. As with well P219189, the source of this contamination is not well known. Trichloroethene is a component of both the carbon tetrachloride plume and the IA plume. Well 22896, which is located near Building 566, has concentrations of TCE above Tier I action levels suggesting that the IA VOC plume continues farther north than originally thought.

There may also be local sources for the contamination seen in this area. IHSS 150.1 consists of the paved area north of Building 771 where drums containing plutonium residues were stored. There is no documentation on whether hazardous wastes were also stored in this area, but the former OU8 Data Summary Report (DOE, 1995c) documents a number of spills of radionuclide bearing material in this location. Up to 100 drums were stored in this area at various times during the life of the facility.

Because of the potential to impact the surface waters of North Walnut Creek the following evaluation activities are proposed:

- The groundwater pathways to surface water will be established through the installation of temporary wells where feasible. In addition, knowledge about subsurface conduits footing drains and outfalls in nearby buildings will be collected.
- Once sufficient field data exist to quantify the nature and extent of the groundwater contamination, plume flux calculations will be performed to gauge the impact of VOCs to North Walnut Creek.

These activities will be budgeted and scheduled for startup in FY98.

4.5.3 Exceedance in Well 22896

Well 22896 was installed to monitor the northeast edge of the IA VOC plume. The sample results from this well showed concentrations of trichloroethene above Tier I action levels and methylene chloride above Tier II action levels. Subsequent monthly sampling has confirmed the TCE exceedance but did not confirm the methylene chloride exceedance. The high concentrations of TCE in 22896 suggest that the portion of the IA plume with concentrations greater than Tier I levels extends farther to the northeast than previously known. The well is located at the southeast edge of a small stream channel that joins North Walnut Creek near PACS 2. Well 1986 which is located in the stream drainage has historically shown no detections of VOCs above action levels. Well 22696 located to the north of well 22796 also shows no VOC detections above action levels. The following evaluation is planned.

- Historic data will be evaluated to predict the location of pathways to surface water from the area around well 22896. Well 1986 will be evaluated to ensure that it is located and screened appropriately to detect potential contamination in the stream;
- Temporary wells will be installed to help locate saturated pathways to surface water; and
- If a significant pathway exists to surface water, and contamination is present, the mass flux of contaminants to the stream will be estimated.

This project will be budgeted and scheduled for startup in FY98.

4.6 OTHER GROUNDWATER EXCEEDANCES

4.6.1 Manganese Exceedances in Wells 22596, 1986, P114389 and 7086

Manganese concentrations above Tier II action levels have been detected in wells 22596, 1986 and P114389. These three wells are downgradient of the building 374 area. No building activities involving manganese are known. Manganese is also above Tier II action levels in well 7086 which is near the Old Landfill. The "Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site" completed in 1995 plots data on manganese along east-west transects at the Site. The transect through the Industrial Area shows highly variable manganese concentrations relative to transects in areas away from the Industrial Area.

Manganese has typically been eliminated from consideration as a contaminant of concern (COC) in the various operable unit RI/RFI evaluations based on process knowledge and professional judgement (e.g., OU1, OU2, OU5, OU6). The OU1 report did an extensive statistical evaluation of manganese.

4.6.2 Nickel in Well P313589:

Nickel has been evaluated in the OU2, OU5 and OU6 RI/RFI reports. The OU6 RI/RFI report did the most thorough evaluation on nickel, which was eliminated as a COC based on professional judgement.

4.6.3 Sulfate Exceedances in Wells 2987 and 10294:

Sulfate concentrations above Tier II action levels have been detected in wells 2987 and 10294. The "Groundwater Geochemistry Report for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site" (EG&G. 1995b) plots data on Sulfate along east-west transects at the Site. Sulfate can be seen to increase in concentration from west to east along the two transects farthest away from the Industrial Area. We believe that this report documents increased sulfate concentration in the eastern portion of the Site as a natural process.

In 1997 the groundwater workgroup agreed to forego any active investigation of metals and sulfate exceedances until after new Site background thresholds had been established. This activity is scheduled for FY98.

5.0 OTHER PROJECTS INVOLVING GROUNDWATER

The following groundwater activities were completed in CY96 either as support activities to the groundwater program or as support to other remediation activities. These activities are summarized in this report. Additional information can be found in the reports that are referenced in the text.

5.1 ASAP GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELING

The Accelerated Site Action Project (ASAP) was formulated to address the closure and future conditions of RFETS (DOE, 1996). Some of the future site conditions considered as part of the ASAP include placing engineered caps over selected areas of the site and shutting off the site infrastructure (water supply systems, sewers, etc.). Considerations for site closure are currently being addressed under the Focus On 2006 Plan (DOE, 1997b).

5.1.1 Purpose Of ASAP Modeling Project

The purpose for developing the ASAP groundwater model was to assess the impacts of future ASAP site conditions on the RFETS groundwater flow system. Future site conditions will likely involve constructing engineered caps over portions of the IA to reduce the infiltration of surface waters. In addition, many of the sub-surface piping systems in the IA may be deactivated or destroyed. These processes may alter the groundwater flow system by changing the rate, volume, and spatial distribution of groundwater recharge and discharge in the IA.

5.1.2 Precursor Site-Studies

To assist in developing the ASAP model, a series of research and review studies were performed. The goal of these studies was to provide information on several of the groundwater recharge and discharge processes at RFETS. This information could then be used to assist in the development and calibration of the ASAP groundwater model.

The first of these studies investigated groundwater recharge from the infiltration of precipitation (RMRS, 1996d). This study concentrated on areas covered by native materials (Rocky Flats Alluvium) and by native materials augmented with an improved soil cover to allow for a vegetated cap. Several sources of information including infiltration simulations using the Hydrologic Evaluation of Landfill Performance (HELP) model, hydrograph analysis, and previous groundwater modeling work were used in this investigation. The results from this study indicate that the HELP model may not consider all of the recharge mechanisms which may be operating at RFETS, and so may underestimate the amount of

recharge. The study results estimate that recharge to the native materials should be on the order of one to two inches per year.

A second study summarized discharge rates from several subsurface drain systems at RFETS (RMRS, 1996e). This study was designed to provide estimates of groundwater fluxes in the subsurface based on discharge rates from building foundation drains and groundwater intercept systems. The study presents discharge data for foundation drains from buildings 444, 881, 865, 875 and 886. Additional discharge data are presented for the OU-1 French Drain and Solar Ponds Interceptor Trench System. The drain systems considered in this study account for an total groundwater flux of 3.4 million gallons per year.

The third study was a review concerning the natural discharge of groundwater (RMRS, 1996f). This study summarized groundwater discharge information for some of the seeps and springs at RFETS. The discharge data was available from a previous study in which discharge measurements from 206 seeps and springs were presented. Due to fiscal constraints, it was not possible to make discharge measurements for all seeps and springs at RFETS. The discharge rates that were determined varied from 72 to 28,000 gallons per day

The forth, and final, pre-modeling study developed a simple water mass balance for the IA at RFETS (RMRS, 1996g). It was primarily concerned with the transfer of water between the subsurface hydrogeologic system and the subsurface piping systems. The piping systems considered during this analysis included the: water supply, sanitary sewers, storm sewers, and foundation drains. One of the goals of this study was to determine if the water supply systems at RFETS are a significant source of recharge to the hydrogeologic system beneath the IA. This study indicates that, although there is significant recharge from the plant water supply system, there is also a large amount of discharge from the plant subsurface drain systems. This study concludes that there is an effective net loss of groundwater within the IA due to the plant subsurface piping systems.

5.1.3 Status of ASAP groundwater model

The ASAP groundwater model (RMRS, 1996h) was intended to be a tool to investigate the impacts of future site closure activities on groundwater at RFETS. The conceptualization and implementation of the model were developed with this goal in mind, so many of the important subsurface features that impact the groundwater system at RFETS, and which may change with the future site configuration, were included in the model.

The model was implemented using the USGS MODFLOW numerical groundwater modeling code. The current configuration of the model uses a single layer, with varying grid-spacing ranging from 75 to 300

feet. The single model layer is intended to represent the unconsolidated surficial materials present at RFETS. The model grid is centered over the RFETS IA and includes a substantial area around the main industrial complex.

As part of this modeling project, several of the main data input grids used in previous modeling activities were refined or updated. This was done in part to refine the data-grid spacing to that needed for the ASAP model, and also to include more recent information. These updated grids included the bedrock elevation grid used as the base of the model, and the Spring 1994 water table elevation grid which was used as the initial groundwater elevation for the model.

The current ASAP model includes the effects of stream-aquifer interactions, major building foundation drain systems, and groundwater interception systems (french drains). Net groundwater recharge is also included, although no distinction between natural and artificial recharge is made.

In its present state the groundwater flow model is calibrated to spring of 1994 conditions. The calibration data set consisted of water elevations from 239 wells. Currently, the model has only been preliminarily calibrated. To date, the only data set used to calibrate the model are the spring 1994 groundwater elevations. Some of the other data which might be used for model calibration, such as water discharge volumes from subsurface drains, have not been incorporated into the calibration data set.

Although steady-state simulations have been run, the preliminary calibration level of the current ASAP model precludes using it to simulate and assess future site conditions. Before simulating future conditions, further calibration work using the existing model would be necessary. The current model data sets provide a foundation and important resource for future modeling activities.

5.2 ACTINIDE MIGRATION PROJECT

The near-term projects to evaluate and mitigate actinide migration include: (1) finalization of the conceptual model for actinide migration for the Woman Creek and Walnut Creek drainages; (2) remediation of the 903 Pad and Lip area; and (3) evaluation of the Solar Ponds plume.

5.2.1 Woman Creek Drainage

The 903 Pad and Lip area is a source of windblown contamination. Initiation of potential remediation activities is scheduled for the 2000-2001 time frame, depending on the outcome of the current evaluation. The goals of the proposed actinide migration evaluation work for FY98 are to complete a draft Conceptual Model for actinide migration at RFETS, to quantify the rates of actinide transfer among media (surface and subsurface soil, groundwater, surface water, and air), and to evaluate transport rates

on proposed remediation scenarios for the 903 Pad and Lip area under normal environmental conditions. The following activities will be performed to accomplish this:

- Laboratory studies on soils and sediments to determine actinide speciation, partition coefficients and mobility under various environmental conditions, soil erosion and air dispersion analyses, establishment of rates for each transport mechanism (leaching to groundwater, erosion, and air);
- A mass loading analysis to determine the maximum contribution of plutonium and americium to each drainage basin so as not to exceed stream standards; and
- The above data will be used to determine Site-specific cleanup levels under normal environmental conditions.

Actinide mobility distribution maps will be generated for normal and abnormal environmental conditions. The probability of meeting surface water standards by proposed remedial actions under varying environmental conditions will be determined.

5.2.2 Walnut Creek Drainage

The potential sources of actinides in the Walnut Creek Drainage are the Solar Ponds (U) and the IA (Pu, Am, and U).

Surface water in South Walnut Creek may need to be protected from contaminated groundwater originating from the Solar Ponds. The proposed RFCA milestone is to construct a plume containment system in FY99. To ensure attainment of this milestone, additional plume characterization will be conducted in FY98 to define the extent of the uranium and nitrate plumes originating from the Solar Ponds area. Groundwater analyses will be performed to evaluate, select, and design a preferred alternative for addressing the Solar Ponds plume.

The North and South Walnut Creek drainages will be further examined to define the sources of plutonium loading, and the Conceptual Model for actinide migration will be further refined.

5.3 INDUSTRIAL AREA IM/IRA ACTIVITIES IN CY96

In March 1997, the IA IM/IRA annual report was published (RMRS, 1997a) which documents groundwater and other monitoring data that were collected in support of the IA IM/IRA. This report covered the period from October, 1995 through September 1996. In addition, five new groundwater monitoring wells were installed in the IA to augment the monitoring of contaminants leaving the IA. These wells were some of the twelve wells originally proposed in the IM/IRA Implementation Plan for

the Rocky Flats IA (DOE, 1995b). The other seven monitoring wells were not installed because of the change in criteria for monitoring as determined in the DQO process mentioned in Section 1. These additional wells would have been installed within the IA rather than on the perimeter, and would not have supported a decision as presented in the IMP. The wells that were installed are wells 22596, 22696, 22796, 22896 and 22996. Under the new well classification scheme, wells 22596, 22696, 22796 and 22896 are Plume Extent Wells located to detect northward migration of the IA Plume. Well 22996 is classified as a D&D well based on its use in monitoring the Decommissioning and Decontamination of Building 886. Section 2 discusses the sampling results for these wells. Well 22696 was technically dry during 1996 and no samples were collected. Figure 5-1 shows the location of these wells.

5.3.1 Building D&D Activities for FY97


In compliance with the IA IM/IRA and the groundwater section of the IMP, groundwater monitoring must be performed on D&D activities that may impact surface water. Building 779 is slated for D&D within the next fiscal year. Building 779 was used as an R&D laboratory in which testing and experimentation on radionuclide and other materials was done. Though large volumes of radionuclides and hazardous substances were not resident at any given time, many different types of materials were used in the building. The IM/IRA requires the collection of pre-D&D groundwater quality data to establish a baseline for monitoring any effects due to D&D activities. The following activities are planned under the scope of the IM/IRA:

- Establishment of groundwater gradient and flowpath in the vicinity of Building 779;
- Installation of temporary monitoring wells with one upgradient well and up to three downgradient wells;
- Collection of groundwater samples for radionuclides, VOCs and metals; and
- Presentation of the sampling results in the IM/IRA annual report.

This project is scheduled and budgeted for completion in FY97.

5.4 FY96 WELL ABANDONMENT AND REPLACEMENT PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

The Well Abandonment and Replacement Program (WARP) is a maintenance program for the Groundwater Monitoring Program (GMP) at RFETS. Implementation of WARP achieves the general objective of ensuring the viability of groundwater monitoring wells and piezometers for the purpose of collecting representative samples of groundwater and other groundwater parameters. WARP provides a means to eliminate and selectively replace wells and piezometers where sample and water level readings are suspected of not being representative of subsurface conditions, or the elimination of wells that are no longer needed.



As stated in the FY96 WARP work plan (RMRS, 1996a), the specific objectives of FY96 WARP related to the GMP were to meet the following goals:

- abandon wells and piezometers located within or adjacent to IHSSs with known or suspected nonaqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) which were scheduled for 1996 removal actions using State of Colorado well abandonment and Site procedures.
- Install five wells at locations where water quality or piezometric data was needed for the Industrial Area groundwater monitoring program before initiation of building decommissioning and decontamination activities per the IM/IRA Work Plan (DOE, 1995b).
- Install three wells at locations where water quality or piezometric data was needed for the sitewide groundwater monitoring program (RMRS, 1996b).

5.4.1 Well Abandonments

A total of nine groundwater monitoring wells and soil vapor extraction piezometers were abandoned at RFETS during FY96 (Figures 5-2 and 5-3). The nine wells, piezometers, and conductor casings, identified in Figure 5-2 and Table 5-1, were used during a pilot soil vapor extraction project for Trench T-3 (IHSS 110). These nine wells were located within the excavation area of the trench, which was remediated by source removal under the Interagency Agreement. Four wells (24193, 24393, 24993, and 25093) with conductor casing were previously abandoned in-place during FY94 WARP, but required partial casing removal to allow for trench excavation activities. Five well casings (24093, 24293, 24493, 24593, and 24693) were obsolete alluvial piezometers used for vadose zone monitoring during soil vapor extraction testing.





TABLE 5-1. Phase I Wells, IHSS 110, Trench T-3

WELL NO. (LOCATION CODE)	WELL PURPOSE	ABANDONMENT METHOD	CONDUCTOR CASING OR WELL CASING DIAMETER	CASING DEPTH (FEET)
24093	Piezometer	Overdrill	4 inch well	18
24193	Collection Well	Drill out grout inside conductor casing	12 in. conductor	15
24293	Piezometer	Overdrill	4 inch well	17
24393	Injection Well	Drill out grout inside conductor casing	12 in. conductor	17
24493	Piezometer	Overdrill	2 inch well	15.2
24593	Piezometer	Overdrill	2 inch well	14.2
24693	Piezometer	Overdrill	2 inch well	16.4
24993	Piezometer	Drill out grout inside conductor casing	8 in. conductor	17
25093	Piezometer	Drill out grout inside conductor casing	12 in. conductor	17.1




5.4.2 Well Installations

Eight groundwater monitoring wells were installed under FY96 WARP, including five wells in support of the IA IM/IRA (DOE, 1995b) and three wells in support of the RFETS Action Level Framework for Surface Water, Groundwater, and Soils (RMRS, 1996b); as referenced under the RFCA (RFCA, 1996). The IA IM/IRA well installation program has been discussed separately in Section 5.3 and will not be discussed further in this section.

The three Tier II wells (23096, 23196 and 23296) were located along Site surface water drainages for groundwater plume detection monitoring purposes. Well locations and other siting information are shown in Figure 5-4 and Table 5-2.

TABLE 5-2. Tier II Well Locations And Rationale

WELL NO.	LOCATION	SITING RATIONALE	
23096	North of Pond C-1	Lack of potentiometric and water quality data for Tier II monitoring	
23196	East of Pond C-1 along Woman Creek	Lack of potentiometric and water quality data for Tier II monitoring	
23296	Between the dam footing of Pond B-2 and Pond B-3 along South Walnut Creek	Lack of potentiometric and water quality data fo Tier II monitoring	



All Tier II wells were completed in the upper hydrostratigraphic unit (UHSU) which consists of Rocky Flats Alluvium, valley fill alluvium, and some underlying weathered bedrock. As a preventative measure, the wells were double-cased to prevent potentially contaminated surface soil from entering the borehole, but were otherwise installed using conventional construction methods prescribed in OP, GT.06, Monitoring Wells and Piezometer Installation. Well construction materials consisted of 2-inch diameter, schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) riser and factory cut well screen, 18 to 24-inch length of 16-inch surface conductor casing, and 6-inch diameter steel surface protective casing with locking cap and lock.

5.5 VERTICAL MIGRATION

In 1996, RMRS was tasked by Kaiser-Hill to evaluate the potential for shallow groundwater contaminants, particularly volatile organic compounds, to migrate vertically downward through a thick, laterally extensive confining layer and enter a deep regional artesian aquifer system known as the Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone aquifer. The Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone aquifer provides an important source of water for local and regional use and is the sole water supply for some residents in the Rocky Flats area. This evaluation produced a white paper entitled "Analysis of Vertical Contaminant Migration Potential" (RMRS, 1996c) that formed part of a comprehensive environmental initiative, known as the Accelerated Site Action Project (ASAP). A summary of the major issues and conclusions of this report are presented below.

Concerns related to contaminant migration and the long-term hydrologic integrity of this confining layer were raised regarding the presence of dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs) in the groundwater at some waste disposal sites and the occurrence of secondary permeability (i.e., fractures and faults) in bedrock materials. The combination of these factors at other Superfund sites have led to persistent groundwater contamination problems that have proven to be difficult to remediate and, thus, represent a long term contaminant migration threat. In order to evaluate the potential significance of vertical groundwater contaminant transport at the Site, two individual hazardous substance sites (IHSSs 110 and 118.1) with evidence of chlorinated solvent releases were selected for analysis and discussion. The primary DNAPL and dissolved contaminants-of-concern identified at these sites are trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, and carbon tetrachloride. Information from numerous site reports, unpublished site data, and recently published articles provided the basis for the analyses presented in the white paper.

The Site is underlain by a mantle of permeable Quaternary surficial geologic deposits deposited on a 600+ foot thick sequence of low permeability Cretaceous claystone and siltstone bedrock known as the upper Laramie Formation. The upper Laramie Formation functions as a confining layer for the

underlying Laramie-Fox Hills Sandstone aquifer which subcrops west of the Industrial Area and plunges eastward beneath the plant. Vertical hydraulic conductivities for the confining layer materials are estimated to range from about 2.8 x 10⁻¹⁰ to 2.5 x 10⁻⁷ centimeters/second, or roughly three to seven orders of magnitude lower than for the overlying surficial deposits. Due to this contrast in hydraulic conductivity, groundwater is expected to move predominently laterally in the surficial deposits and vertically in the confining layer. Downward vertical hydraulic gradients observed in the confining layer indicate that shallow groundwater has the potential to recharge the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer.

Faulting in the upper Laramie Formation has been documented regionally and recently has been documented at the Industrial Area. The influence of these fault zones on vertical groundwater flow is unknown; however, an observed trend of decreasing claystone permeabilities with depth is expected to result in a restrictive, rather than an enhanced, vertical groundwater flow regime. Fractures observed in bedrock core samples tend to be discontinuous, sub-horizontal to sub-vertical, and closed with depth. Trace concentrations of trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, carbon tetrachloride, and chloroform found in some unweathered bedrock wells indicate that limited contaminant migration has occurred in the shallowest part of the confining layer beneath shallow groundwater plumes with high concentrations, although most detections are apparently related to laboratory or well cross contamination. Plutonium-239/240 was detected above background in three unweathered bedrock wells, but the available evidence indicates that these occurrences are attributable to cross contamination probably as a result of drilling through radionuclide contaminated soils.


Estimates of the vertical groundwater flow velocity through the confining layer indicate that groundwater movement is expected to be very slow. The calculated range of groundwater velocities, based on a potential range of vertical hydraulic conductivities, is 0.00054 to 0.468 feet/year, which translates to travel times to the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer of 1,300 to 1.1 million years. Consideration of the hydrologic setting and declining hydraulic conductivity trend with depth suggests that the actual groundwater flow velocity will be near the low end of the range.


Analysis of the behavior of dense nonaqueous phase liquids indicated that a potential exists for entry of DNAPL into fractured bedrock. However, the threat of DNAPL migration to the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer is rapidly mitigated by diffusive disappearance of DNAPL from fractures into the claystone matrix, which has a large contaminant mass storage capacity. Dissolved and sorbed volatile organic contaminants derived from DNAPLs therefore represent the principal concern for vertical contaminant migration to the deep aquifer.

Organic contaminants are expected to move much slower than the groundwater flow velocity in the confining layer due to the effects of sorption by high organic carbon and clay contents, dispersion and molecular diffusion, and possibly in situ abiotic transformation reactions. The most rapidly transported contaminant, trichloroethene, is predicted to travel for 17,000 to 15 million years before reaching the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer, with the most likely case being on the order of a hundred thousand years or more. Assuming that natural contaminant degradation is a viable process, some contaminants with short environmental half lives, such as carbon tetrachloride, may fully degrade before reaching the aquifer. The results of simple one- and two-dimensional analytical modeling of contaminant transport indicate that dispersion will reduce contaminant concentrations at the confining layer/aquifer interface by 6 to 99 percent, depending on magnitude of the vertical flux. Under worst case conditions, the resulting contaminant concentrations derived from mass flux calculations in the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer exceed regulatory limits; however, these calculations are exceedingly conservative and ignore some important basic factors. Using a more realistic set of assumptions, it is expected that, if contaminants should ever reach the aquifer, the concentrations will be below regulatory limits.

It was concluded from this review and analysis that the upper Laramie Formation confining beds have a sufficient amount of hydrologic and geochemical integrity to provide long-term protection of the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer. Monitoring of vertical contaminant migration at potential bedrock source areas, rather than remediation, was recommended as the most prudent and cost effective option for protection of the Laramie-Fox Hills aquifer given the apparent robust geochemical nature of unweathered bedrock materials underlying the site.

Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater










Figure 2 (cont.)
Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells
1996 Groundwater











Figure 2 (cont.)

Trend Plots for Selected Analytes and Wells

1996 Groundwater







6.0 REFERENCES

- CDPHE, 1996. Letter from Steve Tarlton to Steven W. Slaten. November 19, 1996.
- DOE, 1988. DOE Order 5400.1, General Environmental Protection Program.
- DOE, 1992a. Final Historical Release Report for the Rocky Flats Plant, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, June.
- DOE, 1992b. Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action French Drain Performance Monitoring Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, June.
- DOE, 1993a. Background Geochemical Characterization Report. September 30, 1993.
- DOE, 1993b. Final Ground Water Assessment Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, February. 24.
- DOE, 1994. Final Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Decision Document for the Rocky Flats Industrial Area, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, March.
- DOE, 1995a. Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action Implementation Plan for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado, June.
- DOE, 1995b. Final Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action Implementation Plan for the Rocky Flats Industrial Area, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, June.
- DOE, 1995c. Draft Data Summary 2, Operable Unit No. 8, 700 Area, U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, September.
- DOE, 1996. Phase II Choices for Rocky Flats; Accelerated Site Action Project, February, 1996.
- DOE, 1997a. Background Comparison for Radionuclides in Groundwater, Draft. January, 1997.
- DOE, 1997b. Accelerating Cleanup: Focus on 2006, June, 1997.
- Efurd, D.W., Rokop, D.J., Perrin, R.E., 1993. Characterization of Radioactivity in Surface-Waters and Sediments Collected at the Rocky Flats Facility, LANL Report LA-UR-93-4373, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, 54 pp.
- EG&G, 1988. Health Physics Manual of Good Practices for Uranium Facilities, EG&G, NTIS DE88-013620.
- EG&G, 1991a. Final Geologic Characterization Report for the U.S. D.O.E. Rocky Flats Plant.
- EG&G, 1991b. Rocky Flats Plant Site-Wide Quality Assurance Project Plan for CERCLA RI/FS and RFI/CMS Activities. EG&G, May 7, 1991.
- EG&G, 1992. 1991 Annual RCRA Groundwater Monitoring Report for Regulated Units at the Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, March.

FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

- EG&G, 1993a. 1992 Annual RCRA Groundwater Monitoring Report for Regulated Units at the Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, March.
- EG&G, 1993b. Background Geochemical Characterization Report, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, September.
- EG&G, 1993c. Groundwater Protection and Monitoring Program Plan for Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, October.
- EG&G, 1993d. Ground-Water Recharge Study, <u>Final Interim Report</u> Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, October.
- EG&G, 1994a. 1993 Annual RCRA Groundwater Monitoring Report for Regulated Units at the Rocky Flats Plant, February.
- EG&G, 1994b. Well Evaluation Report Final, EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc., Golden, Colorado, April.
- EG&G, 1995a. Groundwater Geochemistry Report For the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study Vol III, January.
- EG&G, 1995b. Geologic Characterization Report For the Rocky Flats Technology Site, Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study, Vol I, March.
- EG&G, 1995c. 1994 Annual RCRA Report for Regulated Units at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, March.
- EG&G, 1995d. Hydrogeologic Characterization Report for the Rocky Flats Technology Site, Sitewide Geoscience Characterization Study Vol II. April.
- EPA., 1988a. Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analysis. Prepared by EPA's Data Review Workgroup for EPA's Hazardous Site Evaluation Division. EPA, February, 1988.
- EPA., 1988b. Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analysis. Prepared by EPA's Data Review Workgroup for EPA's Hazardous Site Evaluation Division. EPA, July, 1988.
- EPA., 1992. Guidance for Data Useability in Risk Assessment, Final. EPA, 9285.7-09A.
- EPA., 1996. Letter from Tim Rehder to Steven Slaten, RE: Monitoring Well List. November 14, 1996.
- Fetter, G.W. Jr., 1988. Applied Hydrogeology, 2nd ed., Merrill Publishing Company, Columbus, Oh.
- Haun, J.D. and H.C. Kent, 1965. Geologic History of the Rocky Mountain Region, Bull. of the American Association of Petroleum Geologists, v 49, no. 11, p. 1781-1800.
- Hess, C.T. et al., 1985. The Occurance of Radioactivity in Public Water Supplies in the United States, Health Physics 48(5), 553-586.
- IAG, 1991. State of Colorado, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Rocky Flats Interagency Agreement: Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, CERCLA-VIII-91-03, RCRA (3008(h))-VIII-91-07, State of Colorado Docket #91-01-22-01, Jan. 22.

- K-H, 1997. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Integrated Monitoring Plan (Draft), June.
- Pearl, R.H., 1980. "Ground-Water Resources of Colorado"in: Colorado Geology, H.C. Kent and K.W. Porter eds.
- RFCA, 1996. Final Rocky Flats Cleanup Agreement, U.S. Department of Energy-Colorado Department of Health and Environment-U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, July.
- RMRS, 1996a. Well Abandonment and Replacement Program Work Plan, RF/ER-96-0015, Environmental Restoration Program, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado, March.
- RMRS, 1996b. Conceptual Plan for the Management and Remediation of Groundwater at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, RF/ER-95-0121, Rev. 2, Environmental Restoration Program, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, Colorado, March.
- RMRS, 1996c. White Paper Analysis of Vertical Contaminant Migration Potential, Final Report, RF/ER-96-0040.UN, August 16, 1996.
- RMRS, 1996d. Recommendation of Recharge Estimates for the Rocky Flats Alluvium Preliminary Draft, April 15, 1996.
- RMRS, 1996e. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Subsurface Drain Analysis in Support of the Accelerated Site Action Project For Site Closure, May 16, 1996.
- RMRS, 1996f. Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Seep and Spring Analysis in Support of the Accelerated Site Action Project For Site Closure Draft, May 29, 1996.
- RMRS, 1996g. Industrial Area Groundwater Mass Balance Draft, July 18, 1996.
- RMRS, 1996h. Status Report Groundwater Flow Modeling at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, September 30, 1996.
- RMRS, 1997a. Annual Report Interim Measures/Interim Remedial Action for the Industrial Area of the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, March.
- RMRS, 1997b. 1996 Third Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report For Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. January, 1997.
- RMRS, 1997c. 1996 Fourth Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report For Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. June, 1997.
- RMRS, 1997d. 1997 First Quarter RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report For Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site. August, 1997.
- RMRS, 1997e. Final Post-Corrective Action Decision/Record of Decision Investigation Report for the 881 Hillside Area, IHSS 119.1, Revision 0, RF/RMRS-97-054.UN, September, 1997.
- RMRS/KH, 1996. 1995 Annual RCRA Report for Regulated Units at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, February.
- RMRS/KH, 1997. Draft Mound Site Plume Decision Document, August.

RF/RMRS-97-087.UN FINAL 1996 Annual RFCA Groundwater Monitoring Report

- Scott, G.R., 1975. Cenozoic Surfaces and Deposits in the Southern Rocky Mountains, In Cenozoic History of the Southern Rocky Mountains, Curtis, B.F., ed., Geological Society of America Memoir 144.
- Shroba, R.R. and P.E. Carrara, 1994. Preliminary Surficial Geologic Map of the Rocky Flats Plant and Vicinity, Jefferson and Boulder Counties, Colorado, U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report, OFR-94-162.
- Spencer, F.D., 1961 Bedrock Geology of the Louisville Quadrangle, Colorado. USGS. Geological Quadrangle Map GQ-151, lat. 39 52' 30" to 40 long 105 07' 30" to 105 15' scale 1:24000, contour interval 10 feet.

35 mm Drawing

35 mm DRAWING

35 mm

DRAWING


35 mm Drawing


A-SW-002621


NOTICE:


"BEST AVAILABLE COPY"

PORTIONS OF THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENT ARE ILLEGIBLE











4 APO'600

Plate 12

Composite VOC Groundwater Plume (100 X MCL)

000'99/ N


014,887 N

or other

7 744,000

088,14\ N

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464



000'097 N

Plate 11

Water Quality in Groundwater Wells, 1996

Non RFCA Wells

000'997 N

011,887 /

- **Buildings or other structures**



000'**/ N

088'17 L N

Environmental Technology Site U.S. Department of Energy



000'097 N

VOC's in Groundwater Non RFCA Wells, 1996 Plate 10

Non RFCA Wells

000'997 N

014,887 V

- Buildings or other structures
- or other
- Rocky Flats boundary

Scale = 1:7200inch represents 600 feet

U.S. Department of Energy


Site


Environmental Technology

088,147 N

000' 1 1 L N

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464





000'094 N

Radionuclide's in Groundwater 1996

Well Classifications

000'991 N

011'397 N


other

t = 1:7200 resents 600 feet

Department of Energy

088:147 N

000° † † L N



000'0SZ N

000'99Z N

" 01 7 897 N

Buildings or other structures

other

Scale = 1:7200 inch represents 600 feet


000'tt/ N

088,147 N

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology U.S. Department of Energy

Site

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464



000'09£ N

Groundwater Wells, Plate

Well Classifications

- Plume Definition
- Performance Monitoring

000'99Z N

014.887 /

- other
- spuod evaporation
- Streams, ditches, drainage features
- Rocky Flats boundary




Scale = 1:72001 inch represents 600 feet

Technology U.S. Department of Energy

000' † † L N

088,147 N

Environmental



0001097 N

RFCA Wells, 1996 **Dissolved Metals** in Groundwater Plate 5

Well Classifications

000'997 N

014,837 /

Buildings or other structures

o

other



Scale = 1:7200inch represents 600 feet

000'th/ N


088,147 W


Flats Environmental Technology U.S. Department of Energy

Site

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464







000'097 N

Plate

017'897 V

000'99Z N

RFCA Wells, 1996

Well Classifications

other structures Standard Map Features

- Solar evaporation ponds
- other o
- Contour (20-Foot)



Scale = 1:7200 inch represents 600 feet

000' t t Z N


088'177

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Department of Energy

Site


Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464


September 26, 1997



014,837 W

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464





000'057 7

000'997 N

01+'89Z N

Groundwater, 1996 Filtered U235 in Plate 20

1.79 pCi/L

Solar evaporation ponds

Streams, ditches, or other drainage features


State Plane Coordinate Projection Colorado Central Zone Datum: NAD27

Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site U.S. Department of Energy

Rocky Mountain
Remediation Services, L.L.C.
Geographic Information Systems Group
Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
P.O. Box 464
Golden, CO 80402-0464

088;147 N

000° † † 1 N



0.0001094 N

000'991 N

014,837 A

088,147 N

000' 7 7 L N

